

Haplotype diversity and phylogeny within Alternaria alternata and A. arborescens species complexes from tomatoes

Lilija Dučkena, Nabahat Bessadat, Nelly Bataillé-Simoneau, Bruno Hamon, Mati Koppel, Kaire Loit, Neringa Rasiukevičiūtė, Gunita Bimšteine, Philippe

Simoneau

► To cite this version:

Lilija Dučkena, Nabahat Bessadat, Nelly Bataillé-Simoneau, Bruno Hamon, Mati Koppel, et al.. Haplotype diversity and phylogeny within Alternaria alternata and A. arborescens species complexes from tomatoes. Fungal Biology, 2024, 128 (8), pp.2250 - 2265. 10.1016/j.funbio.2024.10.002 . hal-04809017

HAL Id: hal-04809017 https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-04809017v1

Submitted on 28 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fungal Biology

British Mycological Society promoting fungal science





Haplotype diversity and phylogeny within *Alternaria alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes from tomatoes

Lilija Dučkena^{a,b}, Nabahat Bessadat^{b,c}, Nelly Bataillé-Simoneau^b, Bruno Hamon^b, Mati Koppel^d, Kaire Loit^d, Neringa Rasiukevičiūtė^e, Gunita Bimšteine^a, Philippe Simoneau^{b,*}

^a Institute of Soil and Plant Sciences, Latvia University of Life Sciences and Technologies, Liela Street 2, LV-3001, Jelgava, Latvia

^b University of Angers, Institut Agro, INRAe, UMR 1345 IRHS, SFR 4207 QUASAV, Beaucouzé Cedex, 49070, France

^c Laboratory of Applied Microbiology, University of Oran1 Ahmed Ben Bella, BP 1524, El M'Naouer, 31000, Oran, Algeria

^d Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Fr.R. Kreutzwaldi 1, 51006, Tartu, Estonia

^e Institute of Horticulture, Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, Kauno Street 30, LT-54333, Babtai, Lithuania

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Inger Skrede

Keywords: Multi-locus phylogeny Population genetics Alternaria leaf spot Solanum lycopersicum L

ABSTRACT

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is an economically important vegetable susceptible to various fungal diseases, including leaf spot caused by Alternaria spp. from the section Alternaria. In our study, a total of 72 tomatoassociated Alternaria spp. strains from Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, and Algeria were analysed by integrating morphological data, pathogenicity assay, multi-locus phylogeny, and haplotype assignment. Recovered Alternaria spp. strains were characterized by considerable variation in phenotypic diversity, non-pathogenicity to their host of origin and absence of the AAL-toxin biosynthesis gene (ALT1). Multi-locus phylogeny of the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (rpb2), putative F-box-domain-containing protein (ASA-10), and putative histone-like transcription factor (ASA-19) confirmed the occurrence of both A. alternata and A. arborescens species complexes along with A. longipes and A. postmessia on symptomatic tomatoes. The discordant tree topology among single-gene phylogenies suggested the occurrence of potential recombination between phylogenetic lineages in the section Alternaria, resulting in putative alternata-arborescens and alternata-longipes hybrids. DNA polymorphism analysis of the rpb2, ASA-10, and ASA-19 loci revealed a high level of genetic diversity in the section Alternaria, and the number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and haplotypes varied among loci and lineages studied. A total of 16 and 6 multi-locus haplotypes were assigned in alternata and arborescens lineages, respectively. Global genetic diversity analysis of A. alternata and A. arborescens strains at the rpb2 locus confirmed that major haplotypes described from tomatoes were shared among other hosts of origin.

1. Introduction

Tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) belong to the Solanaceae family and are economically important vegetables worldwide. Diseases caused by fungi, including *Alternaria* spp., are one of the major limiting factors in the production of tomatoes in temperate zones due to defoliation and devastating yield losses (Adhikari et al., 2017; Schmey et al., 2024).

The genus *Alternaria* belongs to the family *Pleosporaceae* and contains more than 360 species divided into 29 sections (Li et al., 2023). *Alternaria* spp. are considered one of the most important mycotoxigenic fungi, which produce more than 70 toxins, thus causing implications for the food safety of fresh and processed tomato products (López et al., 2016; Escrivá et al., 2017; Sanzani et al., 2019; Bertuzzi et al., 2021).

Some of these mycotoxins play an essential role in plant disease development (Meena and Samal, 2019; Wenderoth et al., 2019).

The major pathogens of tomatoes are comprised in the *Alternaria* section *Porri*, which includes 70 species characterized by the production of large conidia with long to filamentous beaks (Li et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023). However, a high isolation frequency of several species from the section *Alternaria* has been reported from the family Solanaceae, including both cultivated tomatoes (Bessadat et al., 2017; El Gobashy et al., 2018; Gherbawy et al., 2018; Kokaeva et al., 2018) and their wild relatives (Schmey et al., 2023).

Small-spored *Alternaria* spp. from the section *Alternaria* are mostly considered saprobes, endophytes, or weak plant pathogens that infect a broad host range (DeMers, 2022), and their role in tomato disease

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* simoneau@univ-angers.fr (P. Simoneau).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2024.10.002

Received 16 June 2024; Received in revised form 24 October 2024; Accepted 27 October 2024 Available online 30 October 2024

1878-6146/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Mycological Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

development has been neglected in comparison with that of large-spored *Alternaria* spp. from the section *Porri* (Adhikari et al., 2020). However, synergistic effects between these species and aggressive large-spored isolates in mixed inoculation on tomatoes have been reported (Bessadat et al., 2017).

The application of morphological data might be helpful for *Alternaria* spp. identification at the section level; however, even for professional mycologists it is very challenging to use morphological characteristics for species delineation as conidial morphology and sporulation vary depending on abiotic factors, such as photoperiod, growing medium, and temperature (Naik et al., 2010; Loganathan et al., 2016; Parvin et al., 2021). Moreover, overlapping of the morphological features to varying degrees among several species in the genus *Alternaria* has been reported, thus highlighting the importance of molecular data implementation for precise delineation of the species (Lawrence et al., 2016).

The taxonomy of Alternaria spp. from the section Alternaria has undergone several revisions (Lawrence et al., 2013; Woudenberg et al., 2013, 2015; Gannibal, 2016). One of the most comprehensive arrangements in molecular taxonomy of this section was provided by Woudenberg et al. (2015). They applied whole-genome and transcriptome reads in combination with multi-locus phylogeny for species delineation in section Alternaria. Based on the analysis of nine genomic regions (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a 1, endoPG, and OPA10-2), 35 morpho-species, including A. tenuissima, were synonymized under A. alternata, thus reducing the number of phylogenetic species in this section to 11 and one species complex (A. arborescens). Since then, the inclusion of morpho-species and the description of new phylogenetic species increased the number of species accommodated in this section to 73 (Li et al., 2023). A subset of the nine genomic regions analysed by Woudenberg et al. (2015) with DNA sequencing of additional less common genes, including histone h3 (Landschoot et al., 2017) and calmodulin, actin, and plasma membrane ATPase (Nasr Esfahani, 2018), has been implemented for improving the resolution of small-spored species in the section Alternaria.

Recent phylogenomic studies by Dettman and Eggertson (2021) have indicated the insufficient discriminatory power of common markers for the differentiation of closely related species in the section *Alternaria*. Therefore, several loci have been proposed for species identification in the section *Alternaria* (Dettman and Eggertson, 2021), and new section-specific molecular markers have been developed (Dettman and Eggertson, 2022). Among the candidate loci, the analysis of the putative F-box-domain-containing protein (*ASA-10*) and putative histone-like transcription factor (*ASA-19*) genes in combination with the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*) provided accurate and reliable assignment of *Alternaria* strains in the main phylogenetic lineages within section *Alternaria* (Dettman et al., 2023). Therefore, these three target genomic regions (*ASA-10*, *ASA-19*, and *rpb2*) were selected for small-spored *Alternaria* spp. identification in our study.

Investigations on the genetic diversity of *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* are crucial to gain knowledge of pathogen epidemiology and develop effective disease management strategies. Molecular phylogenetic data analysis in the form of haplotype networks has been widely implemented for the evaluation of the population structure of *Alternaria* spp. to provide more insights into interspecies and intraspecies relationships (Adhikari et al., 2020; Blagojević et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2022).

Current studies on disease causal agents of tomatoes in northeastern Europe have implemented a morphology-based approach for the identification of *Alternaria* spp., and molecular data have not been applied for the delineation and characterization of these species (Shidlauskiene and Surviliene, 2002; Duckena and Bimsteine, 2023). Therefore, the objectives of our study were to (i) identify *Alternaria* spp. from the section *Alternaria* associated with symptomatic infection of tomatoes by integrating molecular phylogenetic methods, morphological data, and pathogenicity assay; (ii) compare the DNA polymorphism of the *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* genes within *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* isolates; and (iii) determine the haplotype diversity and distribution and infer phylogenetic relationships with publicly available sequences of *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The collection of samples and fungal isolation

The sampling of tomato (S. lycopersicum L.) leaves and fruits with typical disease symptoms was conducted in greenhouses and high tunnels in the central part of Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia. Samples were collected from the beginning of August until the end of September in 2022 and 2023. The leaves and fruits were collected in clean ethylene bags, sealed, and transported to the Plant Pathology Scientific Laboratory of Latvia University of Life Sciences and Technologies. The obtained samples were examined for asexual fungal structures using an Olympus BX43 optical fluorescence microscope with cellSens software (Olympus Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan). For the isolation of fungal strains, small pieces ($\sim 1 \text{ cm}^2$) from lesions between healthy and symptomatic plant tissue were excised, surface sterilized in 1 % sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution for 1 min. rinsed three times in autoclaved distilled water and then air-dried. Using sterile forceps, plant tissue fragments were transferred to Petri dishes on potato dextrose agar media (PDA) (Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain) supplemented with streptomycin (100 µg/ L) and penicillin (100 μ g/L). The Petri dishes were incubated at 20 °C under 24 h darkness for seven days, and one representative colony growing from tissues of each sample was chosen as an isolate. Hyphal tips from single hyphae were excised and plated onto new PDA plates for the purification of fungal strains (Levronas et al., 2012). The obtained pure cultures were analysed at the Institute of Research in Horticulture and Seeds (UMR 1345 IRHS, France) and cryopreserved at -80 °C in 30 % glycerol at the fungal culture collection COMIC (Collection of Microorganisms) of the SFR 4207 QUASAV (42 rue Georges Morel, 49070 Beaucouzé Cedex, France). Fungal strains from Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia isolated by Lilija Duckena are labelled with LD prefixes in this study. Alternaria spp. strains from the COMIC collection of Nabahat Bessadat associated with symptomatic tomatoes in Algeria were also included in the study and are marked with NB prefixes (Bessadat et al., 2017, 2019).

2.2. Morphological characterization

For the evaluation of morphological characteristics, 5-day-old colonies of *Alternaria* spp. were transferred onto fresh potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates (Biokar Diagnostics, Pantin Cedex, France). Fungal strains were incubated for 7 day at 23 °C in darkness for the assessment of macroscopic characteristics, including colony texture, margin, elevation, and zonation. The growing media pigmentation and the obverse and reverse colour of the colonies were evaluated according to the Munsell Colour Order System using the Virtual Colour Atlas (Virtual Colour Systems Ltd. 2024) (Smith and Fairchild, 2022). Seven-day-old colonies of *Alternaria* spp. were photographed using a Canon EOS 77D digital single-lens reflex camera with an 18–135 mm Canon EF-S lens (Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

For analysis of microscopic characteristics, fungal strains were transferred to potato carrot agar (PCA) plates (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India). Conidia formation was observed five to seven days after incubation at 18–22 °C under a natural day–night cycle of 14 h fluorescent light and 10 h darkness and compared with descriptions provided by Simmons (2007). The sporulation patterns of *A. arborescens* and *A. alternata* isolates were characterized using an Optika stereomicroscope at 45 × magnification and Optika ImageView software (Optika Microscopes, Bergamo, Italy).

The conidia and conidiophores were mounted using 2-hydroxypropionic acid without added dye for fluorescent visualisation. Digital image acquisition was performed using a Zeiss Axio Imager Z2 fluorescence microscope and Axiocam 305 colour digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) at 400 \times magnification. Images were processed using Zeiss imaging software ZEN 3.3 blue (version 3.3.89.0000) (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

2.3. DNA extraction, PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing

Genomic DNA extraction of mycelia from seven-day-old fungal strains was performed using a microwave mini-prep extraction method (Goodwin and Lee, 1993). The precipitated DNA pellets were rinsed with 80 % ethanol, dried, dissolved in 100 μ L TE 10:0.1 (10 mM Tris–HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and stored at -20 °C for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses.

Initial identification of the fungal strains was conducted by PCR amplification of the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 and intervening 5.8S nrDNA (*ITS*) using the primer pair ITS1/ITS4 (White et al., 1990). Molecular identification of the recovered *Alternaria* spp. strains encompassed the amplification of three additional target regions, namely, the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*), putative F-box-domain-containing protein (*ASA-10*), and putative histone-like transcription factor (*ASA-19*) genes, which are phylogenetically informative for species resolution in the section *Alternaria* (Dettman and Eggertson, 2022; Dettman et al., 2023). The presence of the AAL-toxin biosynthesis gene (*ALT1*) in small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains was tested using the primer pair DeH-F/E8T7 (Egusa et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2012).

PCR amplification was carried out in a total volume of 25 μ L, containing 1 μ L fungal DNA, 1' Green GoTaq® Flexi buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Promega), 200 μ M deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP), 1 Unit GoTaq® G2 Hot Start DNA polymerase (Promega), and 0.4 μ M of each corresponding oligonucleotide primer. PCR amplification was performed in a Bio-Rad T100TM Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Inc). The primers and references of thermocycling parameters for amplification are provided in Table 1.

The amplified PCR products were then subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis using 1.2 % agarose gel in $0.5 \times$ Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE, pH 8.0) buffer and Midori Green Advance as the staining agent (Nippon Genetics Europe, Germany) and photo documented under ultraviolet (UV) light. The successfully amplified PCR products were purified and sequenced *via* the TubeSeq Supreme Sanger sequencing service of Eurofins (Eurofins Genomics Europe Sequencing GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany). All the newly generated *ITS*, *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* sequences were deposited in the NCBI GenBank (Table 2).

2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Single gene phylogeny of the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 and intervening 5.8S nrDNA (*ITS*) region was used for the initial identification of recovered fungal strains by comparing them with selected representatives described by Woudenberg et al. (2013, 2014, 2015, 2017). Phylogenetic reconstruction of *Alternaria* spp. was performed by combining the acquired nucleotide sequences of 72 isolates of

List of primers used for PCR and sequencing in this study.

the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*), putative F-boxdomain-containing protein (*ASA-10*), and putative histone-like transcription factor (*ASA-19*) genes. Additional nucleotide sequences of *Alternaria* strains from the section *Alternaria* were obtained from Dettman and Eggertson (2022) and Dettman et al. (2023).

The concatenated DNA sequences of Alternaria spp. isolates were aligned using MUSCLE v. 3.8 (Edgar, 2004), and the GBlocks tool v. 0.91b was used for alignment curation (Castresana, 2000; Talavera and Castresana, 2007). Phylogeny was rooted with the A. solani strain HWC-168-2012p from the section Porri. The best fit evolutionary model strategy for the maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was identified using IQ-Tree multicore software v. 1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016), the ModelFinder method (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) analyses. The highest BIC score was found for the TNe + G4 strategy for *rpb2*, K2P for *ASA-10*, K2P + G4 for ASA-19, and TNe + R2 for the combined dataset of three genes. The maximum likelihood analyses were run with 1000 ultrafast bootstraps to assess the robustness of the individual nodes, and support values greater than 95 % were considered significant (Minh et al., 2013). Phylogenetic tree construction was performed using the online tool Interactive Tree of Life (ITOL) version 6 (Letunic and Bork, 2024).

2.5. Haplotype assignment and analysis

Haplotypes were defined for Alternaria section Alternaria strains isolated from tomatoes at three loci: rpb2, ASA-10, and ASA-19. Locusspecific and species-specific haplotypes were assigned based on sequence datasets generated for each locus separately and for concatenated sequences. Sequences were aligned and trimmed using ClustalW implemented in MEGA 11 software (Tamura et al., 2021). To assess the distribution of each mono-locus haplotype identified in the tomato population among a larger set of Alternaria spp. strains, the number of sequences with 100 % identity and 100 % query coverage for each haplotype was determined using a standard nucleotide BLAST search in the NCBI GenBank database. PopART (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees) software version 1.7.3 (Leigh and Bryant, 2015) was used to generate Templeton, Crandall, and Sing's (TCS) networks (Templeton et al., 1992; Clement et al., 2000) for the aligned haplotype sequences, indicate geographic localization of the haplotypes and calculate nucleotide diversity and the numbers of variable and informative sites at each locus.

2.6. Pathogenicity assay on tomatoes (S. lycopersicum L.)

The pathogenicity of *Alternaria* spp. isolates was tested on 8-weekold 'Encore F1' tomatoes. Plants were grown in individual pots containing peat substrate (KKS-1, "Laflora", Livberze, Jelgava district, Latvia), a fraction size of 0–7 mm, a pH_{KCl} of 5.2–6, and an EC of 0.3 mS m⁻¹, enriched with a fertilizer PG mixture (NPK 15-10-20, 1 kg m⁻³), dolomite (1.8 kg m⁻³), limestone (6 kg m⁻³), and a wetting agent ("Instant", 0.3 L m⁻³). The tomato plants were grown in a glass greenhouse, and the average day and night temperatures were 25 ± 2 °C and

Target gene	Primer name	Primer sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	References
Internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 and intervening 5.8S nrDNA (ITS)	ITS1	TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG	White et al. (1990)
	ITS4	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC	
RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (rpb2)	RPB2-5F2	GGGGWGAYCAGAAGAAGGC	Sung et al. (2007)
	fRPB2-7cR	CCCATRGCTTGTYYRCCCAT	Liu et al. (1999)
Putative F-box-domain-containing protein gene (ASA-10)	ASA_g821_F15	GCTACGCAAATGGATCTCGC	Dettman and Eggertson (2022)
	ASA_g821_R427	TCGATGACTTGAACTGGCTGA	
Putative histone-like transcription factor gene (ASA-19)	Asa_g11712_F54	ACCGCCTAGATCAGTACCGA	
	Asa_g11712_R629	ACCCTCCATTGTCACGTCAC	
AAL toxin biosynthesis gene (ALT1)	DeH-F	CTCCGCCTGCCAATGTGATTAC	Egusa et al. (2009); Jia et al. (2012)
	E8T7	GCGTACCAAGGCACGTGCTCAA	

5
Dučke
а
et
al.

The list of studied Alternaria spp. strains isolated from tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum L.) in Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, and Algeria, their haplotypes and GenBank accession numbers of analysed loci.

Name of the strain ^a	Species/Species complex	<i>rpb2</i> haplotype	Multi-locus haplotype (<i>rpb2</i> + ASA-10 + ASA-19)	Species assigni	s/Lineage nent by lo	ocus ^b	Country	Site	Year of isolation	Infected plant part	GenBank accession numbers ^c			
				rpb2	ASA- 10	ASA- 19					ITS	rpb2	ASA-10	ASA-19
LD001	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm1	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	OQ607989	PP375562	PP375600	PP357358
LD009 ^a	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Iecava	2022	Fruit	OQ607997	PP375563	PP375601	PP357359
LD011	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm6	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607990	PP375564	PP375602	PP357360
LD013	A. alternata	AalH6	AalHm11	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Iecava	2022	Fruit	OQ607955	PP375565	PP375603	PP357361
LD014	A. alternata	AalH9	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	OQ607991	PP375566	PP375604	PP357362
LD016	A. arborescens	AarH2	AarHm2	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	OQ607993	PP375567	PP375605	PP357363
LD017	A. arborescens	AarH2	AarHm4	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Jaunsvirlauka	2022	Leaf	OQ607970	PP375568	PP375606	PP357364
LD018	A. alternata	AalH9	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607983	PP375569	PP375607	PP357365
LD019 ^a	A. alternata	AalH12	AalHm16	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607998	PP375570	PP375608	PP357366
LD020	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm6	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607985	PP375571	PP375609	PP357367
LD021	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607999	PP375572	PP375610	PP357368
LD022	A. arborescens	AarH4	AarHm1	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Vircava	2022	Leat	OQ607994	PP375573	PP375611	PP357369
LD024	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	lecava	2022	Fruit	OQ608000	PP375574	PP375612	PP357370
LD025	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Iecava	2022	Fruit	OQ607987	PP375575	PP375613	PP357371
LD026	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm6	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsvirlauka	2022	Leaf	OQ607982	PP375576	PP375614	PP357372
LD027	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Latvia	Vircava	2022	Leaf	OQ608001	PP375577	PP375615	PP357373
LD029	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsvirlauka	2022	Leaf	OQ607975	PP375578	PP375616	PP357374
LD031	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsvirlauka	2022	Leaf	OQ608003	PP375579	PP375617	PP357375
LD032	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607965	PP375580	PP375618	PP357376
LD033	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607966	PP375581	PP375619	PP357377
LD036	A. alternata	AalH9	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsvirlauka	2022	Leaf	OQ607979	PP375582	PP375620	PP357378
LD037	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Vircava	2022	Leaf	OQ607958	PP375583	PP375621	PP357379
LD038	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHmb	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Leaf	OQ607981	PP375584	PP375622	PP357380
LD039	A. alternata	AalH1	AaiHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsviriauka	2022	Lear	00607995	PP3/5585	PP375623	PP35/381
LD040	A. alternata	AaIHZ	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	lecava Douronalo	2022	Lear	00607963	PP3/5586	PP375624	PP35/382
LD042	A. alternata	AalH1	AalHm8	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Lear	00607967	PP3/558/	PP375625	PP35/383
LD043	A. alternata	AalH9	AaiHm/	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsviriauka	2022	Lear	00607977	PP3/5588	PP3/5626	PP357384
LD045	A. alternata	AalHI	AaiHm3	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Lear	00607980	PP375589	PP3/562/	PP35/385
LD046	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHmb	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Jaunsviriauka	2022	Lear	00607978	PP375590	PP375628	PP35/386
LD047	A. alternata	AalHZ	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Daugmale	2022	Lear	00607956	PP3/5591	PP375629	PP35/38/
LD048	A. antonacconc	Aarus	AarHm2	alt	an	an	Latvia	Vircous	2022	Leaf	00607968	PP3/3392	PP3/3030	PP35/388
LD049	A. alternata	Aarno	Aarniiio	ard	alt	arb	Latvia	Porzo	2022	Leaf	00607984	PP3/3393	PP3/3031	PP35/389
LD051	A. alternata	AalHo	AalHiilo	alt	ait orb2	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	00007901	PP373394	PP373032	PP337390
LD055	A. alternata	AalHo	Adifiii14	alt	alt:	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	00007988	PP373393	PP373033	PP357391
LD054	A. alternata	AalHQ	AalHino	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	00607957	PP375590	PP375635	PP357392
LD055	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHiilo AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	00007937	PP375509	PP375636	PP357395
LD059 ^a	A. alternata	AalUG	Aaliim5	alt	alt	alt	Latvia	Berze	2022	Leaf	00600667	PP375500	PP375637	PP357305
10000	A alternata	AalHQ	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Estonia	Vedu	2022	Leaf	DD631028	PP665560	PP665538	PP665516
LD090	Δ alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Estonia	Vedu	2023	Leaf	PD631020	PP665561	PP665530	PP665517
LD055	A arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arh	arh	arb	Estonia	Koimula	2023	Leaf	PP631930	PP665562	PP665540	PP665518
LD116 ^a	A arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Estonia	Koimula	2023	Leaf	PD631031	PP665563	PP665541	PP665510
LD110	Δ alternata	AalHQ	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Estonia	Iogeva	2023	Leaf	PD631032	PP665564	PP665542	PP665520
LD124 ^a	A nostmessia	AalH8	none	alt	alt	nos	Estonia	Jogeva	2023	Leaf	PP631932	PP665565	PP665543	PP665521
LD131 ^a	A alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Estonia	Iogeva	2023	Leaf	DD631034	PP665566	PP665544	PP665522
LD134	A alternata	AalH1	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Estonia	Jogeva	2023	Leaf	PP631935	PP665567	PP665545	PP665522
LD135 ^a	A alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Karkiskes	2023	Leaf	PP631936	PP665568	PP665546	PP665524
LD136 ^a	A alternata	AalH8	AalHm6	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Karkiskes	2023	Leaf	PP631937	PP665569	PP665547	PP665525
LD137	A alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Karkiskes	2023	Leaf	PP631938	PP665570	PP665548	PP665526
LD138	A alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Karkiskes	2023	Leaf	PP631930	PP665571	PP665540	PP665527
20100	unomutu	1 1011 12		unt	uu	un	Littidania	MINDAGO	2020	Licui	11001000	11000071	11000049	11000027

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Name of the strain ^a	Species/Species complex	<i>rpb2</i> haplotype	Multi-locus haplotype (<i>rpb2</i> + ASA-10 + ASA-19)	Specie assign	s/Lineage ment by l	ocus ^b	Country	Site	Year of isolation	Infected plant part	GenBank accession numbers ^c			
				rpb2	ASA- 10	ASA- 19					ITS	rpb2	ASA-10	ASA-19
LD141	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Virbaliskiai	2023	Leaf	PP631941	PP665573	PP665551	PP665529
LD142	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHm9	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Virbaliskiai	2023	Leaf	PP631942	PP665574	PP665552	PP665530
LD143	A. alternata	AalH2	AalHm4	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Virbaliskiai	2023	Leaf	PP631943	PP665575	PP665553	PP665531
LD149	A. alternata	AalH9	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Ringaudai	2023	Leaf	PP631944	PP665576	PP665554	PP665532
LD153 ^a	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Lithuania	Ringaudai	2023	Leaf	PP631945	PP665577	PP665555	PP665533
LD154 ^a	A. arborescens	AarH5	AarHm3	arb	arb	arb	Lithuania	Ringaudai	2023	Leaf	PP631946	PP665578	PP665556	PP665534
LD156	A. alternata	AalH1	nd ^d	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Babtai	2023	Leaf	PP631947	PP665579	PP665557	PP665535
LD157	A. alternata	AalH11	AalHm15	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Babtai	2023	Leaf	PP631948	PP665580	PP665558	PP665536
LD158	A. alternata	AalH9	AalHm7	alt	alt	alt	Lithuania	Babtai	2023	Leaf	PP631949	PP665581	PP665559	PP665537
NB1	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm12	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Fruit	KT968740	MH232240	PP665582	PP665502
NB38	A. arborescens	AarH4	AarHm5	arb	arb	arb	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Fruit	KT968742	MH232242	PP665583	PP665503
NB66	A. alternata	AalH6	AalHm11	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Stem	KT968745	MH232244	PP665584	PP665504
NB97	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm6	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Oran	2011	Leaf	KT968757	MH232249	PP665585	PP665505
NB107	A. alternata	AalH6	AalHm5	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Fruit	KT968760	MH232263	PP665586	PP665506
NB120	A. alternata	AalH6	AalHm13	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Fruit	KT968762	MH232255	PP665587	PP665507
NB126	A. alternata	AalH11	AalHm15	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2011	Fruit	KT968763	MH232251	PP665588	PP665508
NB164	A. arborescens	AarH4	AarHm5	arb	arb	arb	Algeria	Ain	2011	Leaf	KT968770	MH232254	PP665589	PP665509
								Témouchent						
NB165	A. alternata	AalH6	AalHm11	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Ain	2011	Leaf	KT968771	MH232258	PP665590	PP665510
								Témouchent						
NB270	A. longipes	AalH8	none	alt	alt	long	Algeria	Mascara	2013	Leaf	KT968772	MH232256	PP665591	PP665511
NB532	A. alternata	AalH8	AalHm10	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	Leaf	/	MH232235	PP665595	PP665515
NB553	A. alternata	AalH11	AalHm15	alt	alt	alt	Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	Leaf	/	MH232237	PP665592	PP665512
NB567	A. arborescens	AarH3	AarHm6	arb	arb	arb	Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	Fruit	/	MH232239	PP665593	PP665513

/= no nucleotide sequence available.
^a Fungal strains marked with "a" were selected for pathogenicity assay.
^b Alt = alternata lineage; arb = arborescens lineage; lon = longipes lineage; *pos* = *A. postmessia*.
^c GenBank accession numbers generated in the study by Bessadat et al. (2017, 2019) are indicated in bold.
^d ASA-10 nucleotide sequence too short to be considered for haplotype definition.

 18 ± 2 °C, respectively. Soluble fertilizer (NPK 3.5–2.3–5.0, Vito, AS Spodriba, Dobele, Latvia) was used to fertilize the tomato plants once a week according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 16 Alternaria spp. strains from section Alternaria were selected for pathogenicity test based on their species or lineage and country of origin, excluding fungal strains from Algeria analysed previously by Bessadat et al. (2017) (Table 2). The large-spored Alternaria sp. strain LD030 (section Porri) isolated from symptomatic tomatoes in Latvia in 2022 was used as a positive control. The conidia of Alternaria spp. were obtained from seven-day-old colonies grown on PCA media at 23 °C under 12 h UV light and 12 h darkness. Conidia were removed by gently scraping the surface of the PCA medium with a Drigalski spatula and placed in a sterile glass jar containing 0.01 % Tween 80 (Chempur, Piekary Śląskie, Poland). The concentration of conidial suspensions was determined with a Bürker counting chamber (Heinz Herenz Medizinalbedarf GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and adjusted to 1×10^4 conidia/mL. Tomato plants were inoculated with 10 mL of conidial suspensions, and control plants were treated with sterile distilled water. The pathogenicity of each strain was tested in five replicates, and a total of 90 tomato plants were inoculated. After inoculation, tomatoes were covered with perforated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic bags (900 \times 480 mm) to maintain relative humidity above 90 % and incubated at 25 \pm 2 °C. The perforated HDPE plastic bags were removed after 48 h, and the presence of necrotic areas on the plant tissue was evaluated by visual inspection 7 and 14 days post-inoculation.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of samples and isolate collection

A total of 588 symptomatic samples were collected in greenhouses and high tunnels during the 2022 and 2023 crop seasons in three countries (viz. Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia). Accurate identification of disease symptoms caused by Alternaria spp. was challenging due to the complex interplay between different pathogens from the family Pleosporaceae producing a wide range of symptoms. Based on examinations of conidial morphology, small-spored Alternaria spp. were detected in 54 % (n = 316) of samples, and they were identified on symptomatic leaves and fruits of tomatoes alone or in complex with large-spored Alternaria spp. from the section Porri or related pathogens from the genus Stemphylium. The isolation of small-spored Alternaria spp. from section Alternaria was associated with blighted leaves and black, sunken lesions of tomatoes occurring predominantly in the cracks of the peduncular area of fruits (Fig. 1). Molecular phylogeny based on the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 and intervening 5.8S nrDNA (ITS) region (Fig. S1) revealed that recovered fungal strains formed wellestablished clusters, representing four sections from the genus Alternaria, namely Alternaria, Porri, Infectoriae, and Ulocladioides; with significant support (>95 % maximum likelihood (ML) bootstrap) for the section Alternaria. Furthermore, closely related fungi from the genus Stemphylium were also identified on symptomatic tomatoes in our study. The present study focused exclusively on fungal strains from Alternaria section Alternaria to gain broader understanding of fungal biology in this section and its implications for fungal-plant interactions.



Fig. 1. Symptomatic plant samples selected for small-spored *Alternaria* spp. (section *Alternaria*) isolation in the laboratory. Tomato fruits with black mold, black rot, and sunken lesions with a diffuse edge (a–c); tomato leaves with small, brown spots (d), which become larger, necrotic, and surrounded by diffuse chlorosis (e), leading to coalescent and concentric lesions with blighted appearance (f).

3.2. Morphological characterization of Alternaria spp. from section alternaria

Considerable diversity of cultural and morphological characteristics was observed for the small-spored Alternaria spp. strains from the section Alternaria after seven days of incubation on potato dextrose agar (PDA) media at 23 °C in continuous darkness. Alternaria spp. strains were cottony or velvety, with or without obvious concentric rings or radial zonation. The elevation of the colonies varied from flat to slightly raised or raised in the centre, and the margins were entire, undulate or irregular. The obverse colour of the Alternaria spp. strains varied from light grey (N8, N7) or medium grey (N6, N5) to light greyish brown (5 YR 6/2) or greyish olive green (7.5GY 4/2). The colouration in the centre of the colonies varied from pale green (10GY 7/2) to greyish green (10GY 5/2) and occasionally dark greyish olive green (7.5GY 2/2) with distinctly lighter pigmentation at the edges of the Petri dish. The reverse colour of the Alternaria spp. strains varied from dark olive green (7.5GY 2/4) to greyish brown (7.5 YR 3/2), greyish reddish brown (10R 4/2), moderate reddish brown (7.5R 3/4), dark yellowish brown (10 YR 3/4), moderate orange (5 YR 6/8) or dark orange yellow (7.5 YR 6/8) (Fig. 2).

The micro-morphological characteristics confirmed the occurrence of *Alternaria* spp. from the section *Alternaria* on tomatoes, and the production of small (<60 μ m in length), obclavate or ellipsoid conidia with a tapering apical beak was observed for the obtained strains (Fig. 3). Some of the *Alternaria* spp. strains produced short, straight, and simple conidiophores with simple or branched chains of 2–14 units, while others were characterized by the presence of short and branched conidiophores producing 2 to 9 catenated conidia in a chain. Based on these sporulation patterns the strains were assigned to *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes, respectively.

3.3. Phylogenetic analyses

A multi-gene phylogeny of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* (Fig. 4) was applied for the molecular identification of 72 obtained *Alternaria* spp.

strains at the species and lineage levels by comparing them with 28 selected representatives from each major phylogenetic lineage in the *Alternaria* section *Alternaria* (Dettman and Eggertson, 2022; Dettman et al., 2023).

The combined dataset of *rpb2* (719 nucleotides [nt]), *ASA-10* (253 nt), and *ASA-19* (508 nt) had a total length of 1480 nt and included 120 parsimony-informative sites. The *ASA-19* dataset included the highest percentage of phylogenetically informative sites (11.42 %), followed by the *ASA-10* loci (7.51 %). The smallest amount of informative variation was observed in the *rpb2* dataset, which contained 5.98 % parsimony-informative sites.

The single gene maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenies allocated 70 of 72 recovered small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains into the main phylogenetic lineages of section *Alternaria* with almost complete agreement between all three separately analysed genomic regions (Figs. S2–S4). Inconclusive results for lineage-level taxonomic assignation were obtained for two fungal strains (LD053 and NB270, respectively). *Alternaria* sp. strain LD053 was assigned to the alternata lineage by *rpb2* and *ASA-19* but to the arborescens lineage by *ASA-10*. Similarly, strain NB270 was assigned to the alternata lineage by *rpb2* and *ASA-10* but to the longipes lineage by *ASA-19*, suggesting potential recombination between the lineages in the analysed genomic regions. The topological structure of the lineages of the *ASA-19* locus outperformed that of the two other loci analysed and provided the most similar tree to the combined dataset (Fig. S4).

According to the ML phylogenetic tree of the combined dataset of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19*, the small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains obtained in this study clustered with members of three phylogenetic lineages from section *Alternaria*, including arborescens, alternaria, and longipes. High intraspecific genetic divergence was observed within both the arborescens and alternata lineages, and the formation of eight and four sub-clades with significant bootstrap support (>95 % ML) confirmed the occurrence of *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes on tomatoes, respectively. Multi-locus phylogeny revealed that three fungal strains within alternata lineage associated with symptomatic tomatoes in Latvia (LD042, LD048, and LD053) formed a



Fig. 2. Phenotypic diversity of small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains from the section *Alternaria* on PDA medium after 7 day at 23 °C in the dark. The obverse (left) and reverse (right) sides of *A. alternata* strains (LD143, a; LD135, b; LD047, c; LD138, d; LD093, e; LD032, f; LD013, g; LD038, h; LD001, i; LD057, j) and *A. arborescens* strains (LD049, k; LD016, l; LD009, m; LD027, n; LD115, \circ).



Fig. 3. The catenation and conidial morphology of A. arborescens (LD154, a – b) and A. alternata (LD093, c – d). Scale bars = 20 µm.

cluster with fungal strains KAS5513 and DET2201 (isolated from cereal crops in Canada and previously described as interlocus recombinants within alternata lineage), which was not significantly supported (<95 % ML).

Additionally, we found that strain LD124 clustered with *A. postmessia* (previously synonymized morpho-species of *A. alternata*) and formed a separate branch with significant bootstrap support (100 % ML) based on analyses of combined data from the aforementioned loci (Fig. 4).

3.4. Haplotype diversity of rpb2 gene at the global level

As a first step to characterize the genetic diversity of Alternaria strains from section Alternaria associated with tomatoes over a large geographic area, sequences at the *rpb2* locus were selected. The dataset included sequences referenced in different population studies or extracted from currently available assembled genomes. Additional rpb2 sequence data were obtained by mining the NCBI GenBank Nucleotide database with appropriate keywords till April 2024. Sequences shorter than 580 nucleotides or of poor quality were disregarded. The final dataset included 207 strains from 12 countries isolated from cultivated and wild tomato species (Table 3). An initial phylogenetic analysis of these sequences combined with rpb2 sequences from representatives of the main lineages within section Alternaria was performed to assign each sequence to the A. alternata and A. arborescens species complexes (Fig. S5). On this basis, the majority of the analysed strains (87 %, n =181) were considered members of the A. alternata species complex, whereas 11 % of the strains (n = 22) belonged to the A. arborescens species complex. Two strains from South Korea had *rpb2* sequences identical to those of the newly described species from *Solanum* spp. (Gou et al., 2023). As mentioned in Table 2, one strain from Algeria grouped with members of the longipes lineage, and one strain from Estonia clustered with *A. postmessia*. Their geographic repartition is provided in Table 3.

The rpb2 gene sequence dataset yielded 12 haplotypes (AalH1 to AalH12), of which three were unique, with 17 SNPs for strains from the alternata lineage, and seven haplotypes (AarH1 to AarH7), of which two were unique with eight SNPs for strains from the arborescens lineage. Strains from the two species complexes shared three polymorphic sites (Table S1). All these SNPs located in the *rpb2* gene corresponded to silent mutations in the translated nucleotide sequence. Fifteen parsimony informative sites were found within the whole population. Haplotypes AalH8 and AarH5 accounted for 43 % and 41 % of the total number of strains from tomatoes and were the most frequently encountered within the alternata and arborescens lineages, respectively (Fig. 5). Regardless of the host origin, the haplotype AalH8 also represented the majority of the alternata lineage (Fig. 5A), whereas AarH4 was the most prevalent haplotype in the arborescens lineage (Fig. 5B). Notably, haplotype AalH5 which represented 9 % of A. alternata strains isolated from tomatoes, was absent in strains from other hosts of origin.

For the estimation of genealogical relationships among the *rpb2* haplotypes in *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes, a Templeton, Crandall, and Sing's (TCS) network was constructed considering the allocations to geographic areas of the analysed samples (Fig. 6). As sample size greatly varied among countries, we grouped

Tree scale: 0.01 olani strain HWC-168-2012n / Chin burnsii lineage — Alternaria burnsii strain CBS107.38 / In ernaria postmessia strain BMP 2775 / USA A. postmessia LD124 / Estonia ia aff. arhorescens strain DET2078 / Canada Alternaria all, arborescens strain DET2085 / Cu LD022 / Latvia Meman maria aff. arborescens strain KAS5368 / Canada LD027 / Latvia D154 / Lithuania D152 / Lithuani arborescens lineage LD116 / Estonia LD024 / Latvia D115 / Estonia L D009 / Laber LD017 / Latvia ns strain FERA 675 / UK NB38 / Algeria NB164 / Algeria NB567 / Algeri Alternaria arporescens CBS 1020057 USA Alternaria longipes strain KAS1274 / Costa Rica - Alternaria longipes strain KAS1275 / Costa Rica longines lineage Alternaria longipes strain CBS 540.94 / USA NB270 / Algeria strain X5 / Chin lternaria gaisen strain H16 / China Iternaria gaisen strain H9 / China gaisen lineage Alternaria gaisen strain X3 / China Alternaria gaisen strain D9 / China Attornaria n etrain V2 / Chir rnata strain DET2201 / Ca KAS5513 / Canada LD042 / Latvia - LD053 / Latvia - LD048 / Latvia KAS5303 / Car LD057 / Latvia LD141 / Lithuania LD093 / Estonia LD033 / Estonia LD037 / Latvia LD143 / Lithuania LD131 / Estonia LD137 / Lithuania LD040 / Latvia LD142 / Lithuania LD142 / Lithuania LD047 / Latvia LD138 / Lithuania LD135 / Lithuania LD033 / Latvia mata strain DET2196 / Canada DET2076 / Ca LD019 / Latvia LD157 / Lithua NB553 / Algeria NB126 / Algeria strain DET2080 / Canada LD051 / Latvia LD031 / Latvia LD038 / Latvia LD014 / Latvia LD122 / Estonia LD043 / Latvia LD149 / Lithuar LD090 / Estonia LD158 / Lithuar alternata lineage D036 / Latvia LD018 / Latvia LD020 / Latvia LD026 / Latvia LD046 / Latvia LD055 / Latvia LD054 / Latvia LD136 / Lithuania LD026 / Latvia NB1 / Algeria NB532 / Algeria NB97 / Algeria ain KAS5386 / Canada LD001 / Latvia LD021 / Latvia LD134 / Estoni LD039 / Latvia LD031 / Latvia LD045 / Latvia LD032 / Latviz LD032 / Latvia LD029 / Latvia in DET2015 / Canada LD058 / Latvia NB107 / Algeria lea strain FKII-I 8-BK-P2B / HSA NB66 / Algeria NB120 / Algeria D013 / Latvia NB165 / Algeria strain DET2123 / Cana

Fig. 4. Molecular phylogeny of *Alternaria* section *Alternaria* strains depicted as the maximum likelihood (ML) tree inferred from the multigene alignment of RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*), putative F-box-domain-containing protein (*ASA-10*), and putative histone-like transcription factor (*ASA-19*) sequence data. The tree was rooted with *Alternaria solani* (section *Porri*) strain HWC-168-2012p. Fungal strains analysed in this study are highlighted in bold. The branches with significant bootstrap support (BS>95 %) are marked with red stars near nodes. The branch length is indicated as the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

them into five different geographic areas: North-East Europe (Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania: n = 56), North America (USA, Canada: n = 53), South America (Chile, Peru: n = 58), North Africa (Algeria, Egypt: n=27), and East Asia (Japan, South-Korea: n = 7). The network illustrated the relatively good separation of the two lineages. However, equivocal relationships between the two species complexes were observed for three interior haplotypes (*AalH1, AarH2,* and *AarH6,* respectively). The two most frequently recovered haplotypes of *A. alternata, AalH1* and *AalH8,* were observed in the five geographic areas. In contrast, two haplotypes with considerable sample sizes, *AalH2* and *AalH5,* were restricted to North-East Europe and South America, respectively. Similarly, one haplotype, *AarH5*, that grouped 40 % of the strains assigned to the *A. arborescens* species complex was found only in the North-East of Europe. However, drawing additional conclusions about the arborescens lineage was difficult due to the small sample size.

3.5. Multi-locus haplotype diversity

To further characterize the diversity of *Alternaria* strains from the *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes associated with tomatoes multi-locus haplotypes were defined from concatenated sequences of *rpb2*, *ASA-10* and *ASA-19*. As the vast majority of sequences for the two latter loci available in databanks were obtained from strains isolated from Canadian cereal crops, only sequences generated in the present study were considered. The datasets for *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* grouped 53 and 15 strains, respectively.

The ASA-10 dataset yielded four haplotypes (AalHasa10-1 to Aal-Hasa10-4) with 3 SNPs for strains from the alternata lineage, and four haplotypes (AarHasa10-1 to AarHasa10-4) with 10 SNPs for strains from the arborescens lineage, which resulted in 3 and 5 amino-acid substitutions in the translated nucleotide sequences, respectively (Fig. S6).

For both lineages, four haplotypes (*AalHasa19-1* to *AalHasa19-4* and *AarHasa19-1* to *AarHasa19-1* to *AarHasa19-4*) were defined from the *ASA-19* dataset with 11 and 6 polymorphic sites for the alternata and arborescens lineages, respectively. None of these SNPs resulted in amino-acid substitution in the translated nucleotide sequences.

AalHasa10-2 and *AalHasa19-2* were the most abundant haplotypes among strains from tomatoes and other origins, representing up to 98 % of the total number of strains. *AalHasa10-4, AalHasa19-1* and *Aal-Hasa19-4* were only retrieved in strains isolated from tomatoes (Fig. S7).

The combined dataset of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* (1452 nt) was resolved into 16 haplotypes for strains from the alternata lineage (*AalHm1-AalHm16*) and 6 haplotypes for strains from the arborescens lineage (*AarHm1-AarHm6*) with 35 SNPs and 20 SNPs, respectively (Table 2).

The TCS network resulting from this dataset and including traits for geographic origins (Fig. 7) revealed that the most abundant haplotypes, *AalHm-4* in the alternata lineage and *AarHm-3* in the arborescens lineage, were found only in European strains. Due to the relatively low number of strains analysed (n = 68) and the high variability of the selected loci (Table 4), 60 % of the haplotypes grouped only a single strain. The distribution of *A. alternata* haplotypes according to geographic location revealed that the maximum number of haplotypes was recorded in Latvia (Fig. S8). However, when expressed as a function of the number of strains per geographic location, a higher haplotype diversity was observed in Algeria.

3.6. Pathogenicity assay and toxins

In our study, the pathogenicity of 16 tomato-associated *Alternaria* spp. strains from section *Alternaria* (Table 2) was assessed by inoculating 8-week-old plants of the tomato cultivar 'Encore F1'. Leaf blight symptoms were not observed on tomatoes 7 and 14 days post-inoculation for any of the small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains tested, including *A. arborescens* (n = 6), *A. alternata* (n = 9), and *A. postmessia* (n = 1), thus indicating their non-pathogenicity to the host of origin. No disease

Table 3

Geographic sources of the Alternaria section Alternaria strains from tomatoes.

Geographic	Number of strain	15		Host	Reference				
origin	alternata lineage	arborescens lineage	Other lineage/ species						
Algeria	9	3	1	S. lycopersicum	Bessadat et al. (2017, 2019)				
USA	45	7	0	S. lycopersicum	Adhikari et al. (2020), Dettman and Eggertson (2022) ^b , Woudenberg et al. (2015)				
Chile & Peru	58	0	0	Wild tomato spp ^a .	Schmey et al. (2023)				
Egypt	15	0	0	S. lycopersicum	El Gobashy et al. (2018)				
South Korea	6	0	2	S. lycopersicum	Choi et al., unpublished ^c				
Japan	1	0	0	S. lycopersicum	Nishikawa and Nakashima (2020)				
Canada	1	0	0	S. lycopersicum	Dettman and Eggertson (2022)				
South Africa	2	0	0	S. lycopersicum	Visagie et al., unpublished ^c				
Latvia	29	8	0	S. lycopersicum	Present study				
Lithuania	10	2	0	S. lycopersicum	Present study				
Estonia	5	2	1	S. lycopersicum	Present study				
Total	181	22	4						

^a S. chilence, S. habrochaites, S. lycopersicoides, S. peruvianum.

^b Extracted from publicly available genome assembly GenBank database.

^c Extracted from GenBank.

symptoms were observed for the negative control treated with sterile distilled water (Fig. 8B). In contrast, typical disease symptoms were observed for tomato seedlings treated with conidial suspensions of the large-spored *Alternaria* sp. strain LD065 (section *Porri*) that served as a positive control. The formation of dark brown leaf spots was observed three days post-inoculation (dpi), indicating successful colonization of the host tissue. Scattered irregularly rounded lesions (3–7 mm in diameter) with concentric lines were visible on leaves 7 dpi, leading to severe damage and discolouration of the plant tissue 14 dpi (Fig. 8A). For the positive control represented by a pathogenic strain LD065, Koch's postulates were fulfilled by reisolation of pathogen from necrotic plant tissue and identification till the genus and section level based on morphological characteristics.

In addition, PCR amplification of the AAL-toxin biosynthesis gene (*ALT1*) confirmed that the small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains analysed in this study were *ALT1* negative compared with the type strain of *A. arborescens* CBS 102605, which was *ALT1* positive (Fig. S9).

4. Discussion

Our study focused on small-spored *Alternaria* spp. strains from *Alternaria* section *Alternaria* isolated from symptomatic tomatoes in three countries in temperate climate zone (Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania) and in northwestern Algeria, which has Mediterranean climate conditions. Accurate identification of small-spored *Alternaria* spp. is very challenging due to imperceptible variation in conidial morphology, a high genome identity of up to 98 % (Woudenberg et al., 2015), and the indistinguishable profile of toxic secondary metabolites among several species in the section *Alternaria* (Patriarca et al., 2019). Previous studies on *Alternaria* spp. infecting tomatoes in northeastern Europe have had limitations, especially the application of morphological

data solely for the identification of disease causal agents (Shidlauskiene and Surviliene, 2002; Duckena and Bimsteine, 2023). Therefore, in this study we identified and characterized 72 tomato-associated *Alternaria* spp. strains based on polyphasic approach, which included morphological data, pathogenicity assay, multi-locus phylogeny, and haplotype analysis.

Considerable variation in phenotypic diversity was observed for small-spored *Alternaria* spp. isolated from symptomatic tomatoes in Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, and Algeria, and sporulation patterns and conidial morphology did not allow precise identification of all the obtained strains to the species level as described in other studies (Armitage et al., 2015; Woudenberg et al., 2015; Landschoot et al., 2017).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (rpb2), putative F-box-domain-containing protein (ASA-10), and putative histone-like transcription factor (ASA-19) sequence data revealed that Alternaria spp. strains obtained in this study recovered three phylogenetic clades from the section Alternaria, including alternata (n = 55), arborescens (n = 15), and longipes (n = 1), and one of them clustered with A. postmessia. High intraspecific genetic variation was observed for both alternata and arborescens clades, and they were characterized by formation of eight and four sub-clades with significant bootstrap support (>95 % ML), respectively. Based on the revised taxonomy of small-spored Alternaria spp. by Woudenberg et al. (2015), A. arborescens is referred to as a species complex composed of several morpho-species, including A. geophila, A. arborescens, A. cerealis, and A. senecionicola, whereas A. alternata represents a phylogenetic species in the section Alternaria. However, recent studies have reported that A. alternata has a high level of intraspecific genetic divergence and cannot be considered a single species (Aung et al., 2020; Li et al., 2023; Zhu and Xiao, 2015). Moreover, based on the molecular phylogeny of seven loci (ITS, LSU, SSU, TEF1-α, rpb2, GAPDH, and Alt-a1), Li et al.



Fig. 5. Distribution of haplotypes of *A. alternata* (A) and *A. arborescens* (B) analysed using the single-copy nuclear gene *rpb2* in isolates from tomatoes (black bars; n=181 and 22 for *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens*, respectively) or other hosts (white bars; n=1523 and 83 for *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens*, respectively).

(2023) reported the existence of five different clades in *A. alternata* with up to 80 % ML bootstrap support from symptomatic samples collected in China, Italy, and Thailand, thus suggesting that *A. alternata* might constitute five species instead of a single species. Previous studies have also supported the concept of *A. alternata* as a species complex (Armitage et al., 2015, 2020). Based on phylogenetic analyses of five loci (*endo-PG, Alt-a1, TMA22, PGS,* and *REV3*), Armitage et al. (2015) proposed the designation of the *A. alternata* species group into three subspecies, namely *A. alternata* ssp. *arborescens, A. alternata* ssp. *tenuissima,* and *A. alternata* ssp. *gaisen.* Our results open the possibility for further studies focused on the molecular taxonomy of both *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes.

Interestingly, the fungal strain LD124 isolated from symptomatic tomatoes in Estonia clustered with *A. postmessia* and formed a separate branch with significant bootstrap support (100 % ML), which was closely related to the reference strain of *A. burnsii* (CBS 107.38).

Currently, *A. postmessia* is referred to as a morphospecies of *A. alternata* based on the description of a single citrus-associated strain from the USA (Woudenberg et al., 2015). Recent studies by Dettman et al. (2023) based on molecular analyses of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* loci have also reported *A. postmessia* as a synonym of *A. alternata*, and it was included in alternata sub-clade 2 with a unique *ASA-19* allele. Further whole genome sequence analyses are required to clarify the taxonomic position of *A. postmessia*.

Currently the teleomorph has not been found in *Alternaria* section *Alternaria* under natural or artificial conditions (Thomma, 2003; DeMers, 2022); however, we reported a relatively high genetic diversity for asexual fungi. This is demonstrated by the discordant tree topologies observed for the single-gene phylogenetic analyses of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19*. We reported two cases of discrepancies at the lineage level for fungal strains isolated from symptomatic tomatoes in Latvia and Algeria, thus suggesting potential recombination between different lineages in



Fig. 6. TCS network for *rpb2*-locus haplotypes of tomato-associated *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* strains coloured by geographic sources. Mutations are indicated on branches as hatch marks. Circle size is proportional to the number of strains belonging to a particular haplotype.



Fig. 7. TCS network for multi-locus haplotypes of tomato-associated *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* strains coloured by geographic sources. Mutations are indicated on branches as hatch marks. Circle size is proportional to the number of strains belonging to a particular haplotype. Each colour represents a different location.

the section *Alternaria* that results in putative alternata-arborescens and alternata-longipes hybrids. Additional analyses will be necessary to confirm the evidence of recombination in these putative hybrid *Alternaria* spp. strains from the section *Alternaria*. Previous studies have also reported the possibility of occurrence of alternata-gaisen hybrids (Dettman and Eggertson, 2022) and alternata-arborescens hybrids

(Dettman et al., 2023).

Moreover, we also observed that three fungal strains from Latvia representing alternata lineage (LD042, LD048, and LD053) formed a cluster without significant support (<95 % ML) with *A. alternata* strains KAS5513 and DET2201 described by Dettman et al. (2023). These strains were isolated from cereal crops in Canada and had significant

Table 4

Information for single-locus alignments.

Locus	Nucleotide diversity ^a	Alignment length	Number of variable sites ^a	Proportion of variable sites	Number of informative sites ^a	Proportion of informative sites
ASA- 10	0.0229	353	21	0.059	9	0.025
ASA- 19	0.0204	518	23	0.044	21	0.040
Rpb2	0.0069	581	22	0.037	15	0.025

^a The dataset groups sequences of strains from both alternata and arborescens lineages.



Fig. 8. Results of the pathogenicity test 14 days post-inoculation: disease symptoms caused by large-spored *Alternaria* sp. strain LD065 (section *Porri*) that served as a positive control (A); sterile distilled water treatment that served as a negative control (B); and leaves without visible disease symptoms after inoculation with conidial suspensions of *A. alternata* strain LD019 (C), *A. postmessia* strain LD124 (D), and *A. arborescens* strain LD115 (E).

p-values for recombination based on the MAxChi (p = 0.0428) and 3Seq (p = 1.83E-03) detection methods, confirming the occurrence of recombination events within alternata lineage (Dettman et al., 2023).

To assess the global genetic variation of alternata and arborescens lineages, the *rpb2* nucleotide sequences obtained in this study were compared with currently available data of this locus in the NCBI Gen-Bank retrieved from tomatoes. In total, 12 and 7 haplotypes were identified in *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes, respectively. The major *rpb2* haplotypes found for the tomato population were shared among strains from other hosts of origin; however, the haplotype AalH5 was detected only among isolates associated with symptomatic infection of wild tomatoes in Chile and Peru described by Schmey et al. (2023). Currently, there are no *rpb2* sequences available in the NCBI GenBank originating from commercial tomatoes in South America; therefore, further research and sampling are required to determine whether the haplotype AalH5 is differentiated depending on the host (wild tomato) or geographic origin (South America).

The multi-locus sequence data of *rpb2*, *ASA-10*, and *ASA-19* confirmed the high level of genetic diversity among tomato-associated *Alternaria* spp. strains in our study, and a total of 16 and 6 haplotypes were identified in *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes, respectively. The largest number of multi-locus haplotypes was observed in Latvia, representing 53 % (n = 38) of the total strains analysed in this study. However, Algeria was host to the most diverse haplotypes when considering the number of strains per geographic location.

Due to the limited number of samples from Algeria (n = 13) in the current study, further sampling and genetic diversity analyses of additional strains are necessary to confirm this observation. Previous studies have also revealed a large diversity of *Alternaria* spp. associated with leaf spot symptoms on Solanaceae in this country (Bessadat et al., 2017, 2021). These differences in haplotype diversity might be attributed to several factors, among them sampling areas, which involved only greenhouses and high tunnels in Latvia, contrary to the field-grown tomatoes in Algeria. Additionally, meteorological conditions in Latvia are suitable for one cropping cycle per year in contrast to three cropping cycles in Algeria. Furthermore, Mediterranean areas, such as Algeria, are characterized by extended periods (six to eight months) of the presence of airborne conidia of *Alternaria* spp., with bimodal patterns of high concentrations during spring and autumn. In contrast, northeastern

Europe, including Latvia, is characterized by high concentrations of *Alternaria* spp. conidia for two to three months per year, starting from mid-June to mid-July (Skjøth et al., 2016). Moreover, several cultural practices in Algeria, including the cultivation of tomatoes in fields adjacent to other solanaceous hosts and the absence of crop rotation, also might have an impact on the diversity of *Alternaria* spp. (Ayad et al., 2019).

Other studies have also reported high genetic diversity in smallspored Alternaria from section Alternaria, indicative of an excess of recent mutations and population expansion (Adhikari et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2022). We observed large number of SNPs for the analysed loci within small sample size representing arborescens lineage; therefore, additional sampling would be required to provide accurate population analysis. The major multi-locus haplotypes in the alternata lineage were shared among strains originating from northwestern Algeria and northeastern Europe. Our results are consistent with current findings across different studies, confirming that Alternaria spp. from section Alternaria are not geographically differentiated (Andrew et al., 2009; Matić et al., 2020; Adhikari et al., 2021; Achilonu et al., 2023). The distribution of haplotypes in different tomato growing areas might be attributed to the wind dispersal of Alternaria spp. conidia (Thomma, 2003). Another important source contributing to the long-distance dispersal of Alternaria spp. (section Alternaria) is seed contamination with these fungi (Al-Askar et al., 2014).

All selected representatives from section *Alternaria* originating from Baltic countries were non-pathogenic to tomato plants in our study and tested negative for the presence of the AAL-toxin biosynthesis gene (*ALT1*). Bessadat et al. (2017) reported that small-spored *Alternaria* strains (section *Alternaria*) from Algeria not producing host-selective toxins (HST) were weakly aggressive when inoculated alone; however, these strains were shown to develop synergistic interactions with more aggressive species from the section *Porri* in mixed infections of tomatoes. The non-pathogenicity of greenhouse populations of *Alternaria* spp. from Baltic countries in our study might be attributed to the genetic divergence influenced by variations in environmental factors among the analysed samples (Yang et al., 2024).

Studies by Baltzakis et al. (2024) have reported that Alternaria spp. (section Alternaria) isolated from symptomatic potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) lesions did not cause disease symptoms on healthy potato plants. Additionally, Xu et al. (2024) reported that small-spored *Alternaria* spp. isolated from symptomatic plantain (*Plantago lanceolata* L.) plants did not cause any disease symptoms on their host of origin (whole plants and detached leaves of plantain). These observations suggest that *Alternaria* species from section *Alternaria* do not act as primary plant pathogens, instead they are secondary colonizers or necrosis-dependent opportunists which inhabit the tissue of stressed or damaged plants (Prechsl et al., 2023). Other studies have reported differences in pathogenicity among several species in section *Alternaria*, varying from weakly aggressive (Toome-Heller et al., 2018) to highly aggressive (Ramezani et al., 2019), that is mainly attributed to the production of host-selective toxins (HST) (Hu et al., 2012; Tsuge et al., 2016).

The complexity of the interactions between members of *A. alternata* and *A. arborescens* species complexes is further illustrated by the fact that they might also be represented among the endophytic fungal communities inhabiting asymptomatic plant tissues (Molnár et al., 2023; Wallace, 2023; Mamaghani et al., 2024). Interestingly, endophytic *Alternaria* spp. from the section *Alternaria* produce various bioactive secondary metabolites and can cause the death of other plant pathogens from the kingdom Mycota (He et al., 2024) and Chromista (Musetti et al., 2006). Moreover, recent studies have confirmed that endophytic *A. alternata* strains may transition to necrotrophic pathogens, suggesting that they might represent pathogens of hosts other than their origin or cryptic pathogens (Soltani and Sheikhahmadi, 2023).

5. Conclusions

This study is the first report on implementation of molecular data for the identification of Alternaria spp. (section Alternaria) on tomatoes in northeastern Europe and their comparison with fungal strains from northwestern Algeria based on a polyphasic approach involving phenotypic assays, multi-locus phylogeny, and haplotype analysis. Alternaria spp. strains were non-pathogenic to tomato seedlings and morphological characteristics did not allow their discrimination at the species level; therefore, we applied molecular data of the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (rpb2) along with putative F-boxdomain-containing protein (ASA-10) and putative histone-like transcription factor (ASA-19). Multi-locus phylogeny confirmed the occurrence of both A. alternata (n = 55) and A. arborescens (n = 15) species complexes along with A. longipes (n = 1) and A. postmessia (n = 1) on symptomatic tomatoes. Alternaria spp. strains were characterized by a relatively high genetic diversity, and the discordances observed for single gene phylogenies suggested the occurrence of putuative alternataarborescens and alternata-longipes hybrids among analysed strains in line with previous studies. Further studies are required to confirm the evidence of recombination in these putative hybrid Alternaria spp. strains. Based on the DNA polymorphism analysis of the rpb2, ASA-10, and ASA-19 loci, a total of 16 and 6 multi-locus haplotypes were assigned to alternata and arborescens lineages, respectively. The major multi-locus haplotypes in A. alternata species complex were not geographically differentiated. The estimation of global genetic diversity in A. alternata and A. arborescens species complexes at the rpb2 locus revealed that major haplotypes described from symptomatic tomatoes were shared among other hosts of origin.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Lilija Dučkena: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Resources, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Nabahat Bessadat: Resources, Investigation. Nelly Bataillé-Simoneau: Validation, Resources, Investigation, Data curation. Bruno Hamon: Resources, Data curation. Mati Koppel: Resources, Investigation. Kaire Loit: Resources, Investigation. Neringa Rasiukevičiūtė: Resources, Investigation. Gunita Bimšteine: Supervision, Conceptualization. Philippe Simoneau: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Data availability

All newly generated sequences of the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 and the intervening 5.8S nrDNA (*ITS*), RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*), putative F-box-domain-containing protein (*ASA-10*), and putative histone-like transcription factor (*ASA-19*) genes are deposited in the publicly available National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) as specified in Table 2.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of the first author's joint doctoral supervision studies both in Latvia and France supported by a double-degree PhD scholarship from the French Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2024.10.002.

References

- Achilonu, C.C., Gryzenhout, M., Marais, G.J., Ghosh, S., 2023. Differential detection of *Alternaria alternata* haplotypes isolated from *Carya illinoinensis* using PCR-RFLP analysis of Alt al gene region. Genes 14 (5), 1115. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes14051115.
- Adhikari, P., Oh, Y., Panthee, D.R., 2017. Current status of early blight resistance in tomato: an update. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18 (10), 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms18102019.
- Adhikari, T.B., Ingram, T., Halterman, D., Louws, F.J., 2020. Gene genealogies reveal high nucleotide diversity and admixture haplotypes within three *Alternaria* species associated with tomato and potato. Phytopathology 110 (8), 1449–1464. https:// doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-12-19-0487-R.
- Adhikari, T.B., Muzhinji, N., Halterman, D., Louws, F.J., 2021. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Alternaria* species from tomato and potato in North Carolina and Wisconsin. Sci. Rep. 11 (1), 17024. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95486-6.
- Al-Askar, A.A., Ghoneem, K.M., Rashad, Y.M., Abdulkhair, W.M., Hafez, E.E., Shabana, Y.M., Baka, Z.A., 2014. Occurrence and distribution of tomato seed-borne mycoflora in Saudi Arabia and its correlation with the climatic variables. Microb. Biotechnol. 7 (6), 556–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12137.
- Andrew, M., Peever, T.L., Pryor, B.M., 2009. An expanded multilocus phylogeny does not resolve morphological species within the small-spored *Alternaria* species complex. Mycologia 101 (1), 95–109.
- Armitage, A.D., Barbara, D.J., Harrison, R.J., Lane, C.R., Sreenivasaprasad, S., Woodhall, J.W., Clarkson, J.P., 2015. Discrete lineages within Alternaria alternata species group: identification using new highly variable loci and support from morphological characters. Fungal Biol. 119 (11), 994–1006. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.funbio.2015.06.012.
- Armitage, A.D., Cockerton, H.M., Sreenivasaprasad, S., Woodhall, J., Lane, C.R., Harrison, R.J., Clarkson, J.P., 2020. Genomics evolutionary history and diagnostics of the *Alternaria alternata* species group including apple and Asian pear pathotypes. Front. Microbiol. 10, 3124. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.03124.
- Aung, S.L.L., Liu, H.F., Pei, D.F., Lu, B.B., Oo, M.M., Deng, J.X., 2020. Morphology and molecular characterization of a fungus from the *Alternaria alternata* species complex causing black spots on *Pyrus sinkiangensis* (Koerle pear). MYCOBIOLOGY 48 (3), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2020.1745476.
- Ayad, D., Aribi, D., Hamon, B., Kedad, A., Simoneau, P., Bouznad, Z., 2019. Distribution of large-spored *Alternaria* species associated with early blight of potato and tomato in Algeria. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 58 (1), 139–150. https://doi.org/10.13128/ Phytopathol Mediterr-23988.
- Baltzakis, I., Nindya, S., Rahmayani, R., Thomas, J.E., van der Werf, W., Struik, P.C., 2024. Pathogenicity of single and combined inoculations of *alternaria* spp. on potato. Potato Res. 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-024-09739-8.
- Bertuzzi, T., Rastelli, S., Pietri, A., Giorni, P., 2021. Alternaria toxins in tomato products in Northern Italy in the period 2017–2019. Food Addit. Contam. B 14 (3), 170–176. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2021.1895325.
- Bessadat, N., Berruyer, R., Hamon, B., Bataille-Simoneau, N., Benichou, S., Kihal, M., Henni, D.E., Simoneau, P., 2017. Alternaria species associated with early blight

epidemics on tomato and other *Solanaceae* crops in northwestern Algeria. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 148, 181–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-016-1081-9.

- Bessadat, N., Hamon, B., Bataillé-Simoneau, N., Mabrouk, K., Simoneau, P., 2019. *Alternaria* foliar diseases of solanaceous crops in Algeria: a multi-species threat? Acta Hortic. 1257, 63–72. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2019.1257.10.
- Bessadat, N., Hamon, B., Bataillé-Simoneau, N., Mabrouk, K., Simoneau, P., 2021. Characterization of new small-spored Alternaria species isolated from Solanaceae in Algeria. Life 11 (12), 1291. https://doi.org/10.3390/life11121291.
- Blagojević, J., Vukojević, J., Ivanović, B., Ivanović, Ž., 2020. Characterization of Alternaria species associated with leaf spot disease of Armoracia rusticana in Serbia. Plant Dis. 104 (5), 1378–1389. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-19-0289-RE.
- Castresana, J., 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17 (4), 540–552. https://doi.org/10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334.
- Clement, M., Posada, D.C.K.A., Crandall, K.A., 2000. TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Mol. Ecol. 9 (10), 1657–1659.
- DeMers, M., 2022. Alternaria alternata as endophyte and pathogen. Microbiology 168 (3), 001153. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.001153.
- Dettman, J.R., Eggertson, Q., 2022. New molecular markers for distinguishing the main phylogenetic lineages within *Alternaria* section *Alternaria*. J. Indian Dent. Assoc. 44 (5), 754–766. https://doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2022.2061605.
- Dettman, J.R., Eggertson, Q., 2021. Phylogenomic analyses of Alternaria section Alternaria: a high-resolution, genome-wide study of lineage sorting and gene tree discordance. Mycologia 113 (6), 1218–1232. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00275514.2021.1950456.
- Dettman, J.R., Eggertson, Q.A., Kim, N.E., 2023. Species diversity and molecular characterization of *Alternaria* section *Alternaria* isolates collected mainly from cereal crops in Canada. Front. Microbiol. 14, 1194911. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2023.1194911.
- Duckena, L., Bimsteine, G., 2023. Morphological characteristics and pathogenicity of *Alternaria* and *Stemphylium* isolates on tomato *in vitro*. Acta Hortic. 1378, 325–332. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2023.1378.43.
- Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32 (5), 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkh340.
- Egusa, M., Ozawa, R., Takabayashi, J., Otani, H., Kodama, M., 2009. The jasmonate signaling pathway in tomato regulates susceptibility to a toxin-dependent necrotrophic pathogen. Planta 229, 965–976. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-009-0890-x.
- El Gobashy, S.F., Mikhail, W.Z., Ismail, A.M., Zekry, A., Moretti, A., Susca, A., Soliman, A.S., 2018. Phylogenetic, toxigenic and virulence profiles of *Alternaria* species causing leaf blight of tomato in Egypt. Mycol. Prog. 17, 1269–1282. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11557-018-1442-1.
- Escrivá, L., Oueslati, S., Font, G., Manyes, L., 2017. Alternaria mycotoxins in food and feed: an overview. J. Food Qual. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1569748. Gannibal, P.B., 2016. Distribution of Alternaria species among sections. 2. Section
- Alternaria. Mycotaxon 130 (4), 941–949. https://doi.org/10.5248/130.941.
- Gherbawy, Y., Hussein, M.A., Runge, F., Spring, O., 2018. Molecular characterization of *Alternaria alternata* population isolated from Upper Egyptian tomato fruits. J. Phytopathol. 166 (10), 709–721. https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.12752.
- Goodwin, D.C., Lee, S.B., 1993. Microwave miniprep of total genomic DNA from fungi, plants, protists and animals for PCR. Biotechniques 15 (3), 438–441.
- Gou, Y., Aung, S.L.L., Guo, Z., Li, Z., Shen, S., Deng, J., 2023. Four new species of smallspored alternaria isolated from Solanum tuberosum and S. lycopersicum in China. J. Fungi 9 (9), 880. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9090880.
- He, Y., Tian, R., Gao, C., Ji, L., Liu, X., Feng, H., Huang, L., 2024. Biocontrol activity of an endophytic Alternaria alternata Aa-Lcht against apple Valsa canker. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 105813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2024.105813.
- Hu, J., Chen, C., Peever, T., Dang, H., Lawrence, C., Mitchell, T., 2012. Genomic characterization of the conditionally dispensable chromosome in *Alternaria arborescens* provides evidence for horizontal gene transfer. BMC Genom. 13, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-171.
- Jia, C., Zhang, L., Liu, L., Wang, J., Li, C., Wang, Q., 2012. Multiple phytohormone signalling pathways modulate susceptibility of tomato plants to *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. lycopersici. J. Exp. Bot. 64 (2), 637–650. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers360.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K., Von Haeseler, A., Jermiin, L.S., 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat. Methods 14 (6), 587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- Kokaeva, L.Y., Belosokhov, A.F., Doeva, L.Y., Skolotneva, E.S., Elansky, S.N., 2018. Distribution of *Alternaria* species on blighted potato and tomato leaves in Russia. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 125, 205–212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-017-0135-3.
- Landschoot, S., Vandecasteele, M., De Baets, B., Höfte, M., Audenaert, K., Haesaert, G., 2017. Identification of A. arborescens, A. grandis, and A. protenta as new members of the European Alternaria population on potato. Fungal Biol. 121 (2), 172–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2016.11.005.
- Lawrence, D.P., Gannibal, P.B., Peever, T.L., Pryor, B.M., 2013. The sections of *Alternaria*: formalizing species-group concepts. Mycol. 105 (3), 530–546. https:// doi.org/10.3852/12-249.
- Lawrence, D.P., Rotondo, F., Gannibal, P.B., 2016. Biodiversity and taxonomy of the pleomorphic genus Alternaria. Mycol. Prog. 15, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11557-015-1144-x.
- Leigh, J.W., Bryant, D., 2015. POPART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. Methods Ecol. Evol. 6 (9). https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410.
- Letunic, I., Bork, P., 2024. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic tree display and annotation tool. Nucleic Acids Res. gkae268. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae268.

- Leyronas, C., Duffaud, M., Nicot, P.C., 2012. Compared efficiency of the isolation methods for *Botrytis cinerea*. Mycol. 3 (4), 221–225. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 21501203.2012.727484.
- Li, J.F., Jiang, H.B., Jeewon, R., Hongsanan, S., Bhat, D.J., Tang, S.M., Lumyong, S., Mortimer, P.E., Xu, J.C., Camporesi, E., Bulgakov, T.S., 2023. *Alternaria*: update on species limits, evolution, multi-locus phylogeny, and classification. Stud. Fungi 8 (1), 1–61 https://doi.org/sif-0024-0001.
- Liu, Y.J., Whelen, S., Hall, B.D., 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Mol. Biol. Evol. 12, 1799–1808. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092.
- Loganathan, M., Venkataravanappa, V., Saha, S., Rai, A.B., Tripathi, S., Rai, R.K., Pandey, A.K., Chowdappa, P., 2016. Morphological, pathogenic and molecular characterizations of *Alternaria* species causing early blight of tomato in Northern India. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India B Biol. Sci. 86, 325–330. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40011-014-0446-0.
- López, P., Venema, D., de Rijk, T., de Kok, A., Scholten, J.M., Mol, H.G., de Nijs, M., 2016. Occurrence of *Alternaria* toxins in food products in The Netherlands. Food Control 60, 196–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.07.032.
- Mamaghani, N.A., Masiello, M., Somma, S., Moretti, A., Saremi, H., Haidukowski, M., Altomare, C., 2024. Endophytic Alternaria and Fusarium species associated to potato plants (Solanum tuberosum L.) in Iran and their capability to produce regulated and emerging mycotoxins. Heliyon, e26385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024. e26385.
- Matić, S., Tabone, G., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2020. Alternaria leaf spot caused by Alternaria species: an emerging problem on ornamental plants in Italy. Plant Dis. 104 (8), 2275–2287. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-20-0399-RE.
- Meena, M., Samal, S., 2019. Alternaria host-specific (HSTs) toxins: an overview of chemical characterization, target sites, regulation and their toxic effects. Toxicol Rep 6, 745–758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2019.06.021.
- Minh, B.Q., Nguyen, M.A.T., Von Haeseler, A., 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30 (5), 1188–1195. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/molbev/mst024.
- Molnár, A., Knapp, D.G., Lovas, M., Tóth, G., Boldizsár, I., Váczy, K.Z., Kovács, G.M., 2023. Untargeted metabolomic analyses support the main phylogenetic groups of the common plant-associated *Alternaria* fungi isolated from grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*). Sci. Rep. 13 (1), 19298. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-46020-3.
- Musetti, R., Vecchione, A., Stringher, L., Borselli, S., Zulini, L., Marzani, C., D'Ambrosio, M., Di Toppi, L.S., Pertot, I., 2006. Inhibition of sporulation and ultrastructural alterations of grapevine downy mildew by the endophytic fungus *Alternaria alternata*. Phytopathology 96 (7), 689–698. https://doi.org/10.1094/ PHYTO-96-0689.
- Naik, M.K., Prasad, Y., Bhat, K.V., Rani, G.D., 2010. Morphological, physiological, pathogenic and molecular variability among isolates of *Alternaria solani* from tomato. Indian Phytopathol. 63 (2), 168–173.
- Nasr Esfahani, M., 2018. Analysis of virulence and genetic variability of Alternaria alternata associated with leaf spot disease in potato plants in Iran. Acta Mycol. 53, 1105. https://doi.org/10.5586/am.1105.
- Nishikawa, J., Nakashima, C., 2020. Japanese species of Alternaria and their species boundaries based on host range. Fungal Syst. Evol. 5, 197. https://doi.org/10.3114/ fuse.2020.05.13.
- Parvin, I., Mondal, C., Sultana, S., Sultana, N., Aminuzzaman, F.M., 2021. Pathological survey on early leaf blight of tomato and *in vitro* effect of culture media, temperature and pH on growth and sporulation of *Alternaria solani*. Open Access Library Journal 8 (3), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1107219.
- Patriarca, A., da Cruz Cabral, L., Pavicich, M.A., Nielsen, K.F., Andersen, B., 2019. Secondary metabolite profiles of small-spored *Alternaria* support the new phylogenetic organization of the genus. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 291, 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.11.022.
- Prechsl, U.E., Rizzoli, W., Marschall, K., Jasper Wubs, E.R., 2023. Fungicide-free management of Alternaria leaf blotch and fruit spot on apple indicates *Alternaria* spp. as secondary colonizer. Sci. Rep. 13 (1), 8431. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-35448-2.
- Ramezani, Y., Taheri, P., Mamarabadi, M., 2019. Identification of *Alternaria* spp. associated with tomato early blight in Iran and investigating some of their virulence factors. J. Plant Pathol. 101, 647–659. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-019-00259-w.
- Sanzani, S.M., Gallone, T., Garganese, F., Caruso, A.G., Amenduni, M., Ippolito, A., 2019. Contamination of fresh and dried tomato by *Alternaria* toxins in southern Italy. Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess 36 (5), 789–799. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2019.1588998.
- Schmey, T., Small, C., Einspanier, S., Hoyoz, L.M., Ali, T., Gamboa, S., Mamani, B., Sepulveda, G.C., Thines, M., Stam, R., 2023. Small-spored *Alternaria* spp.(section *Alternaria*) are common pathogens on wild tomato species. Environ. Microbiol. 25 (10), 1830–1846. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16394.
- Schmey, T., Tominello-Ramirez, C.S., Brune, C., Stam, R., 2024. Alternaria diseases on potato and tomato. Mol. Plant Pathol. 25 (3), e13435. https://doi.org/10.1111/ mpp.13435.
- Shidlauskiene, A., Surviliene, E., 2002. Distribution and pathogenic peculiarities of fungi of the *Alternaria* genus on vegetable crops in Lithuania. Plant Prot. Sc. Prague 38, 395–398.
- Simmons, E., 2007. Alternaria, an Identification Manual. CBS Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Skjøth, C.A., Damialis, A., Belmonte, J., De Linares, C., Fernández-Rodríguez, S., Grinn-Gofroń, A., Jędryczka, M., Kasprzyk, I., Magyar, D., Myszkowska, D., Oliver, G., 2016. Alternaria spores in the air across Europe: abundance, seasonality and

L. Dučkena et al.

relationships with climate, meteorology and local environment. Aerobiologia 32, 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10453-016-9426-6.

- Smith, N.S., Fairchild, M.D., 2022. Virtual colour atlas. Color Res. Appl. 47 (4), 817–826. https://doi.org/10.1002/col.22780.
- Soltani, J., Sheikhahmadi, A., 2023. Endophyte-pathogen continuum in Alternaria alternata. J. Mycopathol. Res. 61 (2), 171–176. https://doi.org/10.57023/ JMycR.61.2.2023.171.
- Sung, G.H., Sung, J.M., Hywel-Jones, N.L., Spatafora, J.W., 2007. A multi-gene phylogeny of *Clavicipitaceae* (Ascomycota, fungi): identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44, 1204–1223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.011.
- Talavera, G., Castresana, J., 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56 (4), 564–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701472164.
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Kumar, S., 2021. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol. Biol. Evol. 38 (7), 3022–3027. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msab120.
- Templeton, A.R., Crandall, K.A., Sing, C.F., 1992. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. Genetics 132 (2), 619–633.
- Thomma, B.P., 2003. Alternaria spp.: from general saprophyte to specific parasite. Mol. Plant Pathol. 4 (4), 225–236. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1364-3703.2003.00173.x.
- Toome-Heller, M., Baskarathevan, J., Burnip, G., Alexander, B., 2018. First report of apple leaf blotch caused by *Alternaria arborescens* complex in New Zealand. New Zeal. J. Crop Hort. 46 (4), 354–359. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01140671.2018.1427117.
- Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 44 (W1), W232–W235. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- Tsuge, T., Harimoto, Y., Hanada, K., Akagi, Y., Kodama, M., Akimitsu, K., Yamamoto, M., 2016. Evolution of pathogenicity controlled by small, dispensable chromosomes in *Alternaria alternata* pathogens. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 95, 27–31. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2016.02.009.
- Wallace, J.G., 2023. Maize seed endophytes. Mol. Plant Pathol. 24 (7), 801–810. https:// doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13278.
- Wenderoth, M., Garganese, F., Schmidt-Heydt, M., Soukup, S.T., Ippolito, A., Sanzani, S. M., Fischer, R., 2019. Alternariol as virulence and colonization factor of *Alternaria*

alternata during plant infection. Mol. Microbiol. 112 (1), 131–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14258.

- White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White, T.J., J, T. (Eds.), PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 315–322.
- Woudenberg, J.H.C., Groenewald, J.Z., Binder, M., Crous, P.W., 2013. Alternaria redefined. Stud. Mycol. 75 (1), 171–212. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim0015.
- Woudenberg, J.H.C., Hanse, B., Van Leeuwen, G.C.M., Groenewald, J.Z., Crous, P.W., 2017. Stemphylium revisited. Stud. Mycol. 87 (1), 77–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.simyco.2017.06.001.
- Woudenberg, J.H.C., Seidl, M.F., Groenewald, J.Z., De Vries, M., Stielow, J.B., Thomma, B.P.H.J., Crous, P.W., 2015. Alternaria section Alternaria: species, formae speciales or pathotypes? Stud. Mycol. 82 (1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. simyco.2015.07.001.
- Woudenberg, J.H.C., Truter, M., Groenewald, J.Z., Crous, P.W., 2014. Large-spored Alternaria pathogens in section Porri disentangled. Stud. Mycol. 79, 1–47. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2014.07.003.
- Xu, X., Zhang, L., Yang, X., Cao, H., Li, J., Cao, P., Guo, L., Wang, X., Zhao, J., Xiang, W., 2022. Alternaria spp. associated with leaf blight of maize in Heilongjiang Province, China. Plant Dis. 106 (2), 572–584. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-06-21-1151-RE.
- Xu, Z.T., Long, J.H., Liu, J.Q., Zhang, R.Z., Xu, L.L., Wang, J.J., Wei, X.K., White, J.F., Kamran, M., Cui, H.W., Xue, L.H., 2024. Characterization and pathogenicity of *Alternaria* species associated with leaf spot on *Plantago lanceolata* in Sichuan Province, China. Plant Pathol. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13914.
- Yang, G., Cui, S., Huang, W., Wang, S., Ma, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, J., 2024. Greenhouses represent an important evolutionary niche for *Alternaria alternata*. Microbiol. Spectr. 12 (6), e00390. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00390-24, 24.
- Zhao, L., Cheng, H., Liu, H.F., Gao, G.Y., Zhang, Y., Li, Z.N., Deng, J.X., 2023. Pathogenicity and diversity of large-spored Alternaria associated with three solanaceous vegetables (Solanum tuberosum, S. lycopersicum and S. melongena) in China. Plant Pathol. 72 (2), 376–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13665.
- Zhu, X.Q., Xiao, C.L., 2015. Phylogenetic, morphological, and pathogenic characterization of *Alternaria* species associated with fruit rot of blueberry in California. Phytopathology 105 (12), 1555–1567. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-05-15-0122-R.