



HAL
open science

Inbreeding and road effect zone in a Ranidae: the case of Agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, 1840

David Lesbarrères, Alain Pagano, Thierry Lodé

► To cite this version:

David Lesbarrères, Alain Pagano, Thierry Lodé. Inbreeding and road effect zone in a Ranidae: the case of Agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, 1840. *Comptes Rendus. Biologies*, 2003, 326, pp.68 - 72. 10.1016/s1631-0691(03)00040-4 . hal-03844045

HAL Id: hal-03844045

<https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-03844045>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

C. R. Biologies 326 (2003) S68–S72



Inbreeding and road effect zone in a Ranidae: the case of Agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, 1840

David Lesbarrères*, Alain Pagano, Thierry Lodé

Laboratoire d'Ecologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Angers Belle-Beille, F-49045 Angers cedex, France

Abstract

Inbreeding has often been invoked in the extinction of local populations. In eleven western France populations of Agile frog studied, observed heterozygosity was significantly lower than expected in all cases, giving new evidence of such a depression in small populations. It especially occurred in ponds located near an highway rather than in undisturbed populations ($F_{IS} = 0.544$ and 0.315 , respectively). Thus, our results argue for a “road effect zone”. Discussing about road distance and conservation policies, we propose that roads are directly involved in inbreeding and in local extinction. Thus, road construction ought to consider conservation management. **To cite this article:** D. Lesbarrères et al., C. R. Biologies 326 (2003).

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Consanguinité et zone d'impact génétique de la route chez un ranidé : le cas de la grenouille agile, *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, 1840. Dans la baisse d'effectif des populations, la consanguinité est un phénomène important. Dans les onze populations de grenouilles agiles étudiées, H_{obs} est significativement plus faible que H_{exp} , mettant en évidence la dépression génétique des petites populations. Cela est d'autant plus remarquable dans les populations proches d'une autoroute que dans les populations non-perturbées (F_{IS} respectivement de $0,544$ et $0,315$). Nos résultats confirment donc l'existence d'une zone d'impact sur la génétique des populations. Dans un contexte de diminution des populations et de gestion conservatoire, nous proposons que la construction de routes joue un rôle direct sur la consanguinité et les extinctions locales. **Pour citer cet article :** D. Lesbarrères et al., C. R. Biologies 326 (2003).

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Keywords: inbreeding; heterozygosity; highway; roadway distance; *Rana dalmatina*

Mots-clés : consanguinité ; hétérozygotie ; autoroute ; éloignement de la chaussée ; *Rana dalmatina*

1. Introduction

Inbreeding is a major thematic involving researches in conservation biology. Firstly because in captivity,

mating among related individuals may decrease viability and fertility and secondly because inbreeding can be strongly involved in the extinction of small local populations [1,2]. However, in natural populations, inbreeding has been considered of little relevance by the engineers and policy administrators, considering that other ecological factors were more important in reducing population size and in extinguishing popula-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: david.lesbarreres@sciences.univ-angers.fr (D. Lesbarrères).

tions [3]. Nowadays, according that isolation of small populations is increasing and population size is a factor involved in genetic depletion, then small populations in fragmented landscapes tend to lose their genetic diversity [4].

Among anthropic modifications of the landscape caused by the increase of human population and its activities (deforestation, regrouping of lands, destruction of wetlands) highways construction presents either a direct risk for the survival of species or contribute to indirect threat through populations fragmentation [5]. Actually, highways are involved in habitat loss and vertebrate mortality [6] and are particularly concerned by integrated conservation and development projects [7]. However, one of the factors limiting the implementation of real conservation policy is the absence of agreement between scientists and engineers. As biological conservation should not lead to the final stop of roads construction, the goal is to progress by studying where to place them according to their need and their impact [8]. Then, studies presenting model and results of biodiversity [9,10] and genetic variability [11,12] in regard of human structures impact, are good values.

Amphibians are particularly sensitive to the modifications of their habitat. Indeed, according to their annual biological cycle, frogs present three different phases: reproduction, summering and hibernation. Actually, it may take place in different locations, highlighting the need of connectivity among sites. Then, it determines as much sites and corridors that have to be protected [13]. The persistence of a barrier effect on seasonal migrations, as involved by highways, gives raise to serious damages on population structure and migration. The road mortality is obviously the most concrete consequence in short-term and this is all the more detrimental with respect to the amphibians [14, 15]. The pollution is also a threat for highway adjacent environments. There are two kinds of pollution: sound, from the noise of the vehicles, and chemical pollution, following the emanations of these same vehicles. These emanations, made up of heavy metals, cause deposits on the ground, in the water and on the plants, contaminating insects, small mammals and amphibians [16]. However, while lots of biotic parameters are proved to affect heterozygosity and allelic differentiation, specific population genetic effects are expected to occur as a result of highway presence (iso-

lation, genetic substructuring. . .) and few studies have experienced the influence of road structures on genetic quality and on inbreeding in amphibians [17]. By studying heterozygosity, we are able to estimate inbreeding, to predict populations equilibrium and then to propose adapted conservation plans.

Thus, our study presents two issues. Firstly, it documents genetic heterozygosity in small populations of Agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, 1840, that may be considered as disturbed or non disturbed by a highway. Secondly, our purpose is to analyse how linear infrastructures may influence this observed natural genetic variability and may be involved in inbreeding.

2. Material and methods

We sampled 11 populations of Agile frog, *Rana dalmatina* in western France. They were considered as belonging to environments with different degrees of road influence.

- (i) seven populations were investigated in ponds far from important roads or highways, in meadows between Angers and Cholet, Maine & Loire, France (considered as undisturbed populations).
- (ii) four other populations were located close to the A11 highway, between Angers, Maine & Loire and Nantes, Loire Atlantique, France (considered as disturbed (“highway”) populations).

As seasonal migrations were supposed to occur over kilometres, we measured the distance from each pond to a high traffic road ($\geq 10\,000$ vehicles per day) to estimate the importance of this human influence on migration axes and summer area.

Population size was estimated by numbering clutches and by estimating the number of calling males. Ponds below 40 adults frogs or 20 clutches were considered as small populations.

In May 1999, genetic sampling was made by collecting a mean of 14.6 spawns for each population. About 10% of the eggs of each clutch of the same population were collected and reared in plastic pans of 5 litres. Then, tadpoles represent a strong sampling of the genetic pool of the population. After emergence, 24 to 36 froglets (mean = 30.4) from each population were preserved at -23°C until genetic analysis

Table 1
Genetic summary statistics for the *Rana dalmatina* undisturbed and highway populations in Western France

| Populations | Mean alleles per locus | Mean H_{obs} per locus | Mean H_{exp} per locus | F_{IS} |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Undisturbed ($n = 7$) | 2.5 | 0.358 | 0.522 | 0.315 |
| Highway ($n = 4$) | 2.23 | 0.151 | 0.330 | 0.544 |

and others were released. Crude protein extracts were used for horizontal starch-gel electrophoresis. Samples were homogenised in equal volume of distilled water and centrifuged at 12 000g for 5 minutes à 4°C. Migration was performed in 12% continuous Tris citrate starch gels, for 3–5 hours at 300 V and 4°C. Slices were stained for revealing specific enzymes using standard procedures [18] and nine enzymes encoded by 12 polymorphic loci were investigated (AK, EC 2.7.4.3; CK-1 and CK-2, EC 2.7.3.2; 6-PGDH, EC 1.1.1.44; GPI, EC 5.3.1.9; α GDH, EC 1.1.1.8; LDH-1 and LDH-2, EC 1.1.1.27; MDH-1 and MDH-2, EC 1.1.1.37; MPI, EC 5.3.1.8; PGM, EC 5.4.2.2).

3. Results

Mean distance between undisturbed ponds and high traffic road was 2173 m \pm 1092. It was significantly higher than mean distance between “highway” populations and the roadway (mean = 94.3 m; SD = 62.2; $t_{\text{Welch}} = 4.645$; df = 6; $p = 0.003$). All populations were small; the difference between size of undisturbed populations and highway populations was not significant ($U_{\text{Mann-Whitney}} = 7.5$; $p = 0.23$).

Firstly, *Rana dalmatina* populations of western France present an important allozymic variation. It is related with a high polymorphism and an average of 2.5 alleles per enzyme in undisturbed populations (Table 1). However, in highway populations the number of alleles per enzyme averages 2.23 and was significantly lower ($t_{\text{Welch}} = 4.339$; df = 3; $p = 0.023$).

No significant difference in heterozygosity level was recorded among the seven undisturbed populations ($F = 0.139$; df = 6; $p = 0.991$). In these populations, H_{obs} was significantly lower than H_{exp} ($t_{\text{Welch}} = 14.3$; df = 8; $p < 0.0001$). On the other hand, no significant difference in heterozygosity level was recorded among the four highway populations ($F = 1.124$; df = 3; $p = 0.324$) while H_{obs} was significantly lower than H_{exp} ($t_{\text{Welch}} = 6.504$; df = 3; $p = 0.007$).

Moreover, H_{obs} was significantly lower in highway populations than in undisturbed ones ($t_{\text{Welch}} = 14.18$; df = 8; $p < 0.0001$). Consequently, undisturbed populations present a significantly lower F_{IS} index than highway populations ($F_{\text{IS}} = 0.315$; 0.544, respectively; $t_{\text{Welch}} = 5.605$; df = 3; $p = 0.011$). The difference between H_{obs} and H_{exp} have been also analysed for each locus (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Agile frog populations of western France evidence a high deficiency in heterozygotes. Indeed, F_{IS} index is high, suggesting an inbreeding process. Several explanations may be invoked for this peculiar result: (1) *Rana dalmatina* populations are small compared with *Rana temporaria* ones that exhibit population sizes superior to hundred individuals [19]. (2) It can reflect a complex reproductive system of this territorial species where all the adult males do not access to reproduction [20]. (3) The high breeding pond fidelity could also result in reducing the genetic variability of populations, especially in amphibians [21]. (4) A selection on a particular stage of life, as eggs for example, may also be regarded as a source of genetic depletion. (5) The heterozygosity deficiency revealed in *Rana dalmatina* populations could be induced by a reduction of reproductive adults number because of road mortality. As heterozygosity deficiency is more pronounced in highway populations than in undisturbed ones, hypothesis 1, 2 and 3 may not be sufficient to explain such a difference, because they rely on species characteristics whatever the population. A selection on eggs could not be evoked too because all of the clutches were sampled in the same generation, and adult heterozygosity analysis confirmed our results [22]. These hypotheses might only result in the heterozygotes deficiency. In contrast, we propose that highways consist in a threat for frogs during their reproductive movements, with a negative

Table 2

Observed and expected heterozygosities within loci for the *Rana dalmatina* undisturbed and highway populations in Western France

| Populations Locus | Undisturbed ($n = 7$) | | Highway ($n = 4$) | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| | H_{obs} | H_{exp} | H_{obs} | H_{exp} |
| AK | 0.275 | 0.492 | 0.190 | 0.467 |
| CK-1 | 0.276 | 0.494 | 0.107 | 0.394 |
| CK-2 | 0.332 | 0.494 | 0.182 | 0.498 |
| 6-PGDH | 0.416 | 0.628 | 0.246 | 0.621 |
| GPI | 0.186 | 0.376 | 0.138 | 0.170 |
| α GDH | 0.348 | 0.498 | 0.096 | 0.283 |
| LDH-1 | 0.565 | 0.737 | 0.333 | 0.693 |
| LDH-2 | 0.413 | 0.594 | 0.081 | 0.500 |
| MDH-1 | 0.442 | 0.744 | 0.213 | 0.635 |
| MDH-2 | 0.300 | 0.490 | 0.131 | 0.501 |
| MPI | 0.406 | 0.498 | 0.018 | 0.065 |
| PGM | 0.328 | 0.499 | 0.077 | 0.192 |

influence on dispersal [23], reducing population size and involving an inbreeding process.

In general, Agile frog populations size is not considerable. Highway populations seem to be slightly smaller than undisturbed ones (10 adults versus 26 on average, n.s.). Whatever the cause of such a difference (pollution, noise, road mortality...) the low number of reproductive adults may have genetic consequences. Indeed, population size is a factor involved in genetic depletion and small populations lose more rapidly their genetic diversity. In regard to our results, roadway proximity appears as one major cause of this lower genetic variability, thus strongly suggesting that such linear structures increase extinction risk for species. While genetic flows and biological diversity trace broad patterns across the landscape, transportation planning for human mobility traditionally focuses on a narrow strip close to a road or highway. Forman and Deblinger [24] evidenced that populations close to a high traffic road (distance < 600 m) exhibited several deficiencies (biological diversity, genetic depletion) arguing they are located in a “road effect zone”. In the four highway populations of our study, the mean distance between pond and high traffic road is significantly lower than in undisturbed populations. Moreover, highway ponds are close to the roadside, in a distance less than 100 meters and the results on genetic depletion in highway populations, that are within the “road effect zone”, partly validate this model. Forman & Deblinger [24] advised a protected zone of about 600 m to prevent perturbation of mammal and amphibian roadside populations. This distance has been cho-

sen according to noise reduction and gene flow preservation. Indeed, many species are injured by roadway, especially during migration, like snails [25], amphibians [14], birds [26,27] and mammals [28]. Thus, by mapping such a zone, we might learn to predict how future roads will influence environments and where conservation projects (underpasses, noise barriers, ...) have to be built [29,30].

The majority of natural populations extinction results from environment modification, especially in the urbanised landscapes [9]. Extension of human activities and roads construction are ineluctable issues in the twenty-first century. Within the general decline of amphibian populations, it raises considerable issues for species conservation. Then, preserving genetically distinct populations is a fundamental concern for biodiversity [31], considering that small populations are more exposed to extinction than others [3,32]. Thus, we conclude that busy roads and natural areas, like breeding ponds, should be well separated, and that future transportation systems across landscapes can provide for ecological flows and biological diversity in addition to safe and efficient human mobility.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Autoroutes du Sud de la France for assistance with funding, to the site owners that allowed us to access to their pond, to J.-A. Rio for technical help pond and to Sonya Shinkins for her help in correcting the English version of this manuscript.

References

- [1] R. Frankham, K. Ralls, Inbreeding leads to extinction, *Nature* 392 (1998) 441–442.
- [2] T. Roslin, Inbreeding in nature: brothers and sisters, do not unite!, *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16 (2001) 225.
- [3] A.R. Blaustein, D.B. Wake, W.P. Sousa, Amphibian declines: judging stability, persistence and susceptibility of populations to local and global extinctions, *Conservation Biology* 8 (1994) 60–71.
- [4] M.C. Whitlock, Fixation of new alleles and the extinction of small populations: drift load, beneficial alleles, and sexual selection, *Evolution* 54 (2000) 1855–1861.
- [5] P.R. Ehrlich, The loss of diversity: causes and consequences, in: E.O. Wilson, F.M. Peter (Eds.), *Biodiversity*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1988, pp. 21–27.
- [6] T. Lodé, Effects of a motorway on mortality and isolation of wildlife populations, *Ambio* 29 (2000) 163–166.
- [7] D. Lesbarrères, T. Lodé, La conservation des amphibiens : exemple d'aménagements autoroutiers, *Bulletin de la Société des Sciences Naturelles de l'Ouest de la France* 22 (2000) 37–48.
- [8] C. Aschwanden, Tread softly, *New Scientist* (2001) 32–36.
- [9] T.J.C. Beebee, Changes in dewpond numbers and amphibian diversity over 20 years on chalk downland in Sussex, England, *Biological Conservation* 81 (1997) 215–219.
- [10] A. Balmford, K.J. Gaston, Why biodiversity surveys are good value, *Nature* 398 (1999) 204–205.
- [11] A.R. Templeton, K. Shaw, E. Routman, S.K. Davis, The genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation, *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 77 (1990) 13–27.
- [12] J. Dunham, M. Peacock, C.R. Tracy, J. Nielsen, G. Vinyard, Assessing extinction risk: integrating genetic information, *Conservation Ecology* 3 (1) (1999) 2, [online].
- [13] C.K.J. Dodd, B.S. Cade, Movement patterns and the conservation of Amphibians breeding in small, temporary wetland, *Conservation Biology* 12 (1998) 331–339.
- [14] D.B. Means, The effects of highway mortality on four species of amphibians at a small, temporary pond in northern Florida. ICOWET III, The International Conference on Wildlife Ecology and Transportation, Missoula, USA, 1999.
- [15] T. Hels, E. Buchwald, The effect of road kills on amphibian populations, *Biological Conservation* 99 (2001) 331–340.
- [16] S.J. Hecnar, R.T. M'Closkey, Amphibian species richness and distribution in relation to pond water chemistry in south-western Ontario, Canada, *Freshwater Biology* 36 (1996) 7–15.
- [17] S.P. Hitchings, T.J.C. Beebee, Genetic substructuring as a result of barriers to gene flow in urban *Rana temporaria* (common frog) populations: implications for biodiversity conservation, *Heredity* 79 (1997) 117–127.
- [18] D.M. Hillis, C. Moritz, *Molecular Systematics*, Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA, 1990.
- [19] B. Geisselmann, R. Flindt, H. Hemmer, Studien zur Biologie, Ökologie und Merkmalsvariabilität der beiden Braunfroscharten *Rana temporaria* L. und *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte, *Zoologische Jahrbucher Systematik* 98 (1971) 521–568.
- [20] T. Lodé, Rythme d'activité et déplacements chez la grenouille agile *Rana dalmatina* Bonaparte 1840 dans l'ouest de la France, *Bulletin de la Société Herpétologique de France* 66 (1993) 11–20.
- [21] U. Sinsch, Migration and orientation in anuran amphibians, *Etology Ecology Evolution* 2 (1990) 65–79.
- [22] D. Lesbarrères, Structuration, flux génétiques et biologie de la reproduction des populations de Grenouilles agiles, *Rana dalmatina* dans l'Ouest de la France : applications à la conservation, Thèse de Doctorat, Université d'Angers, Angers, France, 2001.
- [23] C.C. Vos, A.G. Antonisse-De Jong, P.W. Goedhart, M.J.M. Smulders, Genetic similarity as a measure for connectivity between fragmented populations of the moor frog (*Rana arvalis*), *Heredity* 86 (2001) 598–608.
- [24] R.T.T. Forman, R.D. Deblinger, The ecological road-effect zone of a Massachusetts (U.S.A.) suburban highway, *Conservation Biology* 14 (2000) 36–46.
- [25] A. Baur, B. Baur, Are roads barriers to dispersal in the land snail *Arianta arbustorum*?, *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68 (1989) 613–617.
- [26] A.N.v.d. Zande, W.J.t. Keurs, W.J.v.d. Weijden, The impact of roads on the densities of four bird species in an open field habitat-evidence of a long-distance effect, *Biological Conservation* 18 (1980) 299–321.
- [27] R. Junker-Bornholdt, M. Wagner, M. Zimmermann, S. Simonis, K.H. Schmidt, W. Wilschko, The impact of a motorway in construction and after opening to traffic on the breeding biology of Great Tit (*Parus major*) and Blue Tit (*Parus caeruleus*), *Zeitschrift für Ornithologie* 139 (1998) 131–139.
- [28] C. Callaghan, P.C. Paquet, J. Wierzchowski, Highway effects on Gray Wolves within the Golden Canyon, British Columbia. ICOWET III, The International Conference on Wildlife Ecology and Transportation, Missoula, USA, 1999.
- [29] A. Simonyi, M. Puky, T. Tóth, L. Pásztor, B. Bakó, Z. Molnár, Progress in protecting wildlife from transportation impacts in Hungary and other european countries. ICOWET III, The International Conference on Wildlife Ecology and Transportation, Missoula, USA, 1999.
- [30] R.T.T. Forman, Estimate of the area affected ecologically by the road system in the United States, *Conservation Biology* 14 (2000) 31–35.
- [31] O.H. Frankel, Genetic conservation: our evolutionary responsibility, *Genetics* 78 (1974) 53–65.
- [32] T.M. Caro, M.K. Laurenson, Ecological and genetic factors in conservation: a cautionary tale, *Science* 263 (1994) 485–486.