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The collision-induced hyper-Rayleigh (CIHR) spectra of the gaseous $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ mixture are discussed in the binary regime on the basis of our ab initio computed $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ collision-induced (CI) first dipole hyperpolarizability tensor $\Delta \beta(R)$. A method for the computation of the spherical, rotationally adapted components $\Delta \beta_{L,J,K}^{	ext{ad}}(R)$ of $\Delta \beta(R)$ needed for spectroscopic line shape analysis is proposed. Both the vector and the septon parts of the $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ CIHR spectrum are evaluated at room ($T = 295$ K) temperature. The spectra are calculated assuming the full quantum computations based on the Schrödinger equation of the relative translational motion of $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ as well as semiclassical methods (classical trajectory approach and Birnbaum–Cohen model translational profiles). The $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ pair CIHR septor spectrum has been found stronger than the vector one. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2981042]

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent rapid progress in experimental methods of molecular spectroscopy as well as in numerical procedures of quantum chemistry (QC) makes it possible to attain a deeper insight into considerably more subtle microscopic molecular phenomena. Among them, the linear collision-induced (CI) Rayleigh/Raman light scattering processes have been treated experimentally and theoretically for decades, both with regard to systems composed of monomers and those consisted of interacting atoms/molecules, forming weakly bound complexes—supermolecules.

Concurrently, nonlinear processes of that kind were also researched into, though on account of relatively weak intensities detected in this case attention was almost exclusively paid to the monomer aspect of the studies. However, the prospect of further development in measuring tools of experimental spectroscopy together with the present day availability of powerful QC codes heralds rapprochement between theory and experiment also in the field of nonlinear CI light scattering. Therefore formulating a theoretical and numerical basis in order to interpret such processes seems to be worth being taken into consideration.

In our earlier works we developed numerical/theoretical methods of evaluating molecular properties active in the CI hyper-Rayleigh (CIHR) events in media of a relatively high (linear) symmetry formed by atomic pairs of unlike noble gas atoms. The present paper succeeds the previous series by extending the scope of discussion to a more complex symmetry of scattering entities, i.e., supermolecular system of $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$.

The phenomenon of interest to us occurs when at a collision of two molecules the intermolecular interactions lead to distortion of the molecules charge distributions, so that a collisional complex may possess a dipole moment, polarizability, and hyperpolarizability in excess of a sum of these properties of the isolated molecules. Theoretical and experimental investigations of weakly bound molecular complexes are of fundamental importance to our understanding of the intermolecular forces responsible for the properties of dense phases. These interactions may lead in some cases to unusual situations especially when the monomers lack some spectral features that emerge due to intermolecular interactions. These changes are the most prominent if the interacting monomers possess a center of symmetry. Owing to the inversion symmetry, the monomer tensorial electric properties of odd rank vanish. For instance, the permanent dipole moment of such microsystems is strictly zero and as a result no electromagnetic radiation absorption can occur. The radiation is absorbed, however, due to intermolecular interactions.

Similarly, the third rank tensor of the first dipole hyperpolarizability $\beta$ responsible for hyper-Rayleigh (HR) scattering of light is inconsistent with any centrosymmetric microsystem. The third rank tensor is odd under the coordinate inversion, whereas the inversion leaves the centrosymmetric microsystem unchanged. The CI first dipole hyperpolarizability tensor $\Delta \beta(R)$ appears only as a result of the interaction with another microsystem, provided that the pair has configurations which do not possess the center of symmetry. The $\text{H}_2$–$\text{Ar}$ pair fulfills these conditions.

The CI dipole moment is related to the CI absorption. The trace of the CI polarizability is related to...
the second virial dielectric coefficients $B_{v}^{22}$ whereas the dynamics of this trace are investigated by analyzing the polarized (isotropic) spectrum of CI Rayleigh light scattering.7,9,23 Similarly, the anisotropy of the CI polarizability is related to the depolarized spectrum of CI light scattering and/or to the second virial coefficient $B_{v}$ of dc Kerr effect.18,19,27,31 The nonlinear effects also contribute to the Kerr effect and dc second harmonic generation. It is noteworthy, however, that in the latter experiments the scalar product of the dipole ($\mu$) and hyperpolarizability ($\beta$) is measured. This means that only the vector part of the hyperpolarizability tensor is accessible by these methods.

CIHR scattering has two components, the vector and the septror ones, separable by polarization effects,19,32–34 We note that for the circularly polarized incident laser radiation the circularly polarized incident laser radiation the septror part of the first dipole hyperpolarizability is directly measurable.32,35,36 It is worth noticing that the nonlinear optical (NLO) properties of molecules are of particular importance due to the current interest in NLO materials for high-level applications in advanced technologies.37,38

In this work we report analysis of the CIHR spectrum of the $H_{2}$–Ar mixture at room temperature and in the binary regime. In general, in this spectrum the translational and purely rotational bands form one composite band. The spectroscopic method we proposed here is a powerful tool because we compare the shapes of the spectral distributions on an absolute scale. In Sec. II, the theory and the method of the computation of CI hyperpolarizability for the $H_{2}$–Ar pair are presented. The CI pair hyperpolarizability for $D_{ab}$ molecule-atom system is considered analytically in Sec. III. The symmetry allowed form of the CI hyperpolarizability spherical harmonic expansion is discussed here as well. The CI spectrum of the HR scattered light for molecular hydrogen-argon system is given in Sec. IV. The quantum and semiclassical (SC) considerations are presented. The potential for $H_{2}$–Ar pair used in our calculations is given in Sec. V. In Sec. VI some details of our numerical computations are presented and discussed. Section VII concludes the paper.

II. AB INITIO COLLISIONAL HYPERPOLARIZABILITY

An extensive presentation of our computational methodology for interaction properties may be found in our previous works (see Refs. 39 and 40). A few essential details will be given here. We follow Buckingham’s conventions and terminology throughout this section. In the finite-field method the energy of an uncharged molecule in a weak, homogeneous static electric field can be written as

$$E^{0} = E^{0} - \mu_{s}F_{s} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{ij}F_{i}F_{j} - \frac{1}{6}\beta_{ijk}F_{i}F_{j}F_{k} - \frac{1}{24}\gamma_{ijkl}F_{i}F_{j}F_{k}F_{l} + \cdots,$$

(1)

where $F_{s}$ is the field, $E^{0}$ is the energy of the free molecule, $\mu_{s}$ is the dipole moment, $\alpha_{ij}$ is the dipole polarizability, $\beta_{ijk}$ is the first dipole hyperpolarizability, and $\gamma_{ijkl}$ is the second dipole hyperpolarizability. The subscripts denote Cartesian components and the repeated subscript implies summation over $x$, $y$, and $z$. The number of independent and nonzero components needed to specify the above tensors is regulated by symmetry.18,19,41,42 The tensorial physical property of a system is characterized by two kinds of symmetry: the intrinsic symmetry defined by the nature of the phenomena studied, described by the symmetry of Cartesian tensor indices and the second one due to the geometric symmetry of the system. We consider the (hyper)polarizability tensors of the supermolecule to be fully symmetric (s) to its indices. The molecules is always on the $z$ axis and the Ar atom moves accordingly. Then for an arbitrary $H_{2}$–Ar configuration ($C_{s}$ point group symmetry) the polarizability tensor has four linearly independent components, whereas the hyperpolarizability tensor has six linearly independent components. We compute the CI polarizability and hyperpolarizability tensors for the $H_{2}$–Ar pair as a function of their relative separation $R$ and for the orientations of $0^\circ$, $45^\circ$, and $90^\circ$ between the relative intermolecular vector $R$ and the H–H bond. In our computations we assumed that $r_{H-H}=1.449\AA_{0}$.43

The interaction electric properties of the supermolecule are obtained via the well-tested Boys–Bernardi counterpoise-correction method.44 The interaction quantity $P_{\text{int}}(H_{2}\cdot\cdot\cdot Ar)(R)$ at a given internuclear separation $R$ is computed as

$$P_{\text{int}}(H_{2}\cdot\cdot\cdot Ar)(R) = P(H_{2}\cdot\cdot\cdot Ar)(R) - P(H_{2}\cdot\cdot\cdot X)(R) - P(X\cdot\cdot\cdot Ar)(R).$$

(2)

All calculations were performed at the second-order Möller–Plesset, MP2(full), level of theory with the GAUSSIAN 98 program.45 All electrons were correlated. Full descriptions of the MP2 method are available in standard textbooks.46 The selection of suitable basis sets is of central importance to the interaction (hyper)polarizability calculations. In this work we relied on a $[8s6p5d4f]$ basis set used and tested systematically in the previous works (see Refs. 39 and 40). This basis gives the Ar dipole polarizability at the self-consistent field level of theory: $\alpha_{e}^{2\text{Ar}}=10.66$, to be compared to the numerical Hartree–Fock value of 10.758. For $H_{2}$ we rely on previous experience46 to propose a $[6s4p2d]$ basis set. This is an augmented version of the $[6s4p1d]$ purpose-oriented basis set used previously in the calculations for $H_{2}$–$H_{2}$ (see Ref. 40) which contains an additional diffuse $d$-GTF with exponent $\eta_{d}=0.0464\alpha_{0}^{-2}$.

III. CI PAIR HYPERPOLARIZABILITY FOR $D_{ab}$ MOLECULE—ATOM SYSTEM: SYMMETRY ADAPTED SPHERICAL HARMONIC EXPANSION

A. The irreducible spherical components of $\Delta \beta(R)$

For an arbitrary relative geometry the $H_{2}$–Ar supermolecule is of $C_{v}$ symmetry. For the T shaped configuration, it reduces to $C_{2v}$ symmetry, whereas for the L shaped configuration it further reduces to $C_{2v}$ symmetry. First we note that the reduction spectrum of a fully index symmetric (s) rank-3 tensor reads: $1 \oplus 3$. Then only the vectorlike (weight-$K$=1) and the septrorlike (weight-$K$=3) parts are present for a tensor like this. This situation applies to the CI hyperpolarizability tensor $\Delta \beta(R)$ considered here. Now we discuss the irreducible spherical components of $\Delta \beta(R)$ for $C_{1}$, $C_{2}$, and $C_{\infty}$ symmetries.35,49,50 For $C_{1}$ symmetry, we have six independent irreducible spherical components, namely,
The CI pair hyperpolarizability tensor \( \Delta \mathbf{\beta} \) for a linear molecule-atom supermolecule is dependent on the molecular orientation \( \Omega \) and the relative intermolecular separation vector \( \mathbf{R} \). We expand \( \Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) \) in terms of spherical harmonics \( Y_L(\Omega) \) and the computed Cartesian irreducible spherical components \( \Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) \) for the totally symmetric \( \Delta \beta^{(s)}_\mu(R) \) and \( \Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) \) components. Similarly, for the octopolar (or septon; \( K=3 \)) pair, the set of equations given by relation (4) has been solved for seven octopolar irreducible rotationally adapted components \( \Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) \) of Eq. (4) using the known \( \Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) \) irreducible spherical components computed for selected \( \mathrm{H}_2-\mathrm{Ar} \) configurations and selected \( \mathrm{H}_2-\mathrm{Ar} \) distances. Using the \( \textit{ab initio} \) computed Cartesian components of the CI hyperpolarizability tensor for the dipolar (or vector; \( K=1 \)) pair of \( \Delta \beta(R) \), we are able to compute four spherical rotationally adapted components, namely, \( \Delta \beta^{(s)}_0(R), \Delta \beta^{(s)}_1(R), \Delta \beta^{(s)}_{23}(R), \text{ and } \Delta \beta^{(s)}_{45}(R) \) for the octopolar (or septon; \( K=3 \)) pair, the set of equations given by relation (4) has been solved for seven octopolar irreducible rotationally adapted components \( \Delta \beta^{(s)}_0(R), \Delta \beta^{(s)}_1(R), \Delta \beta^{(s)}_{23}(R), \text{ and } \Delta \beta^{(s)}_{45}(R) \) for their known Cartesian ones. The numerical results have been presented elsewhere. \(^{51,55,56} \)

The fitting analytical forms are also available. We fit the numerical data with the following function:

\[
\Delta \beta^{(s,K)}_\mu(R) = a \exp[b(R/10)^{10} + c(R/10)] + \frac{d}{(R/10)^{10}} + \frac{e}{(R/10)^{10}} + \frac{f}{(R/10)^{10}} .
\]

### TABLE I. The fitting numerical coefficients of the subsequent spherical components of the dipolar \( (K=1) \) part of CI hyperpolarizability of \( \mathrm{H}_2-\mathrm{Ar} \) pair. The fitting function is given by Eq. (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda L )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( e )</th>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>-6.317</td>
<td>-22.992</td>
<td>10.619</td>
<td>-0.0134</td>
<td>4.924 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.350 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>\cdots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.431</td>
<td>-6.578</td>
<td>2.527</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>-0.0013</td>
<td>4.174 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>\cdots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.006 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>-26.232</td>
<td>45.763</td>
<td>-0.0088</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>-3.115 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-0.133 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-18.390</td>
<td>-5.132</td>
<td>-4.354</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
<td>1.081 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>\cdots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II. The fitting numerical coefficients of the subsequent spherical components of the octopolar \( (K=3) \) part of CI hyperpolarizability of \( \mathrm{H}_2-\mathrm{Ar} \) pair. The fitting function is given by Eq. (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda L )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( e )</th>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td>-1.566</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>5.999 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>-3.577</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>3.14 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-1.339 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>-3.047</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>3.216 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-1.909 \times 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-1.964</td>
<td>2.882</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.0013</td>
<td>1.072 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.437 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-5.732</td>
<td>15.364</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>-3.823 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.381 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-1.587</td>
<td>-1.067</td>
<td>-0.0049</td>
<td>4.103 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-9.045 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>-4.266</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>-3.697 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>7.703 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
collected in Table I. The fitting numerical coefficients of the septor part are collected in Table II. All data there are given in a.u.

IV. CI SPECTRUM OF HR SCATTERED LIGHT FOR MOLECULAR HYDROGEN-ARGON SYSTEM

A. General considerations

We consider the right angle geometry for observation of the CIHR spectra with no analyzer in the experimental setup. We assume the incident laser beam of frequency \( \omega \) to be polarized in the scattering plane. For these conditions we observe the depolarized \( (I_{HH}) \) CIHR light scattering spectra. By assuming of separability of rotational and translational motions the double differential cross section for the CIHR depolarized component reads

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{HH}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right) | I_0 = \frac{\pi}{2c} k_s^4 \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \sum_{j j'} P_j (2j+1)(2j'+1) \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(1)} (\omega - \omega_{jj'}) \right. \\
+ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(2)} (\omega - \omega_{jj'}) \right\} ,
\]

where \( \omega = 2\pi v \) stands for the angular frequency shift. Considering the incident laser beam to be polarized perpendicularly to the scattering plane we obtain the polarized \( (I_V) \) component of the CIHR spectra

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{VV}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right) | I_0 = \frac{\pi}{2c} k_s^4 \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \sum_{j j'} P_j (2j+1)(2j'+1) \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(1)} (\omega - \omega_{jj'}) \right. \\
+ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(2)} (\omega - \omega_{jj'}) \right\} .
\]

In Eqs. (6) and (7),

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} J & \lambda & J' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)
\]
denotes the 3−j Wigner symbol, and \( P_j \) is the Boltzmann population factor. The \( g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(K)} (\omega) \) functions appearing in Eqs. (6) and (7) represent the translational profiles stemming from the successive symmetry adapted components \( \Delta^{(K)}_{\lambda \lambda'}(R) \) of, respectively, the vector and the septon parts of the CI hyperpolarizability tensor. We note that each translational function is shifted by a frequency of the hydrogen molecule rotational transition \( \omega_{jj'} \). We use

\[
P_j = \frac{g_j \exp(-E_j/k_BT)}{\sum_j g_j (2j+1) \exp(-E_j/k_BT)}
\]

for the Boltzmann population factor and

\[
\omega_{jj'} = -B[j'(j'+1) - j(j+1)],
\]

where \( g_j \) stands for the nuclear-statistical weight factor (for hydrogen \( g_j = 1 \) if \( j \) is even and \( g_j = 3 \) if \( j \) is odd), whereas \( B \) stands for the rotational constant of the hydrogen molecule.

We assume that the \( H_2 – Ar \) intermolecular potential is spherical (or almost spherical). That assumption splits the autocorrelation function \( F(t) \) of the CIHR scattered radiation into the product \( (V \text{ is the active scattering volume})

\[
F(t) = \frac{1}{V} \rho^{\text{rot}}(t) g^{\text{tr}}(t)
\]

of the rotational \( \rho^{\text{rot}}(t) \) and the translational \( g^{\text{tr}}(t) \) components and, consequently, the spectral distribution \( F(t) \) takes the form of the convolution

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} = k_s^4 \int \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega'} \right) \Delta \rho \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial \Omega \partial (\omega - \omega') \right) d\omega'.
\]

Using Eq. (3) for the translational component, we write

\[
g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(K)}(R) = \frac{1}{2L+1} \left( \Delta^{(K)}_{\lambda \lambda'}(R)(0) \right) Y_L(\hat{\mathbf{R}}(0)) \times \Delta^{(K)}_{\lambda \lambda'}(R)(\hat{\mathbf{R}}(t)).
\]

Then for \( I_{HH} \) scattering geometry, the double differential cross section of the vector part of the CIHR scattered radiation reads

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{VV}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right) | I_0 = \frac{\pi}{2c} k_s^4 \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \sum_{j j'} (2j+1) \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(1)} (\omega - \omega_{jj'}). \]

Considering the same scattering conditions, for the septon part of the CIHR spectra we obtain

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{HH}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right) | I_0 = \frac{8}{105} \frac{\pi}{2c} k_s^4 \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \sum_{j j'} (2j+1) \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & \lambda & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 \Delta^{(K)}_{\lambda \lambda'}(R)(\omega - \omega_{jj'}).
\]

The rotational stick spectra associated to the vector part and the septon parts of the CIHR spectra being defined by Eqs. (13) and (14), it remains to compute the translational parts \( g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(1)}(\omega) \) and \( g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(2)}(\omega) \). We note that the translation correlation function \( g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(1)}(\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of the time-dependent correlation function \( g_{\lambda \lambda'}^{(K)}(t) \) given by Eq. (12). In this work, we present the corresponding quantum mechanical (QM) calculations and two SC methods as well.
B. QM spectrum

The basic quantity to be counted when dealing with the radial part of the CIHR light scattering spectrum is the matrix element of the radial-dependent rotationally adapted spherical component $\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)$,

$$(\text{QM})_{B_{kl}}(\omega) = \sum_{i,j} (2l+1)H(L)^{i}_j P_{ij} \int_0^\infty \left| \Psi(R;E',l') \right|^2 \times \Delta \beta_{kl}(R) \Psi(R;E,l) dR \delta(\omega - \omega_{ij}),$$

where

$$H(L)^{i}_j = (2l'+1)\left( \begin{array}{ccc} l' & L & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2.$$  

The radial wave functions $\Psi(R;E,l)/R$ are solutions of the Schrödinger equation of relative translational motion of $H_2$ and $Ar$. Then, following the usual path of calculation of such integrals, neglecting the contribution of the bound dimers, we arrive at

$$(\text{QM})_{B_{kl}}(\omega) = \frac{L_0^2}{\hbar \pi^2} \sum_{l',l} (2l+1)H(L)^{i}_j \int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{(EE')^{3/2}} \times P_{E}(\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)^{i}_j (E,\omega)^2),$$

where $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the supermolecule and $L_0$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength of the relative motion of two atoms. Moreover,

$$\left| (\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)^{i}_j (E,\omega)^2 \right| = \int_0^\infty \Psi^*(R;E',l') \times \Delta \beta_{kl}(R) \Psi(R;E,l) dR \bigg|_{E = E + \hbar \omega}^2,$$

After changing the normalization conditions, Eq. (17) finally leads to

$$(\text{QM})_{B_{kl}}(\omega) = \frac{\hbar}{V} \sum_{l',l} (2l+1)H(L)^{i}_j (\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)^{i}_j (\omega).$$

Finally

$$(\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)^{i}_j (\omega) = \int_0^\infty dE \exp[-E/k_BT](\Delta \beta_{kl}(R)^{i}_j (E,\omega)^2).$$

Much of the details of the quantum numerical method is given in Refs. 27, 28, and 58 and in the papers cited there. The routine is based on the Numerov algorithm of solving differential equations together with standard integration procedures applied to evaluate necessary matrix elements.

C. SC spectrum

1. Birnbaum–Cohen model spectrum

For the computation of $g^{(1)}_{s \lambda l}(\omega)$ and $g^{(3)}_{s \lambda l}(\omega)$, the translational parts of the SC spectra, two methods can be alternately applied. The first one is the Birnbaum–Cohen (BC) model. By using the sum rules, we can compute $M_0$, $M_1$, and $M_2$, the zeroth, second, and fourth classical moments of a given translational spectrum, and deduce the characteristic times $\tau_1 = (M_0/M_2)^{1/2} \times y$ and $\tau_2 = (M_0/M_2)^{1/2} / y$, where $y = (M_2/M_0(3M_2^2 - 1)^{1/2})$. It is also possible to use $M_0$, $M_1$, and $M_2$, the SC moments, on the condition that $\tau_1 = (M_0/M_2)^{1} \times y$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_0 / y$, where $\tau_0 = h/(2k_BT)$ and $y = (\tau_0/\sqrt{M_2 - M_1 - M_0})-1/2$. For the expansion parameters $K$, $\lambda$, and $L$, the BC translational part reads

$$(\text{BC})_{s \lambda l}(\omega) = \frac{\exp[(K\lambda)L](\omega)}{\pi \tau_1 \tau_2 \exp[(K\lambda)L] \tau_1} \times \exp[(K\lambda)\omega_0] \times 1 + (\omega/(K\lambda)\tau_1)^2.$$ 

The parameters of the BC profiles used here are SC and given in Table III. Indeed, in the $H_2$–Ar case, the differences between the classical times and the SC ones are relatively small.

2. Classical trajectories spectrum

The calculation of the translational parts of the SC spectra can be carried out according to a standard procedure which is based on the calculation of the classical trajectories of interacting molecules. We have previously presented the particular aspects of the computation for the dipolar (vector) and octopolar (septor) contributions to the HR classical spectra. However, the obtained spectral profiles are classical and therefore symmetric. To get $(\text{SC})_{s \lambda l}(\omega)$, the corre-
sponding SC intensities, the classical translational profiles \((K|\Lambda|L)_n^o(\omega)\) must be multiplied by an appropriate desymmetrization function \(\Lambda(\omega)\), according to the detailed balance principle. The most common desymmetrization functions are \(\Lambda_0(\omega)=\exp(\omega \tau_0)/\cosh(\omega \tau_0)\), \(\Lambda_1(\omega)=\omega \tau_0 \exp(\omega \tau_0)/\sinh(\omega \tau_0)\), and \(\Lambda_2(\omega)=\exp(\omega \tau_0).\) Because \(\Lambda_0(\omega)<\Lambda_1(\omega)<\Lambda_2(\omega)\) for \(\omega \neq 0\), it is possible to set \(\Lambda(\omega)=\Sigma a_i \Lambda_i(\omega)\), where \(\Sigma a_i=1\), so as to recover as closely as possible the SC moments \(M_n^o\), \(M_1^o\), and \(M_2^o\) obtained by the sum rules\(^{17}\) by integrating the SC intensities. In that case, the values of the coefficients \(a_i\) must be adjusted so that the following equation can be approximately verified for \(n=0, 1, 2, \ldots\)

\[
(K|\Lambda|L)_n^o \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\lambda_1/2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda(\omega)(K|\Lambda|L)_n^{(K|\Lambda|L)}(\omega) \omega^o d\omega,
\]

where \(\lambda_1\) is the laser wavelength. The classical intensities obtained by computing molecular trajectories have been desymmetrized by using linear combinations of \(\Lambda_0(\omega)\) and \(\Lambda_2(\omega)\) in order to recover the sum rules values of \(M_1^o\) and \(M_2^o\) as closely as possible. The obtained desymmetrization functions \(\Lambda(\omega)\) are close together irrespective of \(K, \lambda,\) and \(L\). Moreover, they are close to \(\Lambda_1(\omega)\) at low frequency shifts \((\nu<600\ \text{cm}^{-1})\) and lay between \(\Lambda_1(\omega)\) and \(\Lambda_2(\omega)\) at high frequencies. The differences between the corresponding SC translational profiles and the QM computed ones are generally hardly visible on a logarithmic scale. For example, for the translational component \(K\Lambda L=101\), the root mean square of the relative differences is equal to 5.5% between \(n=0\) and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\). The discrepancies between the SC or QM translational profiles and these of the BC model are generally more pronounced, in particular for \(\lambda=4\) for which the computed QM and SC translational profiles are less regular and less close to the BC profile than for \(\lambda=0\) or \(\lambda=2\). However, the convolution process reduced the differences. As far as their respective translational profiles are convoluted to the same rotational stick spectrum, the three methods of calculation QM, SC, and BC finally provide total spectra which are very close on a logarithmic scale. Considering the spectra \(I_1\) and \(I_2\) above \(\nu=-1000\ \text{cm}^{-1}\) and below \(+1800\ \text{cm}^{-1}\), the root mean squares of the relative differences between the QM and SC intensities taken relatively to the QM intensities are equal to 2.8% and 3.9%, respectively. These root mean squares become 6.0% and 5.8% for the relative differences between the QM and BC intensities. By taking into account the possible numerical discrepancies, on one hand, the likely experimental errors, on the other hand, these differences are not really significant in the aforementioned frequency range. For the \(H_2–\text{Ar}\) system at room temperature, the QM, BC, and SC calculations might be equally used.

V. POTENTIAL

In our computations we tested the isotropic part of the so-called BC potential of LeRoy et al.\(^{61}\) We also considered the symmetry adapted potential of Williams et al.\(^{62}\) We noted that the isotropic part of that potential coincides strongly with the isotropic part of the potential of Refs. 61 and 63. Eventually, in our computations, we decided to use the data of BC potential of Ref. 61.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The work presents a comprehensive numerical and theoretical study on NLO CI properties of molecular system of symmetry \(C_2\) and on related radiative phenomena, namely, the CIHR light scattering effects. The results obtained are partially illustrated by the figures included.

The profiles of the CIHR \(H_2–\text{Ar}\) spectrum attributed to irreducible rotationally adapted components \(\Delta\beta^{(1,1)}_L(R)\) \((L=01, 21, 23,\) and 45) of the vector part of \(\Delta\beta(R)\), given in absolute units, are presented in Fig. 1. These graphs enable an assessment of the relative contributions of the particular components in shaping the total vector spectrum. We note that the very low value of \(\lambda\lambda L=45\) relative to other ones indicates a satisfactory convergence of the series given by Eq. (4) used to compute the \(\Delta\beta^{(1,1)}_L(R)\), even for a relatively small number of the terms applied. As a final result, Fig. 1 shows also the total vector CIHR spectrum.

In turn, Fig. 2 presents the CIHR \(H_2–\text{Ar}\) profiles for different irreducible rotationally adapted components of the seoptor part of the hyperpolarizability tensor, \(\beta^{(3,3)}_L(R)\), together with the resulting spectral line. In this figure we plotted the pure translational spectrum associated with the \(\Delta\beta^{(3,3)}_0(R)\) component and then the resulting spectrum given by \(\lambda=2\) contributions, namely, \(\Delta\beta^{(3,3)}_2(R)\), \((L=1,3,5)\). Additionally, we also drew the global spectrum associated with \(\lambda=4\): \(\Delta\beta^{(3,3)}_4(R)\), \((L=1,3,5)\). Again, the very low value of the CHIR intensity assigned to \(4L\) components in relation to the other parts indicates a satisfactory convergence of the series given by Eq. (4), even for a relatively small number of the terms used. We note however, that for frequencies above 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), the rotational transitions \(\Delta\lambda=4\), explicitly resulting from \(\Delta\beta^{(3,3)}_4(R)\) hyperpolarizability components have prominent influence on the CIHR spectrum.
FIG. 2. (Color online) Octopolar (septor) part of the HR spectrum of H$_2$–Ar. The CIHR intensity (in absolute units: cm$^3$/s/erg) is computed vs wavenumber (in cm$^{-1}$) for the wavelength of the YAG laser $\lambda_L=1064$ nm by using a QM calculation. From top to bottom at zero frequency: total intensity (solid line), 03-purely translational contribution (dashed line), $\lambda$ =2 contributions (dashed-dotted line), and $\lambda$ =4 contributions (dotted line).

In the dc Kerr effect and the dc second harmonic generation experiments, only the projection of the $\bf{B}$ tensor along the permanent dipole moment is accessible. Using the second harmonic generation experiment, Donley and Shelton$^{64}$ studied the vector parts of the second CI hyperpolarizability for atom gas mixtures. One of the advantages of HR spectroscopy is the possibility of studying the vector and the separte part of the first hyperpolarizability tensor separately by considering the polarization effects. For the CHIR light scattering conditions assumed here for the separte CIHR light scattering spectrum, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{CHIR}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right)_{\text{septor}} = \frac{7}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 I_{CHIR}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} - \frac{\partial^2 I_{CHIR}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega} \right).$$

Moreover, we note that for the H$_2$–Ar mixture considered here the separte component of the $I_H$ CIHR spectrum computed in this study is stronger than its vector one. Significant values of the separte part of the hyperpolarizability tensor have been already reported. For instance, it was found experimentally that for BaTiO$_3$ crystal the separte part of the SHG hyperpolarizability has the same magnitude as is vector part.$^{30}$

In general, the CIHR spectra have two components separte by polarization effects. At this point an interesting general remark is appropriate. The above described horizontal $I_H$ geometry favors the separte part of the hyperpolarizability tensor. It is worth of notice that for these conditions the CIHR geometical factors are equal to 8/105 and 2/45 for the vector part and the separte part, respectively. In this case the separte part geometrical coefficient is about 1.71 times higher than the vector one. So this geometry favors the separte part of the CI hyperpolarizability. For the polarized light scattering and the incident laser beam polarized perpendicularly to the scattering plane (the vertical geometry—$I_v$), the geometrical coefficients are equal to 2/9 and 2/21 for the vector part and the separte part, respectively. In this case the vector part geometrical coefficient is 2.33 times higher than the separte part geometrical one. So this geometry favors the vector part of the CI hyperpolarizability. This can be noticed on Fig. 4, even if the separte contribution remains important at $\nu=0$ cm$^{-1}$ and for high frequencies.

Successively, in Figs. 3 and 4 we compare the SC and QM results, finding quite decent agreement between the two methods of computing the CIHR spectra: the two lines can be barely distinguished (mind the logarithmic scale however) with relative discrepancies estimated between ~5% and down to a fraction of percent for a relatively large range of the frequency shifts from 0.0 up to 1000.0 cm$^{-1}$. Supposedly, the deviations may be accounted for by both physical and/or numerical factors. If the latter is the case, a more restrictive and accurate computing routine might result in even better agreement if necessary. On the other hand, for less massive systems (e.g., H$_2$–He) one can expect that quantal effect could play more predominant role in shaping the CIHR spectra leading to more noticeably divergent QM and SC profiles. Anyway, the results presented here can serve

FIG. 3. (Color online) HR spectrum of H$_2$–Ar in the horizontal ($H$) polarization case for the wavelength of the YAG laser $\lambda_L=1064$ nm. The CIHR intensity (in absolute units: cm$^3$/s/erg) is presented vs wavenumber (in cm$^{-1}$) for three models: QM (thick solid line), SC (thin solid line), and BC (dot). However, the three curves are hardly distinguishable. From top to bottom at zero frequency: total intensity, separte contribution (dashed-dotted line), and vector contribution (dashed line).

FIG. 4. (Color online) HR spectrum of H$_2$–Ar in the vertical ($V$) polarization case for the wavelength of the YAG laser $\lambda_L=1064$ nm. The CIHR intensity (in absolute units: cm$^3$/s/erg) is presented vs wavenumber (in cm$^{-1}$) for three models: QM (thick solid line), SC (thin solid line), and BC (dotted line). However, the three curves are hardly distinguishable. From top to bottom at zero frequency: total intensity, vector contribution (dashed line), and separte contribution (dashed-dotted line).
as a solid proof of validity of both QM and SC formulas and numerical procedures. Eventually, it is necessary to mention here one more line plotted in Figs. 3 and 4 for the sake of comparison. It represents a spectral profile derived by means of the BC model. Again the difference between this graph and the QM and SC lines is rather small, yet the deviation calculated shows more significant divergence in this case.

Now, let us compare our binary H$_2$–Ar CIHR intensities to the recently measured, monomer allowed HR spectra of small tetrahedral molecules. We chose the CF$_4$ gas as a reference. The reduced monomer allowed integrated HR intensity for H$_2$–Ar pair is obtained using the values of the respective reduced integrated CIHR intensity for H$_2$–Ar pair is obtained using the values of the reduced zeroth moments $(^{(1)\mu}_{M_0})^2$ and $(^{(1)\mu}_{M_0})^3$ given in Table III. We have $S_{H_2-Ar}^{CF_4} = 3.104 \times 10^{-67}$ cm$^9$ erg$^{-1}$. Consequently for arbitrary density, the ratio of the CIHR H$_2$–Ar integrated intensity and the CF$_4$ integrated HR intensity is $\rho_{H_2}\rho_{Ar}/\rho_{CF_4} = 3.05 \times 10^{-4}$, where $\rho_i$ denotes the density of the species $i$ in amagats.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work we have extended our previous numerical and theoretical treatment of nonlinear molecular properties (hyperpolarizabilities, to be exact) associated with nonlinear phenomena of molecular-radiation interaction (CIHR scattering). Within the framework of this study, calculations of the first hyperpolarizability tensor values have been performed on the grounds of QC methods. Subsequently these quantities, or rather their independent spherical components, were extracted and then, fitted to analytical formulas expressing their dependence on intermolecular distance, they were applied as the input data for analyses of the collisionally induced HR scattering in the systems composed of a linear molecule interacting with a noble gas atom. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to reach beyond the domain of previously considered CIHR effects in supermolecular systems of higher (linear) symmetry.

The theoretical and numerical approaches developed made it possible to formulate expressions and routines capable of yielding spectral CIHR profiles: translational, rotational, and their convolutions. The results obtained were discussed with regard to more detailed aspects of the spectra: the role of the vector and septon contributions, the share of the components associated with particular symmetry adopted hyperpolarizability contributions, the discrepancies between quantumlike and classullivanike computational results, etc. As a result we have found out, for instance, the predominant role played by the septon spectral contribution, which feature is in contradiction with what one can observe in the previously discussed CIHR effects typical of supermolecular systems of linear symmetry. Another conclusion worth underlining here concerns the almost meaningless influence of the hyperpolarizability components of the order of $4$—an important fact established within the theory which provides an evidence of a very rapid convergence of the series expansions determining the hyperpolarizability properties and values. Last but not least, the good agreement between our quantum and SC approaches can be treated as a benchmark proof of both the method’s feasibility and accuracy.

To sum up, we have created a suitable numerical and theoretical tool deriving and interpreting the CIHR spectra of light scattered in systems akin to H$_2$–Ar that can be used in analyses of experimental data, which—due to incredible progress observed in molecular spectroscopy—should be seen at hand.
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