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Reversible Control of Crystalline Rotors by Squeezing Their Hydrogen Bond Cloud Across a Halogen Bond-Mediated Phase Transition

Cyprien Lemouchi, Hiroshi M. Yamamoto, Reizo Katō, Sergey Simonov, Leokadiya Zorina, Antonio Rodríguez-Forteà, Enric Canadell, Pawel Wzietek, Konstantinos Iliopoulos, Denis Gindre, Michael Chrysos, and Patrick Batail

ABSTRACT: We report on a crystalline rotor that undergoes a reversible phase transition at 145 K. Variable-temperature X-ray and $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation experiments, and calculations of rotational barriers, provide a description (i) of the way in which the rotators’ dynamics changes back and forth at the onset of the phase transition and (ii) of the mechanism responsible for the abrupt switching of the crystalline rotors from a very low-energy 4-fold degenerate equilibrium state, in which the rotation is ultrarapid (9.6 GHz at 145 K), to a single higher-energy state associated with a slower motion (2.3 GHz at 145 K). Our results provide evidence that the reversible change observed in the rotational barriers at the transition is due to a cooperative modulation of the C–H$_{\text{rotator}}$···I$_{\text{tater}}$ hydrogen bond cloud across a C–I$_{\text{tater}}$···C halogen bond-mediated phase transition. In addition, we report evidence for second-harmonic generation from this material, thereby confirming with a second example the benefit of using polarized light to probe the torsional degree of freedom of chiral helix blades, as well as symmetry and dimensionality of large collections of chiral rotors in the solid state.

INTRODUCTION

The control of dynamic molecular systems at the nanoscale level is a highly timely and essential issue for the development of complex functional molecular constructs, among which artificial crystalline rotors and switches. Here, we report on crystalline arrays of the molecular rotor whose dynamics responds to variations of the rotators’ lattice relaxation experiments, and how cooperative modulation of the C–H$_{\text{rotator}}$···I$_{\text{tater}}$ cloud defining the rotator’s environment acts to modify the rotator’s dynamics. Further to the report of an abrupt, reversible change of $T_1^{-1}$ (and its interpretation) in a crystalline rotor, our results are seen as of fundamental relevance to the design of nanomachines.

By targeting 2 we decided to follow up on our recent work on the BIBCO system anticipating self-assembly by halogen bonding (Scheme 1) with the additional benefit of delivering, besides p-BIB and others shown in Scheme 2, a novel rigid organic strut yet with a rotating 1,4-bis(ethynyl) bicyclo[2.2.2]octane (BCO) core to serve as a neutral, functional spacer in the design of halogen-bonded metallic conductors and superconductors. It is interesting to note that, in addition to offering opportunities for designing hybrids of molecular conductors and molecular rotors, molecular units with a BCO core are expected to have an enhanced solubility compared to rigid struts with static phenyl cores (Scheme 2).
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INTRODUCTION

The control of dynamic molecular systems at the nanoscale level is a highly timely and essential issue for the development of complex functional molecular constructs, among which artificial crystalline rotors and switches. Here, we report on crystalline arrays of the molecular rotor whose dynamics responds to variations of the rotators’ lattice relaxation experiments, and how cooperative modulation of the C–H$_{\text{rotator}}$···I$_{\text{tater}}$ cloud defining the rotator’s environment acts to modify the rotator’s dynamics. Further to the report of an abrupt, reversible change of $T_1^{-1}$ (and its interpretation) in a crystalline rotor, our results are seen as of fundamental relevance to the design of nanomachines.

By targeting 2 we decided to follow up on our recent work on the BIBCO system anticipating self-assembly by halogen bonding (Scheme 1) with the additional benefit of delivering, besides p-BIB and others shown in Scheme 2, a novel rigid organic strut yet with a rotating 1,4-bis(ethynyl) bicyclo[2.2.2]octane (BCO) core to serve as a neutral, functional spacer in the design of halogen-bonded metallic conductors and superconductors. It is interesting to note that, in addition to offering opportunities for designing hybrids of molecular conductors and molecular rotors, molecular units with a BCO core are expected to have an enhanced solubility compared to rigid struts with static phenyl cores (Scheme 2).
this coupling, was characterized by mass spectroscopy. Note that the desirable monosubstituted majority product of a single Sonogashira coupling to a subsequent Sonogashira coupling to a core have identical reactivity; that is, there is no selectivity in (Scheme 3). Note that all three iodine atoms on the phenyl 2,4,6-triiodobenzene on 1,4-bis(ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane

Therefore, the majority product that the desirable mixture of monomers and polymers. In this respect, it is likely that all three equivalent molecules in the asymmetric unit of the room temperature structure (Table S1, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure 1, rigid rods 2 self-assemble by a C–I···I–I bond (bond 1 in Scheme 1) in a two-dimensional array. An additional halogen bond (bond 2 in Scheme 1 and Figure 1b) connects the layers together in the interlayer transverse direction. Note that the molecular rods are all aligned in the crystal. Therefore, the system may be described as a one-dimensional crystalline array of stator–stator halogen bonded rotors with a single common director for the rotators’ axes.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis of Compound 2.** The two-step synthesis involves two Sonogashira couplings by reacting 1,3,5-trifluoro-2,4,6-triiodobenzene on 1,4-bis(ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Scheme 3). Note that all three iodine atoms on the phenyl core have identical reactivity; that is, there is no selectivity in Sonogashira-coupling reactions bond to yield a complex mixture of monomers and polymers. In this respect, it is likely that the desirable C$_2$-symmetry bisubstituted molecules 2 can be obtained (yet in minute amounts) as indeed observed by mass spectroscopy.

Note that, in this context, the extensive use of recycling size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in THF turned out to be quite efficient in performing the purification of the complex highly diluted triethylamine reaction mixture. Thus, 1, the monosubstituted majority product of a single Sonogashira coupling, was characterized by mass spectroscopy. Note that this first step also yielded some small amounts of both 2 and 3. Therefore, the majority product 1 was again engaged in a subsequent Sonogashira coupling to afford 2 after SEC purification, in 44% yield. Plate-like colorless single crystals of good quality were obtained by slow evaporation of a THF solution.

**A Stator–Stator Halogen-Bonded System.** There is one-half equivalent molecule in the asymmetric unit of the room temperature structure (Table S1, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure 1, rigid rods 2 self-assemble by a C–I···I–I–C bond (bond 1 in Scheme 1) in a two-dimensional array. An additional halogen bond (bond 2 in Scheme 1 and Figure 1b) connects the layers together in the interlayer transverse direction. Note that the molecular rods are all aligned in the crystal. Therefore, the system may be described as a one-dimensional crystalline array of stator–stator halogen bonded rotors with a single common director for the rotators’ axes.

**Rotator Distributed over Four Equilibrium Positions at 293 K.** Remarkably, the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane rotator is distributed over four equilibrium positions on its single crystallographic site (Figures 1a, b and 2) in the 293 K structure. This is a striking, unprecedented feature since such rotors in BIBCO, $^{3}$,1,4-bis(carboxyethyl) bicyclo[2.2.2]octane (BABCO), $^{3}$, [nBu$_3$N]$_2$[BABCO][BABCO]$_2$, $^{4}$ (EDT-TTF-CONH$_2$)$_2$[BABCO]$^{-2}$ and the dirotor, bis((4-(4-pyridyl)- ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]oct-1-yl) buta-1,3-diyne (Table 1) have been shown to be distributed over one or two equilibrium positions. The occurrence of four equilibrium positions is seen as an early indication that, at this temperature, the rotors rub rather mildly onto their environment in the crystal and lead one to expect a rather low rotational barrier at room temperature (typically 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ or less) that is in the lower limit of those recorded to date.$^{2a}$

**Change in Halogen Bonding and Rotators’ Equilibrium Position below Phase Transition at 145 K.** The temperature dependence of the unit cell parameters reveals the onset of a phase transition at ca. 145 K (Figure 3 and Figure S1) followed by a gradual change of the unit cell parameters over 20 K. A full structure determination, carried out in the low-temperature phase at 90 K, concludes that a reversible triclinic-to-triclinic phase transition along with a doubling of the unit cell has occurred.

There are two major structural features that change at the transition (Figures 1c and 4). In the first one, the single in-plane C–I···I–C halogen bond (bond 1 in Scheme 1) at 3.991 Å in the room-temperature structure (Figure 1b) is transformed into two different bonds: a longer one (4.188 Å, purple in-plane dashed line at c/2 in Figure 1c) and a shorter one (3.796 Å, white and red cylinders at c = 0 and c = 1 in Figure 1c) (Table S2, Supporting Information). Note in Figure 4 how the tightening in bond length and the increasing by 20° the $\angle$I–I–I angle of the halogen bond in the $ab$ planes are associated with a significant change in the relative orientation of the molecular rods. Therefore, on account of the lattice reconstruction by this salient modification of the halogen-bond manifold, any rotator is sandwiched in between two different planes in the low-temperature phase instead of two identical planes at room temperature. The second structural feature has to do with the rotator itself within the full independent molecule in the doubled asymmetric unit: a single equilibrium position was identified at 90 K in Figures 1c, 2, and 6b. We then conducted $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation experiments to probe and characterize this change in the system’s dynamics observed by X-ray at the structural transition.

**Reversible Change in $^1$H Spin–Lattice Relaxation at 140 K.** Variable-temperature (35–300 K) proton spin–lattice...
relaxation experiments, $^1$H $T_1^{-1}$ carried out at a $^1$H Larmor frequency of 55 MHz on a static single crystal reveal a reversible discontinuity at the temperature of the structural transition (Figure 5; onset: 145 K; midpoint defined by red line: 140(1) K). While the occurrence of two relaxation processes has either typically been associated with rotors on two different crystallographic sites in BIBCO$_3$ and $[n\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+][\text{BABCO}]^-$, or to a correlated disrotatory motion in pairs of rotors in the tetrayne system bis((4-(4-pyridyl)-ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]oct-1-yl)buta-1,3-diyn (Table 1), the present unprecedented discontinuity in the $T_1^{-1}$ data led us to...
assume that two independent thermally activated relaxation processes are associated with the rotational motion in the two different structures that interconvert at the phase transition. We then proceeded to fit the data to the Kubo–Tomita formula, \( \tau_c = \tau_0 \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{kT} \right) \) for Functional 1,4-Bis(ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane Crystalline Rotors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Type</th>
<th>( E_a ) (kcal mol(^{-1} )/K)</th>
<th>( \tau_0 ) (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>disordered</td>
<td>1.48 / 745</td>
<td>1.92 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordered</td>
<td>2.75 / 1384</td>
<td>1.25 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disordered</td>
<td>2.03 / 1020</td>
<td>2.78 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordered</td>
<td>2.72 / 1370</td>
<td>3.2 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low energy process</td>
<td>1.85 / 930</td>
<td>5.5 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high energy process</td>
<td>6.1 / 3078</td>
<td>1.2 ( 10^{-13} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the triclinic unit cell angle \( \gamma \) \( (\gamma_{293K} = 180^\circ - \beta_{90K}) \) of 2.

Figure 4. Geometry of the two in-plane C–I–I–C bonds in the 90 K structure of 2.

Figure 5. Variable temperature \( ^1H \) spin–lattice relaxation time \( T_1^{-1} \) at 55 MHz for 2. The dotted red lines represent the fit of the data to the Kubo–Tomita formula, \( \tau_c = \tau_0 \exp(E_a/kT) \), above and below the transition, yielding \( E_a = 620 \text{ K} \) (1.23 kcal mol\(^{-1} \)) and \( E_a = 820 \text{ K} \) (1.63 kcal mol\(^{-1} \)), respectively. The solid red line emphasizes the discontinuity at the transition. The solid blue line represents the rotational frequency above and below the phase transition calculated with the Kubo–Tomita formula using the former activation energies. The rotational frequency decreases with decreasing temperature and abruptly changes at the onset of the phase transition. In this experiment, the NMR frequency is set at 55 MHz.

Table 1. Arrhenius Parameters Derived from Fits at Two Fields of the \( T_1^{-1} \) Data to the Kubo–Tomita Equation, \( \tau_c = \tau_0 \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{kT} \right) \) for Functional 1,4-Bis(ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane Crystalline Rotors.
[2.2.2]octane (BCO), and in principle does not depend on its environment. Therefore, it is legitimate to assume \( \tau_c \approx 2 \times 10^{-11} \) s, close to values typically found for BCO rotators (Table 1). With this value the fit (Figure 5) afforded rotational barriers of 620 K = 1.23 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 820 K = 1.63 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the high and low temperature phases, respectively. Note that the barrier for rotational motion in the high temperature phase is among the lowest ones recently reported for the ultrafast BCO rotators in BIBCO\(^3\) (1.48 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 745 K) or a BCO steroidal rotator\(^2\) (1.15 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 579 K). Note that the set value of attempt frequency appears in hindsight reasonable since by comparing (Figure 5) the experimental data points and the simulation the apparent width of the transition is similar to that determined by X-ray.

The solid blue line in Figure 5 represents the rotational frequency, defined as \( 1/2\pi\tau_c \), where \( \tau_c \) is the correlation time, above and below the phase transition. Calculated with the Kubo-Tomita formula using the activation energies determined above, the maximum of the relaxation rate occurs when the rotation frequency matches the NMR (Larmor) frequency. We now discuss the origin at the microscopic level of the abrupt switching of the crystalline rotors at the onset of the phase transition from a very low-energy 4-fold equilibrium state, in which the rotation is ultrafast (9.6 GHz at 145 K), to a single high-energy state associated with a slower motion (2.3 GHz at 145 K), as exemplified in Figure 5.

**Modulation of Transverse C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) Interactions Across Transition Is Key to Rotators’ Dynamics.** When \( 2 \) is considered alone, the calculated energy barrier for rotation, after optimization of the positions of the eight C atoms and 12 H atoms of the rotator, is only 0.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). When moving to the crystalline state, the first step in the analysis of the rotational barrier consists of sorting out the interactions in which the eight rotator’s hydrogen atoms are (or may be) implicated during the rotational process. In the present case, the BCO rotators interact with their surroundings by the set of C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) hydrogen bond interactions (Table S2, Supporting Information) that change significantly at the transition (Figure 6). Note that fluorine being such a poor acceptor,\(^1\) four long C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···F\(_{\text{stator}}\) contacts are also listed in Table S2 and drawn in Figure 6, yet their contribution to the interaction of the rotators with their environment is expected to be marginal.

**BCO Rotators Rub onto Their Environment by C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) Interactions.** To simulate the rotational motion in the crystal, we have taken into account one central molecule \( 2 \) and the six neighbors of Figure 6. Since the hydrogen atoms of the central rotator do not make short contacts with the rotating moieties of the neighbors, we have modeled the neighboring molecules by 2,4,6-trifluoro-3,5-diiodophenyl acetylene fragments. On the basis of previous results,\(^1\) the barriers were calculated by optimizing the six –CH\(_{3}\) groups of the rotator for every value of the rotational angle \( \theta \) and keeping the neighboring units fixed as in the crystal structure. The barrier for the rotation of \( 2 \) in the structure at 90 K is predicted to be 3.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), whereas at 298 K it is only 2.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (see Figure 7a). To address the question of the possible role of C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···F\(_{\text{stator}}\) interactions, we have carried out a second series of calculations in which the four neighboring fragments associated with the C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) interactions were removed. Consequently, the new energy barriers will almost exclusively originate from C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) interactions. As shown in Figure 7b, the two rotational processes involve a very low barrier smaller than 1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), and thus we conclude that the larger barrier at 90 K must be mostly due to the C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) interactions.

Although these results are qualitatively in good agreement with the crystal structure analyses, we must wonder about the relatively large energy difference between the two rotational barriers. Note that although some assumption had to be done for the fitting, the \(^1\)H spin–lattice relaxation suggests a smaller difference. We thus need to examine if the difference is quantitatively significant or if it may originate from some computational inadequacy.

**BIBCO Case Study: Calculations Need to Relax Repulsive Interactions.** A convenient system to scrutinize this aspect is provided by the ultrafast rotor BIBCO (Scheme 1).\(^3\) The structure of this system contains two crystallographically different layers of the BIBCO rotor and exhibits a phase transition at 110 K. There is experimental evidence of two dynamic processes simultaneously present over the entire temperature range studied, associated with the rotational motion of the BCO units of the different layers with activation energies of 1.48 and 2.75 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Since we have in this case precise crystal structures and energy barriers, we decided to consider the two rotational processes using exactly the same approach as before. Thus, one rotator of layer A (associated with C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) of 3.295 and 3.274 Å and an activation energy of 2.75 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and one rotator of layer B (associated with C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) contacts of 3.072, 3.094, and 3.296 Å and an activation energy of 1.48 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) were studied. To our surprise the calculated energy barriers were found to be 0.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the second but 7.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the first! Clearly the barrier associated with the longer C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) interaction in the stable structure is grossly overestimated. Analysis of the evolution of the geometric details along the rotation made clear that very short C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) contacts (around 2.7 Å) were generated around the transition state for the rotators of layer A but not for those of layer B. Thus, because of these very short C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) distances, the initial stabilizing interaction becomes strongly repulsive around the transition state and is largely responsible for the rotational barrier (i.e., the system moves from the weakly attractive region of the I···H interaction to the repulsive region

![Figure 6](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Change in C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···I\(_{\text{stator}}\) hydrogen bond (white and purple cylinders) manifold between the (a) 293 K and (b) 90 K structures (C–H\(_{\text{rotator}}\)···F\(_{\text{stator}}\) contacts: white and green cylinders) of 2.
along the rotation). This makes clear the computational flaw of our previous computations: in such systems, not only the atoms of the rotating unit must be optimized but also those associated with the growing repulsive interactions with the environment. When these calculations are repeated in such a way, the barrier for the rotor of layer A becomes 2.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with experiment, while that for the rotors of layer B remains essentially unaltered. Thus, in dealing with these systems one must always check whether some interaction between the hydrogen atoms of the rotator and the environment becomes short enough in the course of the calculated rotational motion to become substantially repulsive.

In that case, the environment cannot be kept as found in the crystal structure. However, the computational settings chosen seem to be adequate: Tests using larger basis sets led to very small changes in the calculated barriers.

**Calculated Rotational Barriers in 2.** With these results in mind, we came back to 2 and indeed found out that some C–H$_{\text{rotator}}$···I$_{\text{istator}}$ contacts during the rotational process in this structure are not so short, so the effect of the relaxation of the iodine atoms of the neighboring rotators is almost negligible. Consequently, we conclude that the rotational barrier of 2 at room temperature is very low, on the order of 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$, and should distinctly increase below the transition (by ca. 1.5–2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ according to our calculation) because the asymmetric environment resulting from the optimization of halogen bonding at the transition results with too short C–H$_{\text{rotator}}$···I$_{\text{istator}}$ contacts during the rotation.

**Handedness of BCO Rotators, Mutamer-Induced Second-Harmonic Generation, and Optical Dimensionality.** Second-harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy experiments were carried out in a single crystal of 2. SHG is well-known for its properties as an effective device to probe the chirality of a material. Although the space group of crystal 2 is centrosymmetric and SHG should be expected to be absent, a SHG signal (Figure 7c) was recorded. The occurrence of that nontrivial SHG signal lies with the fact that the centrosymmetric structure obtained from the X-ray diffraction experiment is nothing else but a conformational “average” over a multitude of configurations, most of which are chiral.

In fact, although energy considerations suggest that the centrosymmetric structure is the most prominent of all possible configurations in the crystal, each of the less-likely chiral configurations breaks the space-inversion symmetry allowing a SHG signal to be generated. This unexpected emergence of SHG has been discussed in a recent publication. Its effect has been referred to as “mutamer-induced SHG”, for it is generated by a multitude of conformational mutations, which are only slightly more expensive energetically that the centrosymmetric structure. The maximum intensity in the SHG experiment that we carried out occurred for a polarization angle of 15° off the direction of the s polarization. For that angle, the best possible alignment with respect to the unique rotators’ axes director in the one-dimensional lattice was achieved. Note that even though that would not be practical in the present crystal orientation, a crystal-on-loop tilt by 45° around the vertical axis in Figure 8b can allow one to neatly align the horizontal polarization closer (modulo the 15° offset) to the director of the rotors’ axes, thereby reaching a larger SHG response.

As mentioned above, and demonstrated recently for the dirotor bis((4-(4-pyridyl)-ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]oct-1-yl)buta-1,3-diyne, this is evidence of random dynamic conformational switching in the handedness of the rotor helices which at room temperature can undergo mutations while preserving on the average the space-inversion symmetry of the lattice. This
sandwiched, become nonequivalent, and short C–H\textsubscript{rotator}···I\textsubscript{halogen} interactions, adding an extra repulsive component to the rotational barrier, are generated during the rotational motion. Altogether, the control exerted by the change of structure alters the dynamics of the crystalline rotors, braking suddenly their rotation from 9.6 to 2.3 GHz at 145 K—the onset of the transition. At the same time, the rotors switch from a very low-energy 4-fold degenerate equilibrium state, initially occupied, to a single higher-energy equilibrium state. In the high temperature regime, \( T_1^{-1} \) was found to take very small values owing to the fact that the rotational barrier is so low \([1.23 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} (620 \text{ K})]\) and the frequency of the rotation so high compared to the \( ^1H \text{ Larmor frequency} (55 \text{ MHz}) \) at 1 T that the relaxation is inefficient. At the onset of the phase transition, \( T_1^{-1} \) values increase on account both of the higher rotational barrier \([1.63 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} (820 \text{ K})] \) and of the lower temperatures. The rotational frequency keeps on decreasing and \( T_1^{-1} \) keeps on increasing up to 100 K where the rotational frequency is seen to match with the \( ^1H \text{ Larmor frequency} (55 \text{ MHz}) \) for a magnetic field of 1 T.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We reported results from variable-temperature X-ray and static single crystal \( ^1H \) spin–lattice relaxation experiments and rotational barrier calculations along with an in-depth description and interpretation of the way in which the dynamics of the BCO rotors in the crystalline arrays of the novel, self-assembled 1,4-bis((2,4,6-triiodophenyl)-ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane rigid strut 2 changes back and forth across a reversible transition at 145 K. As borne out from our observations, the reversible change seen in the rotational barriers at the transition is due to a squeezing of the rotators’ C–H\textsubscript{rotator}···I\textsubscript{halogen} hydrogen bond cloud across the C–I\textsubscript{halogen}···I\textsubscript{halogen}–C halogen bond-mediated phase transition. Below 145 K the two halogen-bonded planes, between which any rotator is

result is another convincing example of the utility of polarized light to probe the torsional degree of freedom of the chiral helix blades, and thereby the symmetry\(^{20} \) and dimensionality\(^{34} \) of large collections of molecular rotors in the solid state.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials and Methods.** Recycling HPLC-preparative-SEC, Japan Analytical Industry co. Ltd. THF, with UV detector (wavelength 290 nm, pressure 0.54 kgf/cm\(^2\), flow 3.5 mL/min).

**Synthesis of 1-Ethynyl-4-(2,4,6-trifluoro-3,5-diiodophenyl)-ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane, 1.** A solution of 1,4-bis(ethynyl)-bicyclo[2.2.2]octane (116 mg, 0.74 mmol) dissolved in triethylamine (70 mL) was sparged with argon for 15 min and added to a solid mixture of 1,3,5-trifluoro-2,4,6-triiodobenzene\(^{21} \) (107 mg, 0.21 mmol) and catalytic amounts (ca. 16 mol %) of [Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)] and CuI (15 mol %). The reaction mixture was heated at 100 °C overnight under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (methanol chloride/n-hexane: 50/50) and by mass spectroscopy (FAB/El\(^+\)). The reaction mixture was poured in a methylene chloride and saturated ammonium chloride solution (50:20). The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to dryness under low pressure to afford a yellowish solid residue (150 mg). A mass spectroscopy analysis (FAB/El\(^+\)) reveals a mixture of compounds that includes 1 \((m/z = 540.2)\), 2 \((m/z = 922.1)\), and 3 \((m/z = 1334.2)\). Purification involves a two-step flash-filtration using a gradient such as 100% methylene chloride then ethanol/methylene chloride (10:90) to yield a whitish solid \((130 \text{ mg})\) that is purified by HPLC-preparative SEC using THF as eluent. After three recycles, the majority compound 1 \((50 \text{ mg, } 93 \% \text{ yield})\) was successfully isolated with 44% yield as a white solid characterized by mass spectroscopy (FAB/El\(^+\)) \((m/z = 540.2 \text{ [M]+})\) and finally directly engaged in the second step of the synthesis.

**Synthesis of 1,4-Bis((2,4,6-trifluoro-3,5-diiodophenyl)-ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane, 2.** Following the same procedure that for the first step, a solution of 1-ethynyl-4-((2,4,6-trifluoro-3,5-diiodophenyl)ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane 1 was added to a solid mixture of 1,3,5-trifluoro-2,4,6-triiodobenzene\(^{22} \) (120 mg, 0.24 mmol) and catalytic amounts (ca. 16 mol %) of [Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)] and CuI (15 mol %), \((50 \text{ mg, } 93 \text{ %})\) dissolved in triethylamine (70 mL). The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 24 h. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (methanol chloride/n-hexane: 20/80) and mass spectroscopy (FAB/El\(^+\)) \((m/z = 922.1, \text{ [M]+})\). Addition of \( \text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2 \) (10 mol %) and CuI (10 mol %) after 10 h was required to increase the reaction advancement. After the usual work-up, a yellowish solid residue was obtained which was purified in a two step flash chromatography (Versa Flash Supelco) using a 100% n-hexane gradient, then methylene chloride/n-hexane (10:90) to afford a whitish powder which was finally purified by HPLC-preparative-SEC using THF as the eluent to yield compound 2 \((15 \text{ mg}, 16 \mu\text{mol})\) with 17% yield. Colorless plate-like crystals were obtained after slow evaporation in THF (mp > 320 °C) \(^7\); \(^1H\) NMR (THF-\(d_6\), 300 MHz) \(\delta\) (s, 12H) \(^{13}C\) NMR (THF-\(d_6\), 300 MHz) \(\delta\)
Crystal Structures. X-ray single crystal diffraction data were collected at 293 and 90 K using a Bruker Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer with Mo-Kα-radiation (μ = 0.7073 Å) equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems cryostream cooler. Combined φ- and ω-scans were used for data collection. An empirical absorption correction of experimental intensities was applied for all data using the SADABS program. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined in an anisotropic approximation. H atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined using a riding model with isotropic thermal parameters Uiso(H) = 1.2Ueq(C). Details of the experimental data collection and structure refinement are summarized in Table S1, Supporting Information. Crystal data for 2, C19H20F6I4, M = 921.94, triclinic, P1, at 293 K: a = 7.0104(3), b = 9.1585(6), c = 10.3966(6) Å, α = 80.532(6)°, β = 73.993(4)°, γ = 84.208(4)°, V = 664.26(6) Å³, Z = 1, μ = 47.44 cm⁻¹, 26080 reflections measured, 3855 unique (R(int) = 0.0344), 199 parameters refined, R(F2) = 0.0429, wR(F2) = 0.1120, GOF = 1.085. At 90 K: triclinic, P1, a = 9.0986(5), b = 11.2261(7), c = 13.7829(6) Å, α = 112.913(5), β = 96.345(5), γ = 93.942(5)°, V = 1279.1(1) Å³, Z = 2, μ = 49.27 cm⁻¹, 26080 reflections measured, 3855 unique (R(int) = 0.0296), 307 parameters refined, R(F2) = 0.0262, wR(F2) = 0.0491, GOF = 1.075.

VT 1H Spin–Lattice Relaxation Time (T1). Experiments were carried out as described previously6,7 on one static single crystal at a 1H Larmor frequencies of 55 MHz and over a wide range of temperatures using a NMR spectrometer and probe built at Orsay. The probe is designed so as to reduce spurious proton signals. The crystal was loaded into a small glass tube (typically 1.2 mm in diameter) on which the NMR coil was wound. 1H signals were recorded using the FID following a π/2 pulse (typically 0.8–1.5 μs) and spin–lattice relaxation was measured using the standard saturation recovery sequence. For each T1 measurement, we recorded signals for 20 values of the relaxation delay between the saturating coil and the measuring pulse.

Computational Details. Calculations were performed as described previously3,4 using the hybrid M06-2X functional17 and the 6-31G(dp) basis set25 as implemented in the Gaussian09 package.26

Second-Harmonic Generation Microscopy Experiments. The SHG efficiency of one single crystal was investigated by means of a SHG microscopy setup described earlier.24
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ABBREVIATIONS

BICO, 1,4-bis(ethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane; BIBCO, 1,4-bis(carboxyethynyl)bicyclo[2.2.2]octane; p-BIB, 1,4-bis(iodoethynyl)benzene

REFERENCES


3382 dx.doi.org/10.1021/cg5002978 / Crystal Growth Des. 2014, 14, 3375–3383


(12) In this paper we have adopted the nomenclature proposed by Michl12,12 where the word “rotor” describes an entire molecular assembly, and the terms “rotator” and “stator” are reserved to define the rotary units and the static frames that are used to define the frame of reference.


(22) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABUS; University of Göttingen: Germany, 1996.


