



HAL
open science

Estrogen-Related Receptor Alpha Modulates Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity in Thyroid Tumors

Delphine Mirebeau-Prunier, Soazig Le Pennec, Caroline Jacques, Jean-Fred Fontaine, Naïg Gueguen, Nathalie Bouzamondo, Audrey Donnart, Yves Malthièry, Frédérique Savagner

► **To cite this version:**

Delphine Mirebeau-Prunier, Soazig Le Pennec, Caroline Jacques, Jean-Fred Fontaine, Naïg Gueguen, et al.. Estrogen-Related Receptor Alpha Modulates Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity in Thyroid Tumors. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8 (3), pp.e58683. 10.1371/journal.pone.0058683 . hal-03328703

HAL Id: hal-03328703

<https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-03328703v1>

Submitted on 30 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Estrogen-Related Receptor Alpha Modulates Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity in Thyroid Tumors

Delphine Mirebeau-Prunier^{1,2,3*}, Soazig Le Pennec^{1,2}, Caroline Jacques^{1,2}, Jean-Fred Fontaine⁴, Naig Gueguen³, Nathalie Boutet-Bouzamondo^{1,2}, Audrey Donnart⁵, Yves Malthiery^{1,2,3}, Frédérique Savagner^{1,2,3}

1 UMR694, INSERM, Angers, France, **2** Université d'Angers, Angers, France, **3** Département de Biochimie Génétique, CHU d'Angers, Angers, France, **4** Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany, **5** UMR915, INSERM, Nantes, France

Abstract

Metabolic modifications of tumor cells are hallmarks of cancer. They exhibit an altered metabolism that allows them to sustain higher proliferation rates in hostile environment outside the cell. In thyroid tumors, the expression of the estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α), a major factor of metabolic adaptation, is closely related to the oxidative metabolism and the proliferative status of the cells. To elucidate the role played by ERR α in the glycolytic adaptation of tumor cells, we focused on the regulation of lactate dehydrogenases A and B (LDHA, LDHB) and the LDHA/LDHB ratio. Our study included tissue samples from 10 classical and 10 oncocytic variants of follicular thyroid tumors and 10 normal thyroid tissues, as well as samples from three human thyroid tumor cell lines: FTC-133, XTC.UC1 and RO82W-1. We identified multiple cis-acting promoter elements for ERR α , in both the *LDHA* and *LDHB* genes. The interaction between ERR α and LDH promoters was confirmed by chromatin immunoprecipitation assays and *in vitro* analysis for LDHB. Using knock-in and knock-out cellular models, we found an inverse correlation between ERR α expression and LDH activity. This suggests that thyroid tumor cells may reprogram their metabolic pathways through the up-regulation of ERR α by a process distinct from that proposed by the recently revisited Warburg hypothesis.

Citation: Mirebeau-Prunier D, Le Pennec S, Jacques C, Fontaine J-F, Gueguen N, et al. (2013) Estrogen-Related Receptor Alpha Modulates Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity in Thyroid Tumors. PLoS ONE 8(3): e58683. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683

Editor: Ming Tan, University of South Alabama, United States of America

Received: September 4, 2012; **Accepted:** February 7, 2013; **Published:** March 13, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Mirebeau-Prunier et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from INSERM. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: deprunier@chu-angers.fr

Introduction

The estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR α) is an orphan nuclear receptor involved in the regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis through the oxidation of fats and glucose [1–3]. Recently, ERR α has also been considered as a switch regulating not only the mitochondrial function but also glycolysis so as to maintain a steady level of ATP production, particularly when mitochondrial biogenesis is decreased [4–5]. ERR α binds to the ERR response element (ERRE) leading to the regulation of the cellular energy metabolism according to endogenous or exogenous stimuli [2,6,7]. This transcription factor may interfere with the three transcriptional coactivators of the PGC-1 family, i.e. the PPAR γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α), the PPAR γ coactivator-1 β (PGC-1 β) and the PGC-1-related coactivator (PRC), all of which serve as mediators between the environment and the transcriptional machinery. PGC-1 α and PGC-1 β are mainly associated with the modulation of metabolic pathways in tissues that require high oxidative energy production, such as the heart and skeletal muscle [8]. Unlike PGC-1 α and PGC-1 β , PRC is ubiquitous and more abundantly expressed in proliferating cells. Recent report on deficient PRC mice underlines the non redundant role for this coactivator related to others members of the family [9]. We have shown that the ERR α -PRC transcriptional complex plays a consistent role in thyroid proliferative cells by increasing the

coupling efficiency of mitochondria in oxidative cells, and through some other pathway in glycolytic cells [6]. The implication of PRC-ERR α complex in the direct regulation of key enzymes of the glycolytic pathway, such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), needs to be investigated.

LDH is a tetrameric enzyme composed of two subunits, M and H, encoded by the *LDHA* and *LDHB* genes respectively. Each subunit has specific kinetic properties with *LDHA* being usually associated with pyruvate-to-lactate conversion, and *LDHB* with lactate-to-pyruvate conversion [10,11]. The combination of subunits results in five isozymes (A4, A3B1, A2B2, A1B3, and B4) with tissue-specific distribution [10]: the isoenzymes containing large proportions of *LDHB* tend to predominate in tissues with aerobic metabolism (e.g. heart) while those containing mainly *LDHA* are found in tissues with considerable anaerobic metabolism (e.g. skeletal muscle and liver). In addition, the ratio *LDHA/LDHB* may have significant physiological effects on the isoenzyme pattern. The level of *LDHA* is elevated in many cancers and plays a crucial part in tumor progression, but the link between invasive tumor development and glycolysis is poorly understood. The role of *LDHB* in tumor development is less well characterized [12]. Down-regulation of *LDHB* has a greater effect on lactate production than the induction of *LDHA* [13,14]. The modulation of the expression of *LDHB* could maintain the mitochondrial defect that contributes to the invasiveness of cancer. Moreover,

LDHB has been identified as a direct downstream target of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and should be considered as a therapeutic target of interest for tumors with a high potential of invasiveness [15].

Considering the crucial role of ERR α and the PGC-coactivator family in the regulation of metabolic pathways, their implication in the metabolic switch often associated with tumor progression needs to be investigated. We studied 30 thyroid tumors and three human thyroid tumor cell lines, i.e. FTC-133, XTC.UC1 and RO82W-1, to investigate the role of ERR α in the integrative regulation of the glycolytic metabolism and cell proliferative status.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Samples

The study was approved by the ethics committee at the University Hospital of Angers (France), and all patients gave written informed consent. Samples, rendered anonymous before beginning the study, consisted of 10 classical follicular thyroid tumors, 10 oncocytic variant of thyroid tumors and 10 normal thyroid tissues. All samples were obtained from the Ambroise Paré Hospital (Paris, France).

Cell Cultures

Three human follicular thyroid carcinoma cell lines were used: the XTC.UC1 cells were oncocytic variants kindly provided by O. Clark [16], and the other cell lines, FTC-133 and RO82 W-1, were obtained from the Interlab Cell Line Collection (National Institute for Cancer Research, Genoa, Italy) and originated from classical follicular carcinomas.

FTC-133 and XTC.UC1 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified medium (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Seromed, Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany), 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). We added 10 mU/ml TSH (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) for XTC.UC1.

RO82 W-1 cells were grown in 60% Dulbecco's modified medium, and 30% endothelial basal medium (both from PAA, Pasching, Austria) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

For treatment with the inverse agonist XCT790 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was used a concentration validated for its specific ERR α inhibition in our cellular models [6]. FTC-133 and RO82W-1 cells were treated for 10 days with a final concentration of 5 μ M XCT790, replaced with fresh media every three days.

Bioinformatics Analysis of LDH Promoters

We extracted LDHA and LDHB promoter sequences from nucleotides -2000 to -1 starting from the transcription starting site (TSS) according to the NCBI accession NM_00566 and NM_002300. We scanned the promoters with the Matrix-Scan software (<http://rsat.ulb.ac.be/rsat/>) using a position-weight matrix defining ERR α binding sites as described elsewhere [17]. The transcription-factor binding site representations were considered statistically significant at 5% risk after simultaneous comparison with a set of 100 human promoters.

ERR α Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ERR α -ChIP assays were performed on 10⁶ XTC.UC1 cells/assay using an anti-human ERR α antibody (sc-65714 from Santa Cruz, CA, USA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer (EZ-ChIP, Upstate, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). A rabbit anti-goat IgG (55335, MP Biomedicals, CA, USA) was

used as a control of non-specific immunoprecipitation. Pellets were dissolved in water and quantitative PCR reactions were performed on the immunoprecipitates and the input DNA using the following primers designed for *LDHA* and *LDHB* genes:

LDHA: 5'-TTGAAGGGAGAGATGATGGA-3' and 5'-CCAGCCGTGATAATGACCAG-3'

LDHB: 5'-TGCTCTTGTTGGATGTTTTGG-3' and 5'-CTCTCCCCTTCTTGCTGACG-3'

ChIP was considered positive when the gene expression was enriched at least 3-fold in the IgG fraction following the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ CT} method [18].

Cloning of the Human LDHB Promoter and Construction of the Reporter Plasmids

LDHB promoter reporter plasmids were constructed using a human genomic DNA fragment from the 5'-flanking region of the human LDHB promoter to the TSS as matrix. To generate the different constructions of the reporter plasmids p.LDHB-Luc 1188, p.LDHB-Luc 611, p.LDHB-Luc 515 and p.LDHB-Luc 105, we amplified the *LDHB* promoter using the same reverse primer (5'-AAGCTTCTACCAGGAGAGAGAAGGCT-3') and forward primers as follows:

p.LDHB-Luc 1188: 5'-AGATCTGGCACTGAGAA-TAACTGAA-3',

p.LDHB-Luc 611: 5'-AGATCTCTGTAATCCCAG-CACCTTTGG-3',

p.LDHB-Luc 515: 5'-AGATCTCCCCTCTCTACTAAAAA-TAC-3',

p.LDHB-Luc 105: 5'-AGATCTTGAAGGGGATTGAGC-GAG-3'.

PCR products were doubly digested with Bgl2 and HindIII and inserted into the pGL3-basic vector. The identity of the constructions was confirmed by sequencing.

Transient Transfections and Luciferase Assay

RO82W-1 cells were plated two days before transfection. Transient transfection was performed with lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. Cells were collected 48 h later for functional and quantitative PCR analyses.

According to the experiments, RO82W-1 cells were transfected with 1 μ g LDHB promoter reporter plasmid (p.LDHB Luc), 0.05 μ g of plasmid PRC (Origene Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA), 0.05 μ g of plasmid ERR α (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) and 0.5 μ g of pRL-CMV (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) used as an internal control of transfection efficiency.

For experimentation with luciferase activity, cells were harvested after 48 h of treatment for the luciferase reporter assay using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). Luciferase activity was normalized to that of the internal control, Renilla luciferase, used as the relative luciferase unit. All assays were done in duplicate in three separate experiments.

siRNA

To knock down ERR α expression in FTC-133 cells, we transfected pre-designed ERR α siRNAs (s4830) and scrambled control siRNA (AM4635) with siPORT NeoFX. After 48 h, cells were harvested for assays. All cells were tested for the down-expression of ERR α , and siRNA was considered efficient when the ERR α expression was inhibited by at least 70% compared to scramble control.




Figure 1. Metabolic analysis for the three cell lines: FTC-133, XTC.UC1, RO82W-1, and 30 thyroid tissues (10 controls, 10 follicular tumors and 10 oncocyctic tumors). Relative expression levels of *LDHA*, *LDHB* and *ERR α* determined by quantitative PCR and normalized to *β -globin* for cell lines (A) and thyroid tissues (B). Ratio of expression level of *LDHA* to *LDHB* for cell lines relative to FTC-133 (C) and for thyroid tissues relative to control tissues (D); Ratio of LDH to CS activities for cell lines (E) and thyroid tissues (F); Measurement of oxygen consumption under basal respiratory conditions for cell lines (G) and thyroid tissues (H). Results are the mean values \pm SD of three experiments for the cell lines and mean values of thyroid tumors relative to control thyroid tissues. ■ $p < 0.05$ for FTC-133 versus XTC.UC1 or RO82W-1, □ $p < 0.05$ for XTC.UC1 versus RO82W-1, ▲ $p < 0.05$ for control thyroid versus follicular tumors or oncocyctic tumors; Δ $p < 0.05$ for follicular tumors versus oncocyctic tumors. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683.g001

Western Blot

Cells were rinsed in PBS, trypsinized and collected in centrifuge tubes. Proteins (20 μ g) were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Hybond-P, Amersham International plc, Little Chalfont, UK) by electroblotting. The membranes were incubated in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-Tween (tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 0.1% Tween-20). The membranes were incubated overnight with dilutions (1/2000) of the following antibodies: monoclonal anti- β -Actin, anti-*LDHA*, anti-*LDHB* and anti-*ERR α* (all obtained from Abcam, Cambridge, UK). After several washes in TBS-Tween, the membranes were incubated with an appropriate chemiluminescent-labelled horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, WestGrove, PA, USA). The blots were de-

veloped using the enhanced chemiluminescence method (ECL-plus, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire, UK). Signal quantification was performed by non-saturating picture scanning by a gel Doc 1000 Molecular Analyst apparatus (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Quantitative RT-PCR Analyses

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for cultured cells, and trizol procedure for thyroid tissues (Invitrogen).

Reverse transcription was performed on 1 μ g of RNA with Advantage RT-for-PCR kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations.

A

□ *LDHB* promoter

TFBS	strand	start	end	Sequence (direct strand)	p-Val
ERRE1	Reverse	-849	-841	TCAAGGTCA	3.0E-06
ERRE2	Direct	-560	-552	ACGAGGTCA	6.0E-05
ERRE3	Reverse	-118	-110	TCAAGGACA	4.0E-05

□ *LDHA* promoter

TFBS	strand	start	end	Sequence (direct strand)	p-Val
ERRE4	Direct	-456	-448	TGGAGGTCA	3.0E-05

B




Figure 2. Potential ERR α response elements in *LDHB* and *LDHA* promoters (A) Potential ERR α binding sites numbered relative to the transcription starting site (TSS) (B) Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay for *LDH* promoters in XTC.UC1 cells using a polyclonal ERR α antibody. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated with the indicated antibody and submitted to quantitative PCR. Results are expressed as fold change of enrichment compared to control IgG immunoprecipitated material. ERR α -IP was realized in duplicate and each sample was tested in triplicate for quantitative PCR. TFBS: transcription factor binding site. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683.g002




Figure 3. ERR α inhibits LDHB promoter activity. (A) Different construction of the human LDHB promoter reporter plasmid. (B) RO82W-1 cells were transfected with the indicated promoter constructs together with the expression plasmid of ERR α and/or PRC. Luciferase activity was determined 48 h after transfection and normalized against renilla luciferase activity. Results, presented in Relative Light Units (RLU), are the mean values \pm SD of three experiments performed in duplicate. *: $p \leq 0.05$ versus cells transfected with plasmids controls and no ERR α or PRC. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683.g003

Real-time quantification was performed in a 96-well plate using the IQ SYBR Green SuperMix and Chromo4 (Biorad). Data were normalized to β -globin as described elsewhere [6].

Respiratory Parameters

Respiratory parameters were investigated on intact cells from cultured cell lines and sample tissues by polarography, using a high-resolution Oroboros O2k oxygraph (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria) as described elsewhere [19,20]. The basal respiratory rate, defined as respiration in the cell-culture medium without additional substrates or effectors, was determined by measuring the linear rate of oxygen flux in intact cells (3.10^6 cells placed at 37°C in 2 ml Dulbecco's modified medium).

Enzymatic Activities

The activity of citrate synthase (CS), and Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) was measured spectrophotometrically (at 412 nm for CS and 340 nm for LDH) on cell lysates at 37°C in a cell buffer (250 mM saccharose, 20 mM tris[hydroxymethyl]aminomethane, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, pH 7.2) using a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter). Specific enzymatic activities were expressed in mIU (i.e. nanomoles of 5,5-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid)/min/mg protein for CS or nanomoles of NADH/min/mg protein for LDH). The cellular protein content was determined using the Bicinchoninic assay kit (Uptima, Interchim, Montluçon, France) with bovine serum albumin as standard (All from Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA, except Tris from Eurobio, Les Ulis, France). Results

were expressed as relative LDH to CS activities as an indicator of global cell metabolism.

Lactate concentration in the culture media was determined by spectrophotometry using appropriate enzymatic kits (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) on a Hitachi-Roche 917 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH Mannheim, Germany) and normalized to total cell numbers.

Microarray Analysis

cDNA from RO82W-1 cells were hybridized in duplicate on human $4 \times 44,000$ expression chips (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Data are available in the GEO database (GSE 37017). The Expression Analysis Systematic Explorer (EASE) and Gene Set analysis were used to determine the statistically over-represented and differentially expressed genes. Gene ontology enrichments in gene lists were searched for by means of the GOMiner. The most abundant gene ontology terms, representing at least 5% of the genes in the lists, with p values lower than 0.05, were considered for interpretation.

Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean values \pm standard deviation (SD). The statistical significance of observed variations was assessed using the *Mann-Whitney test*. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using StatView version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).









Figure 4. ERR α modulates expression and activity of LDH. LDHA and LDHB expression levels were measured by quantitative real-time PCR. The ratio of LDH activity to CS activity was determined under various conditions. Measurements were made 48 h after transfection or 10 days of treatment with XCT790 and results are presented relative to the control which was assigned a unit value. LDHA and LDHB expression levels, the mean LDHA/LDHB ratio (A) and the relative LDH activity (B) for RO82W-1 cells transfected with 50 ng ERR α or 50 ng PRC or empty vectors (Control). LDHA, LDHB expression levels and mean of the LDHA/LDHB ratio (C) and relative LDH activity (D) for FTC-133 cells treated with XCT790 or vehicle (Control). LDHA, LDHB expression levels and mean of the LDHA/LDHB ratio (E) and relative LDH activity (F) for FTC-133 cells transfected with control or ERR α siRNA. The results are the mean values \pm SD of three experiments performed in duplicate relative to controls. *: $p \leq 0.05$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683.g004

Results

Expression of ERR α and LDH Genes in Human Thyroid Tissues and Cell Lines

We studied the expression of ERR α and LDH in 30 normal or tumoral human thyroid tissues and three human thyroid cancer cell lines: RO82W-1, FTC-133, XTC.UC1.

The thyroid tissues and cell lines expressed the same LDH gene profiles with higher copy numbers of LDHA than those of LDHB (Figure 1A). The expression level of LDHA was four times higher in FTC-133 and RO82W-1 cells than in XTC.UC1 cells. The expression levels of LDHB were higher by factors of 3.56 in FTC-133 cells and 1.60 in XTC.UC1 cells, compared to RO82W-1 cells. These results were confirmed at protein levels for LDHA, LDHB (Figure S1). In thyroid tissues, the LDHA and LDHB expression levels were similar in follicular, oncogenic tumors and normal tissues (Figure 1B). The correlation between protein and mRNA levels for LDHA and LDHB was less relevant, but related to previous studies on cell metabolism where a mix between aerobic and anaerobic glycolysis was described in normal and tumor cells [21–22] (Figure S1).

Using the LDHA/LDHB expression ratio as an indicator of the combined influence of the isoforms on LDH activity, we showed that this ratio was higher for RO82W-1 cells than for FTC-133, or XTC.UC1 cells (Figure 1C). In thyroid tissues the ratio was higher for normal thyroid tissues than for follicular tumors or oncogenic tumors (Figure 1D). Thus, the LDHA/LDHB ratio was similar in follicular and oncogenic tumors and their corresponding cell line models, FTC-133 and XTC.UC1.

We evaluated the glycolytic metabolism by lactate dehydrogenase activity (Figures 1E and 1F) and mitochondrial oxygen consumption (Figures 1G and 1H). The relative LDH activity was higher in RO82W-1 cells in which the oxygen consumption rate was lowest. In contrast, the relative LDH activity of FTC-133 and XTC.UC1 cells was 2 to 5 times lower than that of RO82W-1 cells, and the oxygen consumption rates were twice as high. These findings suggest that FTC-133 and XTC.UC1 cells mainly use an oxidative metabolism whereas RO82W-1 cells mainly depend on anaerobic glycolysis. These results were supported by the measurement of total lactate production in the culture media for the three cell lines (Figure S1). In thyroid tissues, the relative LDH activity was similar in normal thyroid and follicular tumors whereas it was 40% lower in oncogenic tumors. Oxygen consumption was 1.58 to 3.25 times higher in follicular and oncogenic tumors, respectively, compared to control thyroid tissues. Thus, oxidative metabolism appeared to be favored in follicular and oncogenic thyroid tumors.

In all thyroid tissues and cell lines, the expression levels of ERR α were overexpressed in samples with pronounced oxidative metabolism (Figure 1A and 1B). ERR α levels were respectively 1.6 and 4 times higher in follicular and oncogenic tumors compared to normal tissues. Similarly, ERR α levels were higher in FTC-133 and XTC.UC1 cells than in RO82W-1 cells. All these results were confirmed at the protein level (Figure S1).

ERR α Motifs in LDHA and LDHB Promoters

We examined the LDHA and LDHB promoters using ERR α binding-site matrices described elsewhere [17]. The promoter sequences, extracted from nucleotides -2000 to -1 , starting from the transcription starting site (TSS), were scanned for ERR α binding sites. The bioinformatics search suggested that one region between -456 / -448 for the LDHA promoter, and the three regions between -849 / -841 , -560 / -552 and -118 / -110 for the LDHB promoter, were potential ERR α binding sites ($p \leq 0.05$) (Figure 2A).

We confirmed the physical association between ERR α and the LDHB promoter in oncogenic cells (XTC.UC1) using the chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay with a polyclonal ERR α antibody. We chose these cells because of their high expression levels of ERR α (Figure 1A). A 12-fold enrichment of the LDHB gene expression was observed compared to that of the IgG fraction. No enrichment was observed on the LDHA promoter (Figure 2B). These findings indicate that ERR α directly interacts with the LDHB promoter but not that of LDHA.

Regulation of the LDHB Promoter by ERR α

To investigate the molecular mechanism of the transcriptional activation of LDHB by ERR α , we built four constructions of a genomic DNA fragment from the 5'-flanking region of the human LDHB gene into a luciferase plasmid (Figure 3A). We transfected one of these four constructions with ERR α and/or PRC in RO82W-1 thyroid cancer cells, which have low mitochondrial mass and poor expression of ERR α and PRC (Figure 3B). An overexpression of ERR α induced a 60% decrease in transcriptional activity with p.LDHB-Luc 1188 which contains the three potential ERREs. No change was observed with the three other constructions, suggesting that only the ERRE1 (-849 / -841 region) is functional. Our earlier work indicated that PRC could be a key partner in the action of ERR α [6,17]. We therefore transfected PRC, either alone or with ERR α , into each of the four constructions of the LDHB promoter. No effect on reporter activity was observed after transfection with PRC alone. The forced overexpression of ERR α and PRC reduced luciferase activity by 53% with the longest LDHB promoter but no interaction was observed using the other promoters.

These results suggest that ERR α interacted with the -849 / -841 region of the LDHB promoter and exerted a negative transcriptional activity. The action of PRC in our model on the LDHB promoter is either null or as a transcriptional coactivator of ERR α .

ERR α Modulates LDH Activity

To investigate the role of ERR α and PRC in regulating LDHB transcription, we overexpressed ERR α and/or PRC in RO82W-1 cells, which present low expression levels of endogenous ERR α and PRC. Overexpression of ERR α , either alone or with PRC, consistently decreased the expression of LDHB at mRNA and protein levels whereas it had no effect on LDHA (Figure 4A and Figure S2). Thus, the LDHA/LDHB mRNA expression ratios




Figure 5. ERR α and PRC coregulate the cell cycle and metabolism in thyroid cells. RO82W-1 cells transfected with ERR α and PRC or empty vector (Control) and analysed 72 h after transfection. Pangenomic microarray results for metabolism genes. Gene-expression levels are grouped by class and ordered according to their mean log level of expression (A) or color-coded in the matrix from green (underexpression) to red (overexpression) (B). Ten main gene ontologies (C). Cell proliferation and lactate levels in media (D). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058683.g005

significantly increased by 56% when ERR α was overexpressed ($p < 0.05$) and by 96% when both ERR α and PRC were overexpressed ($p < 0.05$). Only the overexpression of both ERR α and PRC significantly decreased LDH activity ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 4B).

We investigated the consequences of the inhibition of ERR α and PRC on LDH activity in FTC-133 cells which present the highest expression of endogenous ERR α in the three tumor cell lines. The treatment of these cells with XCT790 significantly increased the expression of LDHB at mRNA and protein levels

and that of LDH activity by 36% (Figures 4C, 4D and Figure S2). It had no effect on protein and mRNA levels for LDHA (Figures 4C and Figure S2). Thus, the *LDHA/LDHB* expression ratios decreased by 30%. The same results were obtained when we inhibited ERR α with XCT790 and PRC with specific siRNA (data not shown). To exclude the action of XCT790 on other proteins, we confirmed these results by *ERR* α siRNA. The inhibition of ERR α significantly increased the protein and mRNA levels for LDHB but had no effect on protein and mRNA levels for LDHA (Figure 4E and Figure S2); LDH activity increased by 50% (Figure 4F).

Our results show that ERR α regulates LDH activity by direct interaction with the *LDHB* promoter in cellular models of follicular thyroid carcinoma, and that PRC may interact with ERR α to decrease LDH activity and modulate the *LDHA/LDHB* expression ratio.

ERR α Coregulates the Cell Cycle and the Metabolism

Since a significant decrease in LDH activity was observed only when combining the overexpressions of PRC and ERR α , we chose to explore differential gene expression by cDNA microarray comparing RO82W-1 cells transfected with both ERR α and PRC, and cells transfected with control plasmids. The pangenomic microarray data showed that the overexpression of ERR α and PRC induced the differential expression of 553 genes (Figure 5A and 5B). The main gene ontology showed a significant overexpression of genes implicated in the cell cycle, regulation of cell proliferation and G1/S transition of the mitotic cell cycle when ERR α and PRC were overexpressed (Figure 5C). Nevertheless, RO82W-1 cells transfected with control plasmids or both PRC and ERR α plasmids during 72 h had the same proliferative statuses (Figure 5D). We found an overexpression of genes implicated in estrogen receptor signaling due to the high degree of sequence identity between ERR and the estrogen receptor. Moreover, we found an overexpression of the respiratory electron transport chain as described previously [6].

Of the 553 genes with differential expressions due to ERR α and PRC, 288 were involved in the metabolism. Among these 288 genes, we found a positive regulation for 40% of the genes of respiratory chain and a negative regulation for 86% of the genes of TCA and anaerobic glycolysis (Figure 5A). We verified that the lactate production was not increased when the cells were transfected with ERR α and PRC (Figure 5D).

Discussion

The modification of cellular metabolism in tumors has recently been characterized as one of the major alterations of tumor cells [23]. Indeed, tumor cells, which have an altered carbohydrate metabolism, produce ATP from glucose through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and anaerobic glycolysis even under normal oxygen pressures. This metabolic strategy offers tumors a selective advantage by satisfying the high ATP demands, facilitating the macromolecular biosynthesis required by rapidly proliferating tumors, and allowing NAD⁺ production in the absence of mitochondrial oxidation.

The regulation of cell metabolism requires the expression of a large number of genes encoded by the nuclear and the mitochondrial genome. The coordination of these genes depends on transcription factors among which ERR α appears to be essential [24]. ERR α is known to coregulate and coordinate gene-encoding enzymes of the biochemical pathways involved in the generation of energy from glucose *via* OXPHOS. Our findings indicate that ERR α may also regulate anaerobic glycolysis *via*

LDH activity in thyroid tumors. The Warburg effect having been recently revisited, a more realistic description of cancer cell metabolism suggests that oxidative phosphorylation and anaerobic glycolysis cooperate to sustain energy needs during tumorigenesis [25]. In breast cancer it has been shown that miRNA-378 regulates the metabolic switch *via* the ERR γ -PGC1 β complex, which promotes oxidative metabolism, and the ERR α -PGC1 β complex, which favors activation of the glycolytic pathway [26]. We have shown that ERR α controls the cell cycle and promotes the efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation by interfering with PRC coactivators and according to the metabolic status of the cells in thyroid tumors [6]. Our study of LDH activity and oxygen consumption shows that, compared to normal tissues and follicular tumors, oncogenic tumors mainly depend on oxidative metabolism. This type of altered metabolism has already been described in other tumors, such as hepatomas, melanomas and lung carcinomas [27,28]. This oxidative metabolism could be orchestrated by ERR α . Indeed, the expression of the ERR α gene is greater in oncogenic tumors than in normal thyroid tissues and follicular tumors. Hypothesizing that ERR α coregulates OXPHOS and glycolytic pathways in an aerobic environment, we investigated the regulation of the glycolytic enzyme LDH in cellular models of thyroid tumors. We chose cellular models presenting oxidative or glycolytic metabolism, to explore mechanisms for oxidative maintenance in XTC.UC1 and FTC133, and the role of ERR α in RO82W-1 metabolic reversion. Investigating the promoters of the *LDHA* and *LDHB* genes we found responsive elements for ERR α in both genes. However, chromatin-immunoprecipitation studies and experiments involving transient transfection confirmed the functional interaction only for the *LDHB* gene. These results are in accordance with a recent study showing that *LDHB* is regulated by ERR α and Prox1 whereas *LDHA* expression only depends on Prox1. Interestingly, Prox1 has been shown to inhibit the activity of the ERR α -PGC-1 α complex in liver cells [29]. In contrast, it has been found that ERR α activates the *LDHA* gene promoter through ERRE in HepG2 human liver cells or MCF7 human breast cancer cells [4]. This divergent regulation of bioenergetic functions *via* the action of ERR α transcriptional complex highlights the role of the cofactors in the context of proliferative cells.

Lactate dehydrogenase is composed of two subunits, M and H, which enter into five different combinations. The expression levels of LDHA and LDHB expression determine the cellular isozyme pattern [10]. A study based on a mathematical model of the LDH reaction concluded that the decisive parameter was the total LDH activity and not the isoenzyme pattern [30]. The situation is quite different during metabolic transitions in energy metabolism, in particular when the glycolytic flux changes as it does in tumors [31]. In such cases, the LDH isoform ratio may serve as an indicator of the relative flux through the aerobic and anaerobic systems. Indeed, in our study, oncogenic and follicular tumors were more dependent on oxidative metabolism, expressed more ERR α , and had a lower LDHA/LDHB ratio than control thyroid tissues. The results were similar for thyroid cell lines presenting an ERR α expression and an LDHA/LDHB ratio in relation to their metabolic status. We found a functional ERRE on the LDHB promoter that negatively regulated LDHB expression. Surprisingly, in thyroid tissues and cell lines, the expression levels of ERR α and LDHB were correlated. We hypothesized that this coregulation could be orchestrated by mTOR, which is often deregulated in thyroid tumors [32]. Indeed, mTOR has been identified as a positive regulator of ERR α and LDHB [15,33]. Thus, *ERR* α and *LDHB* expression may be coregulated independently of the potential regulation of *LDHB* by ERR α . Contrary to other studies,

the LDHA promoter has no functional ERRE in our cellular models [4]. We postulate that difference in the cofactors (PGC-1 α /PRC ratio) in our tumor cells may have an impact on the expression of LDH genes. We have shown that our three cell lines expressed 3.6 to 8 times more PRC than PGC1 α [6]. PRC and ERR α are known to play a role in the transition from the G1 phase to the S phase of the cell cycle [34,35], and to regulate the energy needs of the cells. Our study showed an overexpression of genes implicated in the regulation of the cell cycle, with a similar proliferation of cells after 72 h of transfection with ERR α and PRC. We need to confirm these findings by using cell lines with stable transfection of ERR α and PRC and evaluating cell proliferation after a longer period. Our previous work showed that the inhibition of ERR α by XCT790 decreased cell proliferation after 10 days of treatment (6). Thus, the transition and modification of tumor cell proliferation could be orchestrated by the ERR α -PRC complex by two mechanisms: regulation of the cellular energy metabolism and alteration of the LDH subunit composition. The down-expression of *LDHB* could transform the LDH isoenzyme into the LDH5 isoenzyme containing only the M subunits coded by the *LDHA* gene known to be linked to metastatic progression [36,37]. A similar change in the isoform pattern adapting tumor cells to environmental conditions has been described for hexokinase and phospho-fructokinase, two enzymes of the glycolytic pathway [38,39]. Moreover, the release of lactate decreases the pH in the extracellular space, destroying adjacent normal cells, degrading the extracellular matrix and facilitating tumor invasion [13]. Our findings suggest that ERR α may influence tumor aggressiveness by metabolic modification and modulation of the LDHA/LDHB expression ratio.

Our observations show that the ERR α transcriptional complex is important in the induction of a metabolic shift in thyroid cell lines. Further experiments are needed to validate ERR α as a key factor of metabolic shift in thyroid tumors even though our results argue in favor of a pivotal role of ERR α for the regulation of both oxidative and glycolytic metabolism for oxydative thyroid tumors.

References

- Zhang Y, Ma K, Sadana P, Chowdhury F, Gaillard S, et al. (2006) Estrogen-related receptors stimulate pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform 4 gene expression. *J Biol Chem* 281: 39897–39906.
- Schreiber SN, Emter R, Hock MB, Knutti D, Cardenas J, et al. (2004) The estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR α) functions in PPAR γ coactivator 1 α (PGC-1 α)-induced mitochondrial biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 6472–6477.
- Sladek R, Bader JA and Giguere V (1997) The orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor alpha is a transcriptional regulator of the human medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. *Mol Cell Biol* 17: 5400–5409.
- Cai Q, Lin T, Kamarajugadda S and Lu J (2012) Regulation of glycolysis and the Warburg effect by estrogen-related receptors. *Oncogene*.
- Nie Y, Wong C (2009) Suppressing the activity of ERR α in 3T3-L1 adipocytes reduces mitochondrial biogenesis but enhances glycolysis and basal glucose uptake. *J Cell Mol Med* 13: 3051–3060.
- Mirebeau-Prunier D, Le Pennec S, Jacques C, Gueguen N, Poirier J, et al. (2010) Estrogen-related receptor alpha and PGC-1-related coactivator constitute a novel complex mediating the biogenesis of functional mitochondria. *Febs J* 277: 713–725.
- Dufour CR, Wilson BJ, Huss JM, Kelly DP, Alaynick WA, et al. (2007) Genome-wide orchestration of cardiac functions by the orphan nuclear receptors ERR α and gamma. *Cell Metab* 5: 345–356.
- Handschin C, Spiegelman BM (2006) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 coactivators, energy homeostasis, and metabolism. *Endocr Rev* 27: 728–735.
- He X, Sun C, Wang F, Shan A, Guo T, et al. (2012) Peri-implantation lethality in mice lacking the PGC-1-related coactivator protein. *Dev Dyn* 241: 975–983.
- Markert CL, Shaklee JB, Whit GS (1975) Evolution of a gene. Multiple genes for LDH isozymes provide a model of the evolution of gene structure, function and regulation. *Science* 189: 102–114.
- Swiderek K, Paneth P (2011) Differences and similarities in binding of pyruvate and L-lactate in the active site of M4 and H4 isoforms of human lactate dehydrogenase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 505: 33–41.
- Le A, Cooper CR, Gouw AM, Dinavahi R, Maitra A, et al. (2010) Inhibition of lactate dehydrogenase A induces oxidative stress and inhibits tumor progression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 2037–2042.
- Gateby RA, Gillies RJ (2004) Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? *Nat Rev Cancer* 4: 891–899.
- Kim JH, Kim EL, Lee YK, Park CB, Kim BW, et al. (2011) Decreased lactate dehydrogenase B expression enhances claudin 1-mediated hepatoma cell invasiveness via mitochondrial defects. *Exp Cell Res* 317: 1108–1118.
- Zha X, Wang F, Wang Y, He S, Jing Y, et al. (2011) Lactate dehydrogenase B is critical for hyperactive mTOR-mediated tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* 71: 13–18.
- Zielke A, Tezelman S, Jossart GH, Wong M, Siperstein AE, et al. (1998) Establishment of a highly differentiated thyroid cancer cell line of Hurthle cell origin. *Thyroid* 8: 475–483.
- Jacques C, Fontaine JF, Franc B, Mirebeau-Prunier D, Triau S, et al. (2009) Death-associated protein 3 is overexpressed in human thyroid oncogenic tumours. *Br J Cancer* 101: 132–138.
- Schmittgen TD, Lee EJ, Jiang J (2008) High-throughput real-time PCR. *Methods Mol Biol* 429: 89–98.
- Hutter E, Renner K, Pfister G, Stockl P, Jansen-Durr P, et al. (2004) Senescence-associated changes in respiration and oxidative phosphorylation in primary human fibroblasts. *Biochem J* 380: 919–928.
- Loiseau D, Chevrolier A, Verny C, Guillet V, Gueguen N, et al. (2007) Mitochondrial coupling defect in Charcot-Marie-Tooth type 2A disease. *Ann Neurol* 61: 315–323.
- Dumont JE (1962) Pyruvate oxidation in thyroid tissue. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 56: 382–385.
- Tyler DD, Gonze J, Lamy F, Dumont JE (1968) Influence of mitochondrial inhibitors on the respiration and energy-dependent uptake of iodide by thyroid slices. *Biochem J* 106: 123–133.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Basal protein levels of LDH, ERR α and lactate production. Quantitative protein level of LDHA, LDHB and ERR α in thyroid cell lines (A) and thyroid tissues (B) were determined by western blot and presented relative to the control (β -Actin) that was assigned a value of unity (n = 2). (C) Total lactate production by thyroid cell lines (n = 3 in triplicate). (TIF)

Figure S2 ERR α modulates protein levels of LDHB (A) Quantitative protein levels of LDHA, LDHB and ERR α were determined for RO82W-1 cells transfected with 50 ng ERR α or 50 ng ERR α and 50 ng PRC or empty vectors (Control). Measurements were made 48 h after transfection by western blot and presented relative to the control (β -Actin) that was assigned a value of unity (n = 2). (B) Quantitative protein levels of LDHA, LDHB and ERR α were determined for FTC-133 cells treated for 10 days with XCT790 or vehicle (Control). Measurements were made 48 h after transfection by western blot and presented relative to the control (β -Actin) that was assigned a value of unity (n = 2). (TIF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Jean-Marc Vanacker for kindly supplying ERR α reporter plasmids, and Orlo Clark for kindly providing XTC.UC1 cells. We are grateful to Dominique Couturier, Céline Wetterwald and Marine Corneic for technical assistance, and Kanaya Malkani for critical reading of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DMP FS. Performed the experiments: DMP SLP CJ JFF NG NBB AD FS. Analyzed the data: DMP SLP CJ JFF NG NBB YM FS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DMP SLP CJ JFF NG NBB YM FS. Wrote the paper: DMP.

23. DeBerardinis RJ (2008) Is cancer a disease of abnormal cellular metabolism? New angles on an old idea. *Genet Med* 10: 767–777.
24. Giguere V (2008) Transcriptional control of energy homeostasis by the estrogen-related receptors. *Endocr Rev* 29: 677–696.
25. Koppenol WH, Bounds PL, Dang CV (2011) Otto Warburg's contributions to current concepts of cancer metabolism. *Nat Rev Cancer* 11: 325–337.
26. Eichner LJ, Perry MC, Dufour CR, Bertos N, Park M, et al. miR-378(*) mediates metabolic shift in breast cancer cells via the PGC-1beta/ERRgamma transcriptional pathway. *Cell Metab* 12: 352–361.
27. Zu XL, Guppy M (2004) Cancer metabolism: facts, fantasy, and fiction. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 313: 459–465.
28. Jose C, Bellance N, Rossignol R (2010) Choosing between glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation: a tumor's dilemma? *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1807: 552–561.
29. Charest-Marcotte A, Dufour CR, Wilson BJ, Tremblay AM, Eichner LJ, et al. (2010) The homeobox protein Prox1 is a negative modulator of ERR{alpha}/PGC-1{alpha} bioenergetic functions. *Genes Dev* 24: 537–542.
30. Downer J, Sevinsky JR, Ahn NG, Resing KA, Betterton MD (2006) Incorporating expression data in metabolic modeling: a case study of lactate dehydrogenase. *J Theor Biol* 240: 464–474.
31. Quistorff B, Grunnet N (2011) The isoenzyme pattern of LDH does not play a physiological role; except perhaps during fast transitions in energy metabolism. *Aging (Albany NY)* 3: 457–460.
32. Souza EC, Ferreira AC, Carvalho DP (2011) The mTOR protein as a target in thyroid cancer. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 15: 1099–1112.
33. Cunningham JT, Rodgers JT, Arlow DH, Vazquez F, Mootha VK, et al. (2007) mTOR controls mitochondrial oxidative function through a YY1-PGC-1alpha transcriptional complex. *Nature* 450: 736–740.
34. Bianco S, Lanvin O, Tribollet V, Macari C, North S, et al. (2009) Modulating estrogen receptor-related receptor-alpha activity inhibits cell proliferation. *J Biol Chem* 284: 23286–23292.
35. Vercauteren K, Gleyzer N, Scarpulla RC (2009) Short hairpin RNA-mediated silencing of PRC (PGC-1-related coactivator) results in a severe respiratory chain deficiency associated with the proliferation of aberrant mitochondria. *J Biol Chem* 284: 2307–2319.
36. Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, Sivridis E, Bougioukas G, Didilis V, et al. (2003) Lactate dehydrogenase-5 (LDH-5) overexpression in non-small-cell lung cancer tissues is linked to tumour hypoxia, angiogenic factor production and poor prognosis. *Br J Cancer* 89: 877–885.
37. Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, Simopoulos C, Polychronidis A, Sivridis E (2005) Lactate dehydrogenase 5 (LDH5) relates to up-regulated hypoxia inducible factor pathway and metastasis in colorectal cancer. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 22: 25–30.
38. Vora S, Halper JP, Knowles DM (1985) Alterations in the activity and isozymic profile of human phosphofructokinase during malignant transformation in vivo and in vitro: transformation- and progression-linked discriminants of malignancy. *Cancer Res* 45: 2993–3001.
39. Sanchez-Martinez C, Aragon JJ (1997) Analysis of phosphofructokinase subunits and isozymes in ascites tumor cells and its original tissue, murine mammary gland. *FEBS Lett* 409: 86–90.