



HAL
open science

Anatomy of a techno-creative community, the role of places and events in the emergence of projection mapping

Etienne Capron, Dominique Sagot-Duvaurox, Raphaël Suire

► To cite this version:

Etienne Capron, Dominique Sagot-Duvaurox, Raphaël Suire. Anatomy of a techno-creative community, the role of places and events in the emergence of projection mapping. Rethinking Clusters: Place-based initiatives for inclusive, innovative and reflective societies, Universitat Politècnica de València; Universitat de València, Nov 2020, Valencia, Spain. hal-03252158

HAL Id: hal-03252158

<https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-03252158>

Submitted on 7 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RETHINKING CLUSTERS: PLACE-BASED INITIATIVES FOR INCLUSIVE, INNOVATIVE AND REFLECTIVE SOCIETIES

International Conference

24-25 November 2020

Universitat Politècnica de València & Universitat de València

Anatomy of a techno-creative community: the role of places and events in the emergence of projection mapping in Nantes

Etienne Capron¹, Dominique Sagot-Duvaurox¹, Raphaël Suire²

University of Angers, GRANEM, France¹

University of Nantes, LEMNA, France²

etienne.capron@univ-angers.fr

As the literature on clusters developed in the early 2000s, cities stepped into these strategies to develop their economies (Gong & Hassink, 2017). In many cases, clusters have been designed with a logic of specialization, particularly around cultural or digital sectors, as it would spur innovation (Lazzeretti et al., 2017). The knowledge used and combined by the actors belongs to distinct bases, reflecting different types of activities (Asheim, 2007; Davids & Frenken, 2018). However, cross-specialization is now seen as an important source of differentiation (Janssen & Frenken, 2019). The idea behind is based on the hypothesis of cross-fertilization between these two fields, whose knowledge would be related and therefore easy to combine, or at least would be complementary (Frenken et al., 2007; Asheim & Hansen, 2009). It would also lead to sustainable differentiation for local economies. Nevertheless, the co-location of these activities does not necessarily imply innovation dynamics at the intersection of synthetic and symbolic knowledge bases – techno-creative innovations. Moreover, it would seem that this type of context favors innovation, but micro-level analyses are lacking to understand the additive effects of co-presence and further explain how do innovations at the intersection of STEM and Arts do emerge (Rodríguez-Pose & Lee, 2020). The aim of this paper is to better understand what roles places and events play in the emergence of an epistemic community dedicated to a techno-creative innovation?

This work is based on the idea that epistemic communities evolve in knowledge domains where they create, share and codify new knowledge (Haas, 1992; Cohendet et al., 2014; Capdevila et al., 2018). Those that evolve in a field of digital technologies produce certain types of knowledge

(related to analytical or synthetic basis), and that others evolving in an artistic/cultural field create other knowledge (related to symbolic basis) (Asheim, *op.cit*; Asheim & Hansen, *op.cit*). Therefore, the question of intermediation becomes central to foster collaborations between these actors and innovations at the intersection of these domains. We focus on places and events, whose specific configurations can turn them into meeting spaces where social networks are formed (Cohendet et al., 2010). They also participate in the diffusion of innovations and the configuration of shared visions (Lange et al., 2014). More broadly, they create and/or allow the exchange of social, cognitive, economic and symbolic resources. In the same vein, certain actors who have the capacity to link two distinct networks (*brokers*) can also be at the heart of intermediation dynamics (Foster & Ocejó, 2013; Sgourev, 2015).

Our empirical approach is based on in-depth case study of projection mapping in Nantes (France), a territory where artistic/cultural activities and digital technologies (mainly software development and IS management) are present. We focused on projection-mapping, which fits to our perspective as it combines an artistic dimension with technological tools. This practice can be defined as the projection of still or moving images on volumes (walls, sculpture, screen...) using videoprojectors and dedicated software. We use semi-directive interviews and network analysis to better understand the foundations of the collective dynamics at the heart of the innovation process.

The results show a fragmentation of the community into three different subgroups. This reveals that the practice seems to be emerging in this territory, and the situation calls for action to bridge the gap between these groups to facilitate collaborations. To be more precise, each subgroup has with a different understanding of projection-mapping and a more or less deep mastery of technological tools. Therefore, a form of ambiguity distinguishes the approaches and participates in their distinction (Sgourev, 2013). Among the places and events identified, some are only frequented by certain actors belonging to the same group. Others are frequented by actors belonging to different groups and can play a catalyst role. More precisely, we have identified different functions according to the type of place or event, which depend on the position of the actors within the network and their activity. Finally, we have identified two actors whose position and activity lead us to believe that they have a broker role. Our paper therefore questions the logic of clustering, and what geographical proximity really brings for innovations between arts and technologies.

Bibliography:

Asheim, B. 2007. Differentiated knowledge bases and varieties of regional innovation systems.

Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research, 20(3): 223–241.

Asheim, B., & Hansen, H. K. 2009. Knowledge Bases, Talents, and Contexts: On the Usefulness of

- the Creative Class Approach in Sweden. *Economic Geography*, 85(4): 425–442.
- Capdevila, I., Cohendet, P., & Simon, L. 2018. From a local community to a global influence. How elBulli restaurant created a new epistemic movement in the world of haute cuisine. *Industry and Innovation*, 25(5): 526–549.
- Cohendet, P., Grandadam, D., & Simon, L. 2010. The Anatomy of the Creative City. *Industry & Innovation*, 17(1): 91–111.
- Cohendet, P., Grandadam, D., Simon, L., & Capdevila, I. 2014. Epistemic communities, localization and the dynamics of knowledge creation. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 14(5): 929–954.
- Dauids, M., & Frenken, K. 2018. Proximity, knowledge base and the innovation process: Towards an integrated framework. *Regional Studies*, 52(1): 23–34.
- Foster, P., & Ocejo, R. E. 2013. Brokerage, Mediation, and Social Networks in the Creative Industries. In C. Jones, M. Lorenzen, & J. Sapsed (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Creative Industries*. Oxford University Press.
- Frenken, K., Van Oort, F., & Verburg, T. 2007. Related Variety, Unrelated Variety and Regional Economic Growth. *Regional Studies*, 41(5): 685–697.
- Gong, H., & Hassink, R. 2017. Exploring the clustering of creative industries. *European Planning Studies*, 25(4): 583–600.
- Haas, P. M. 1992. Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization*, 46(1): 1–35.
- Janssen, M. J., & Frenken, K. 2019. Cross-specialisation policy: Rationales and options for linking unrelated industries. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 12(2): 195–212.
- Lange, B., Suwala, L., & Power, D. 2014. Geographies of field-configuring events. *Zeitschrift Für Wirtschaftsgeographie*, 58(1): 187–201.
- Lazzeretti, L., Innocenti, N., & Capone, F. 2017. The impact of related variety on the creative employment growth. *The Annals of Regional Science*, 58(3): 491–512.
- Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Lee, N. 2020. Hipsters vs. geeks? Creative workers, STEM and innovation in US cities. *Cities*, 100: 102653.
- Sgourev, S. V. 2013. How Paris Gave Rise to Cubism (and Picasso): Ambiguity and Fragmentation in Radical Innovation. *Organization Science*, 24(6): 1601–1617.
- Sgourev, S. V. 2015. Brokerage as Catalysis: How Diaghilev's *Ballets Russes* Escalated Modernism. *Organization Studies*, 36(3): 343–361.