

Protein synthesis increases with photosynthesis via the stimulation of translation initiation

Guillaume Tcherkez, Adam Carroll, Cyril Abadie, Samuel Mainguet, Marlene

Davanture, Michel Zivy

► To cite this version:

Guillaume Tcherkez, Adam Carroll, Cyril Abadie, Samuel Mainguet, Marlene Davanture, et al.. Protein synthesis increases with photosynthesis via the stimulation of translation initiation. Plant Science, 2020, 291, pp.110352. 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110352. hal-02499231

HAL Id: hal-02499231 https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-02499231

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Corresponding author:

Guillaume Tcherkez Research School of Biology ANU Joint College of Sciences Australian National University 2601 Canberra ACT, Australia Tel. +61 (0)2 6125 0381 guillaume.tcherkez@anu.edu.au

Protein synthesis increases with photosynthesis via the stimulation of translation initiation

Guillaume Tcherkez^{1,2*}, Adam Carroll³, Cyril Abadie², Samuel Mainguet⁴, Marlène Davanture⁵ and Michel Zivy⁵

1. Research School of Biology, ANU Joint College of Sciences, Australian National University, 2601 Canberra ACT, Australia.

2. Institut de Recherche en Horticulture et Semences, INRA, Université d'Angers, 42 rue Georges Morel, 49070 Beaucouzé, France.

3. Joint Mass Spectrometry Facility, Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, 2601 Canberra ACT, Australia.

4. Institute of Plant Sciences of Saclay, INRA, University Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, Ferme du Moulon, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

5. Plateforme d'Analyse de Protéomique Paris Sud-Ouest (PAPPSO), GQE Le Moulon, INRA, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Ferme du Moulon, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

*Contact author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel. +61 (0)2 6125 0381. E-mail. guillaume.tcherkez@anu.edu.au

Keywords: photosynthesis, photorespiration, protein synthesis, translation, phosphorylation

Number of figures: 4

Number of tables: 1

Word count (w/o abstract, acknowledgements and references): 5,205

Twitter accounts: @ANUmedia; @IRHS_Seed_lab

ORCID numbers:

Guillaume Tcherkez: 0000-0002-3339-956X

1 Abstract (198 words)

2

3 Leaf protein synthesis is an essential process at the heart of plant nitrogen (N) homeostasis and 4 turnover that preferentially takes place in the light, that is, when N and CO₂ fixation occur. The 5 carbon allocation to protein synthesis in illuminated leaves generally accounts for ca. 1% of net 6 photosynthesis. It is likely that protein synthesis activity varies with photosynthetic conditions 7 (CO₂/O₂ atmosphere composition) since changes in photorespiration and carbon provision 8 should in principle impact on amino acid supply as well as metabolic regulation via leaf sugar 9 content. However, possible changes in protein synthesis and translation activity when gaseous 10 conditions vary are virtually unknown. Here, we address this question using metabolomics, 11 isotopic techniques, phosphoproteomics and polysome quantitation, under different photosynthetic conditions that were varied with atmospheric CO₂ and O₂ mole fraction, using 12 13 illuminated Arabidopsis rosettes under controlled gas exchange conditions. We show that 14 carbon allocation to proteins is within 1-2.5% of net photosynthesis, increases with photosynthesis rate and is unrelated to total amino acid content. In addition, photosynthesis 15 16 correlates to polysome abundance and phosphorylation of ribosomal proteins and translation 17 initiation factors. Our results demonstrate that translation activity follows photosynthetic 18 activity, showing the considerable impact of metabolism (carboxylation–oxygenation balance)

19 on protein synthesis.

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 It is now nearly 250 years since proteins were extracted and purified from green leaves for the 23 first time [1] and nearly 85 years since protein synthesis (and degradation) activity by leaves 24 was first shown [2-4]. Yet, physiological mechanisms that dictate leaf protein content are 25 presently incompletely understood, and this represents a hurdle in the understanding of leaf 26 primary carbon and nitrogen (N) metabolism. In fact, a considerable proportion of leaf proteins 27 is made of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) and carbonic anhydrase 28 and is thus directly involved in photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation. The turnover of leaf proteins 29 is the cornerstone of N metabolism, since proteins such as Rubisco are remobilized and used as 30 a N (and sulphur) reservoir during grain filling, fruit development and leaf senescence. Also, 31 protein degradation is regulated by N availability, environmental and internal signals, allowing 32 optimal plant N partitioning and growth [5]. However, relatively little is known about the fast 33 control of protein synthesis in response to common situations in leaves (such as varying CO₂), 34 in contrast with seedlings and roots in which the control of translation under hypoxia has been 35 well-studied. In other words, the regulation of leaf protein synthesis and turnover in the short-39 term is much less understood.

38 It has been shown that there is a diurnal cycle of protein synthesis activity, with higher 39 translation activity (and higher polysome abundance) in the light compared with the dark [6-9]. 40 Also, protein synthesis has been suggested to relate to growth rate [10] and sucrose content in 41 the dark [7]. In addition, the effect of light as compared to the dark has been shown to correlate 42 with the phosphorylation of translation initiation factors (eIFs) and ribosomal proteins (such as 43 RPS6), indicating that the control of cytosolic translation initiation plays an important role in 44 circadian (dark/light) protein synthesis regulation [11-14]. Accordingly, pioneering works 45 using isotopic labelling (with ¹⁵N) demonstrated that leaf protein synthesis took place in the 46 light (from nitrate) and was negligible in darkness [15].

48 In principle, the photosynthetic rate itself (and therefore, atmospheric gaseous 49 composition) can be anticipated to have an effect on protein synthesis and translation activity. 50 In particular, translation initiation involves several molecular actors that can be associated with 51 metabolic regulation. Briefly, translation initiation starts with the formation of a 43S 52 preinitiation complex, which contains the 40S ribosome subunit and eIFs 5, 3, 1 and 1A. The 53 preinitiation complex binds the eIF2 complex and then the mRNA-eIF(iso)4F complex (here, 54 parentheses mean two complexes, eIF4F and eIFiso4F). The plant-specific eIFiso4F complex 55 comprises eIFs 4A, 4B, iso4G, iso4E and poly-A binding proteins. After mRNA scanning and start codon identification, some eIFs are liberated, the ribosomal 60S subunit binds and 56 57 elongation starts [12, 16].

58

59 Many eIFs or ribosomal proteins (RPs) can be modified post-translationally (in 60 particular, by phosphorylation) and this modulates their activity [17]. In yeast, General Control 61 Non-derepressible 2 (GCN2) can phosphorylate $eIF2\alpha$ under specific nutrient or redox 62 conditions [see, e.g., [18]], preventing guanyl nucleotide recycling. Also, metabolic conditions (sugar and free amino acid content) can impact on the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) 63 64 signaling pathway which controls RPS6 phosphorylation (for a recent experimental study in Arabidopsis, see [19]) as well as the sugar-sensing kinase SnRK1 which can phosphorylate 65 eIF(iso)4E [20]. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that photosynthetic activity promotes 66 67 phosphorylation of RPS6 (amongst other RPs) and the initiation factor eIF4B, and disfavor 68 phosphorylation of eIF2 α , thus stimulating protein synthesis [11]. Furthermore, CO₂ and O₂ 69 mole fractions dictate the rate of photorespiration, which could also impact on translation. On 70 the one hand, photorespiration leads to peroxisomal H₂O₂ generation and can induce oxidative 71 stress and perhaps, this might trigger phosphorylation of $eIF2\alpha$ [21] thereby inhibiting translation initiation. However, on the other hand, photorespiration produces amino acids (glycine, serine), leads to mitochondrial ATP generation and enhance N assimilation, and this might be accompanied by an increased protein synthesis. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the specific role of GCN proteins is still unclear, and other kinases (such as casein kinase 2, CK2) are capable of phosphorylating eIF2α *in vitro* [12, 22-25]. Also, an important role of SnRK1 (also involved in sucrose signaling) has been recently shown for eIF4(iso)4G phosphorylation in response to submergence [26].

79 Up to now, there is limited information on the rate of protein synthesis when 80 photosynthesis varies, typically when CO₂ and/or O₂ mole fraction changes. The use of ¹⁵N 81 labelling in barley leaves has suggested that protein synthesis correlated with chlorophyll content and thus potentially with photosynthesis [27]. Also, ¹⁵N labelling in proteins has been 82 found to be much larger with CO₂ in air compared to CO₂-free air, suggesting a coupling with 83 84 photosynthesis [15]. In photosynthetizing leaves, isotopic pulse labelling (with ¹⁴CO₂) has 85 demonstrated that protein synthesis represents a carbon flux of about 0.1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and after sugar export has taken place (i.e. after several hours in darkness), ¹⁴C-labelled proteins can 86 represent up to 20% of total leaf radioactivity [28-31] - such a proportion being changed by the 87 presence of close sink organs [32] and leaf age [28]. When photosynthesis with ¹⁴CO₂ was 88 89 augmented via incident light intensity, proteins represented a lower percentage of total leaf 90 radioactivity but in absolute terms, represented more labelled carbon, with a 14 C-flux of ≈ 0.1 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at low light and $\approx 0.25 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at high light [33]. In a previous study, we 91 92 observed that the phosphorylation of some eIFs and RPs could respond to CO₂ mole fraction, 93 suggesting there is a an increase of translation activity when photosynthesis increases [11].

94 However, there is presently no specific study exploring and quantifying the impact of 95 photosynthetic conditions on leaf protein synthesis and in particular, the short-term effect of 96 CO₂ and O₂ mole fraction (and thus the balance between gross photosynthesis and 97 photorespiration). This lack of knowledge is problematic because the turnover rate of proteins 98 may affect not only N assimilation but also photosynthetic capacity itself. In fact, while it is 99 rather unlikely that the very high Rubisco content changes dramatically in the short-term when 100 photosynthesis varies, the pool of less abundant proteins involved in the Calvin cycle (including 101 Rubisco activase or enzymes with a high control coefficient in the cycle) or light reactions could 102 change within a few hours. In fact, ¹⁵N labelling has recently shown that some proteins involved 103 in photosynthesis have a short half-life, such as Rubisco activase (turnover rate $\approx 0.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$) [34].

104 Here, we took advantage of omics analyses performed on Arabidopsis rosettes, using 105 gas-exchange under controlled conditions (CO₂, O₂, light/dark) and sampling by instant liquid 106 nitrogen spraying using our system previously described in [35]. Here, it was further combined 107 to isotopic techniques and polysome quantitation to elucidate the potential effect of short-term 108 changes in CO₂ and O₂ mole fraction on protein synthesis. The use of ¹³CO₂ labelling allowed 109 us to quantify the amount of carbon allocated to protein synthesis, while polysome relative 110 quantitation as well as eIFs and RPs phosphorylation analysis (in particular RPS6 111 phosphorylation) gave information on translation activity. The objective of the present study is not to dissect molecular mechanism of translational control but rather, to look at potential 112 113 changes in protein synthesis with photosynthesis. In fact, our results demonstrate that protein 114 synthesis follows the photosynthesis rate and is unrelated to total amino acid availability, 115 suggesting a control of translation initiation by metabolic signaling driven by the carboxylation-116 oxygenation balance.

117

118

119 **2. Material and methods**

120

121 2.1. Plant material

122 After sowing on potting mix, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0 accession) plantlets were 123 transplanted to individual pots and grown for 6 weeks in a controlled environment (growth 124 chamber) under short days. Conditions were as follows: 8:16 h light/dark at an irradiance of 125 approximately 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, 20/18°C day/night temperature, 65% humidity and 126 nutrient solution (1 g L⁻¹ PP14-12-32, [Plant-Prod, Puteaux, France] supplemented with 20 μ L 127 L⁻¹ fertoligo L [Fertil, Boulogne-Billancourt, France]) twice a week.

128

129 2.2. Metabolomics

130 Metabolomics profiling was performed as in [36]. Briefly, 20 mg of leaf powder from 131 lyophilized leaf samples were extracted with 2 mL methanol:water (70:30 v/v). The supernatant

132 was vacuum dried and chemically derivatized with methoxyamine and MSTFA in pyridine.

133 Ribitol was added as an internal standard, as well as an alkane mix to calibrate retention index.

134 GC-MS metabolomics analyses were carried out using a Pegasus III GC-TOF-MS system

- 135 (Leco, France). Peak integration was verified manually for all metabolites to avoid erroneous
- 136 determinations by the Pegasus software.
- 137

138 2.3. Gas exchange and sampling

139 Arabidopsis plants were taken at fixed time of day in the controlled growth chamber (after about 140 4 h light) and used for gas-exchange and labelling. Gas exchange and sampling were carried 141 out as in [37]. Briefly, photosynthesis and respiration rates were monitored with the gas exchange open system LI-COR 6400/XT (LI-COR, Austin, USA), under a controlled humidity 142 143 of 80% fixed with a dew-point generator (LI-COR 610). Net photosynthesis (A) was measured in typical conditions (desired CO₂ mole fraction, 21% O₂, 22°C, 280 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR 144 145 [photosynthetically active radiation], 10% blue). CO₂ mole fraction was either 100, 380 or 1000 μ mol mol⁻¹. O₂ mole fraction was either 0% (pure N₂ used as inlet gas), 21% (ordinary air) or 146 147 100% (pure O₂). CO₂-free conditions (no CO₂ in inlet gas) were not used here since no 13 C labelling would have been possible. Gas-exchange was carried out with a purpose-built 148 149 chamber adapted to three Arabidopsis rosettes connected to the sample channel of the LI-COR 150 6400 xt. Air temperature in the chamber was maintained with a water-bath. Leaf rosettes were 151 separated from the below-ground part and soil of the pot by a Plexiglas wall (with specific holes 152 for collars sealed with Terostat[®]) so as to avoid alteration of gas-exchange by soil and root respiration. The upper wall of the leaf chamber was made of a tight polyvinyl chloride film 153 154 allowing very fast quenching by liquid N₂ freezing. Further details on the chamber can be found 155 in our previous studies [11, 35, 37]. Photosynthesis was allowed to stabilise under the desired CO₂ and O₂ mole fraction (at 280 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR) and after 3 hours, rosettes were 156 instantly frozen and stored at -80°C for further analyses. Rosettes sampled in darkness were 157 collected after 3 hours at 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ and 21% O₂ in the light and then 2 hours of dark-158 adaptation. Isotopic labelling was carried out with ¹³CO₂ (99% ¹³C, Sigma Aldrich) for 4 hours 159 160 in the O₂/CO₂ conditions of interest, and sampling was carried out as above at the end of the 4-161 h labelling time by liquid N₂ freezing. 162

163 2.4. Phosphoproteomics

164 The protocol used to carry out quantitative phosphoproteomics analyses was similar to that 165 previously described in [35]. Total (non-phosphorylated) protein analysis was also performed 166 on the same samples to quantify total protein abundance (and therefore check that changes in 167 phosphorylation level are not simply due to changes in total protein content). Protein extraction 168 was carried out using the trichloroacetate/acetone method and protein digestion was performed 169 at an enzyme-to-substrate ratio of 1:50 (w:w) overnight at 37°C with sequencing-grade trypsin 170 (Promega). Stable isotope dimethyl labelling was done according to the on-column protocol of [38] using three different isotopologues of formaldehyde (CH₂O, C²H₂O and ¹³C²H₂O) thereby 171 allowing simultaneous injection of three extracts (each triplet is referred to as 'triplex'). A 172 173 sample made of the mixture of all of the samples was dimethylated (with unlabeled methyl 174 groups) and used as a reference in all triplexes. The use of triplexes thus allowed us to analyze 175 two samples per injection (intermediate and heavy labeling). After being spin-dried and resuspended in acetonitrile/formic acid solution, peptides were subjected to SCX (Strong 176 177 Cation Exchange) chromatography. Collected fractions were enriched in phosphopeptides by 178 IMAC (Immobilized ion Metal Affinity Chromatography) [39] and then analysed by nanoLC-179 MS/MS using a NanoLC-Ultra system (Eksigent). Peptides eluted from a 35-min long, 5-to-180 35% acetonitrile gradient were analysed with a coupled Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo 181 Electron). A "Top 8" cycle of data-dependent acquisition was used (i.e., the 8 major ions detected in each MS spectrum were submitted to MS/MS fragmentation). Resolution for 182 183 precursors and fragments was set to 70,000 and 17,500 respectively. Collision energy was set 184 at 27% and exclusion time at 40 s.

185 For identification of peptides, phosphorylation sites and quantification, database 186 searches were performed using X!Tandem Sledgehammer (2013.09.01.1) [40] with the TAIR 187 database (www.arabidopsis.org). Cysteine carboxyamidomethylation and light, intermediate and heavy dimethylation of peptide N-termini and lysine residues were set as static 188 189 modifications while methionine oxidation and phosphorylation of tyrosine, serine or threonine 190 residues were set as variable modifications. Mass error tolerance was 10 ppm for precursors 191 and -0.02 Th for fragments. Identified proteins were filtered and grouped using the X!Tandem 192 pipeline v3.3.1 (http://pappso.inra.fr/bioinfo/xtandempipeline/) [41]. Relative quantification of non-phosphorylated peptides and phosphopeptides was performed using the MassChroQ 193 194 software [42] by extracting ion chromatograms (XICs) of all identified peptides within a 5 ppm 195 window and by integrating the area of the XIC peak at their corresponding retention time, after 196 LC-MS/MS chromatogram alignment.

197

198 2.5. Isotopic measurements in proteins

199 Proteins were purified from frozen samples (50 mg fresh weight). First, a raw proteic extract 200 was obtained using a trichloroacetate-acetone extraction as above. Then proteins were purified 201 after [43] with the following modifications: the dry pellet (proteins and cell wall debris obtained 202 in the trichloroacetate-acetone extraction) was dissolved in 1.5 mL resuspension buffer (1% 203 SDS, 150 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM dithiotreitol, 1 mM EDTA). After centrifugation at 10,000 g 204 for 5 min at 14°C, the supernatant was collected and proteins were precipitated by adding 1.5 205 mL methanol. After centrifugation (10,000 g, 5 min, 14°C), the supernatant was discarded and 206 the protein pellet rinsed with 1.5 mL methanol and centrifuged. The pellet was then freeze-

- dried, weighed in tin capsules and analysed. Isotopic analysis was done using an elemental
 analyser (Carlo-Erba) coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime, Elementar) run
 in continuous flow. All sample batches included standards (sucrose, glycine, cysteine;
 previously calibrated against IAEA standards glutamic acid USGS-40 and caffeine IAEA-600)
- 211 each twelve samples. The isotope composition ($\delta^{13}C$) was then converted to $\%^{13}C$.
- 212
- 213 2.6. Polysome abundance
- 214 Polysome abundance was determined by sucrose density gradient centrifugation analysis from
- 215 liquid-nitrogen frozen rosettes (protocol explained in Supplemental Methods).
- 216
- 217 2.7. Statistics

218 Phosphoproteomics and metabolomics analyses were carried out 3 to 6 times for each condition.

219 Peptides considered to vary significantly between photosynthetic (CO₂/O₂ and/or light/dark) conditions were those with P < 0.05 using ANOVA (Fig. 4). This value ensured an acceptable 220 221 false discovery rate (FDR), estimated as in Tan & Xu (2014), including the Hochberg-222 Benjamini correction (Hochberg & Benjamini 1990), over the whole dataset. A multivariate 223 analysis was carried out with orthogonal projection on latent structures (OPLS, with 224 phosphopeptides or metabolites as X variables and O₂/CO₂ conditions as a quantitative Y 225 variable) carried out with Simca (MKS Umetrics, Sweden) [44, 45]. The effect of each 226 phosphopeptide or metabolite in explaining the X-Y relationship was quantified using the 227 loading along axis 1 ($p_{corr}(1)$) and the *P*-value of the ANOVA in a volcano plot. The robustness 228 of the OPLS model was assessed with the correlation coefficient between prediction and 229 observations (R^2) , the cross-validated correlation coefficient (Q^2) and the intercept of the 230 response of Q² to similarity in iterated (250 iterations) permutations tests (Q²_{int}). The statistical 231 significance of the OPLS model was tested using a χ^2 test on the comparison with a random 232 model (mean \pm random error). The associated *P*-value was denoted as *P*_{CV-ANOVA}.

234

233

- 235 **3. Results**
- 236

237 3.1. Photosynthesis and metabolism

Six gas-exchange conditions were used, with CO₂ mole fraction of 100, 380 or 1000 µmol mol⁻ 238 239 ¹ under 21% O₂, and O₂ mole fraction of 0% (pure N₂ used as background gas), 21% or 100% (pure O₂ as background gas) at 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂. Analyses were also conducted in dark-240 adapted rosettes after photosynthesis under standard (21% O₂, 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂) conditions. 241 242 Changes in photosynthetic conditions were associated with considerable changes in metabolite content. Metabolomics analyses by GC-MS showed that amongst the 108 analytes detected, 31 243 244 were associated with significant changes (P < 0.01 with an ANOVA) with O_2/CO_2 conditions 245 (Fig. 1a). They could be grouped into three clusters. The first cluster comprised alanine and 246 tyrosine, considerably increased with N₂ (0% O₂) as inlet air (meaning $\approx 0.02\%$ O₂ in air 247 surrounding leaves due to photosynthetic O₂ evolution). The second cluster comprised 248 metabolites particularly accumulated at very high photorespiration (low photosynthesis), such 249 as glycine and serine (photorespiration intermediates) but also other amino acids such as 250 cysteine, threonine and valine, or organic acids such as succinate. The third cluster comprised 251 metabolites present under normoxic conditions and decreased under 0% O₂ inlet air, such as 252 glycolate (photorespiration intermediate), fumarate or putrescine. Unsurprisingly, several 253 metabolites (including sugars and photorespiratory intermediates glycine and serine) were 254 affected by darkness as compared to the light (Fig. S2). Multivariate analysis yielded a very 255 good OPLS model ($R^2 = 0.965$; $Q^2 = 0.934$) that was robust (negative Q^2_{int} at -0.501) and highly significant ($P_{CV-ANOVA} = 4.10^{-14}$) (Fig. S1). The volcano plot that combines univariate and 256 multivariate analysis showed that best biomarkers of O₂/CO₂ conditions were succinate, serine, 257 258 glycine, pipecolate and cysteine (decreased with photosynthesis), and alanine and homoserine 259 (increased at high photosynthesis) (Fig. 1b).

260 As expected, there was a clear increase in the photosynthesis rate as O₂/CO₂ decreased 261 (showing the inhibition of photorespiration and augmented carboxylation as the O₂-to-CO₂ ratio 262 decreased), with a significant depressing effect of low O₂ (Fig. 1c). There was no significant 263 effect of O₂ mole fraction on the rate of dark respiration (CO₂ evolution in darkness). The sum 264 of proteogenic amino acids (expressed in signal % of total recovered metabolites) tended to increase as CO₂ increased from 100 to 1000 µmol mol⁻¹, but there was also a high content in 265 266 amino acids at very high photorespiration (100% O₂) and in darkness (Fig. 1d). These effects 267 were driven by the accumulation of alanine at low O₂, the build-up of glycine and serine at 100% O2, and the proportional lower content in sugars in darkness. The impact of 268 269 photorespiration on glycine and serine metabolism was also visible with the glycine-to-serine 270 ratio that increased considerably under 100% O₂ (Fig. 1e).

271

272 *3.2. Carbon allocation to protein synthesis*

Isotopic labelling with ¹³CO₂ during photosynthesis was carried out in order to follow the metabolic partitioning of fixed carbon into proteins. Labelling with ¹³CO₂ was obviously not performed in the dark since there was no photosynthetic CO₂ fixation. There was a clear ¹³C incorporation into proteins as shown by the ¹³C percentage above natural abundance (Fig. 2a). When converted into absolute units (accounting for both leaf protein content and % ¹³C), the

allocation flux to proteins increased up to four times as the O_2/CO_2 ratio decreased showing the

impact of source carbon fixation to protein synthesis (Fig. 2b). In fact, at low oxygen, the ¹³C flux represented about 0.2 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. However, when normalized to photosynthesis, allocation fell within a narrow range of 1 to 2.5% of photosynthesis, with higher values at 100% O₂ perhaps suggesting a specific effect of high oxygen on protein synthesis.

283

284 *3.3. Polysome abundance*

285 Polysome abundance was measured using the gradient method based on absorbance at 260 nm 286 using the signal of the polysome gradient region (Fig. 3a). There was a substantial difference 287 in particular when comparing low O_2/CO_2 to other conditions: in fact, when expressed in 288 normalized units (% of total trace signal), polysome relative abundance was significantly higher 289 at high photosynthesis (Fig. 3b). Polysome abundance tended to be lower at high 290 photorespiration (100% O₂) compared to that in darkness. Also, as expected, there was a 291 significant effect of O₂ mole fraction in darkness with less polysomes at low oxygen. 292 highlighting the specific effect of hypoxia. Of course, this analysis encompasses all polysome 293 fractions in the same peak (organellar and cytosolic) but taken as a whole, the polysome content 294 was found to be affected by gaseous conditions, and in the light, correlated to the photosynthetic 295 rate.

296

297 3.4. Phosphorylation of eIFs and RPs

298 The phosphoproteomics analysis allowed the detection and quantification of 2,057 299 phosphopeptides (representing 1,044 individual proteins), among which 69 (3.3%) were 300 associated with protein synthesis (translation elongation factors, translation initiation factors, 301 ribosomal proteins and other proteins associated with translation). The list of unique 302 phosphopeptides is provided in Table 1 and some of them are illustrated in Fig. 4. Phosphosites 303 identified here have been found previously, except for two of them: Ser 47/Thr 51 in the 304 nucleus-encoded chloroplastic ribosomal protein RPS9 (AT1G74970), and Ser 149 of eIF2B8 305 (AT1G48970) (Table 1). Phosphopeptides were filtered to carry out statistics, by keeping only 306 those with less than 20% missing values. Univariate analysis with ANOVA conducted with the 307 69 translation-related phosphopeptides, showed that 17 phosphopeptides were significantly 308 affected by O₂/CO₂ conditions, representing 11 proteins (Fig. 4a). Hierarchical clustering 309 showed that there were distributed in two groups. The first cluster was assocatied with higher 310 phosphorylation at high photosynthesis and comprised RPS6A and RPS6B at phosphosite Ser 311 240 (detailed in Fig. 4b). The second cluster was associated with lower phosphorylation as 312 photosynthesis increased (and higher phosphorylation in the dark) and comprised eIF4G at phosphosites Ser 178 (detailed in Fig. 4b). Multivariate analysis conducted on the 69 313 translation-related phosphopeptides yielded a very good OPLS model ($R^2 = 0.954$; $Q^2 = 0.916$) 314 that was robust (negative Q^{2}_{int} at -0.265) and highly significant ($P_{CV-ANOVA} = 7 \cdot 10^{-13}$) (Fig. 4c). 315 The volcano plot that combines univariate and multivariate analysis showed that best 316 biomarkers of O2/CO2 conditions were RPS6 and eIF3d phosphopeptides (increased with 317 318 photosynthesis), and eIF4G and eIF5A2 phosphopeptides (decreased at high photosynthesis) 319 (Fig. 4d). Taken as a whole, the phosphopattern found here indicates that phosphorylation that 320 promoted translation (such as RPS6 phosphorylation) increased while phosphorylation that 321 inhibits translation (such as eIF4G) decreased with photosynthesis. Changes observed here in 322 phosphopeptide abundance were not due to changes in total protein amounts but were

- 323 effectively due to modifications in phosphorylation level, since none of the significant
- 324 phosphopeptides were associated with significant variation in total protein content (Fig. S3).

325 326

327 **4. Discussion**

328

329 4.1. Protein synthesis increases with CO₂/O₂

We find that the ¹³C-flux to proteins increases with photosynthesis (Fig. 2b) and our estimate of the absolute carbon allocation to protein synthesis was 0.1-0.2 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, that is, 3 to 6 µg protein m⁻² s⁻¹. This estimate agrees with the order of magnitude of protein turnover rate estimated using inhibitors (\approx 0.2 µmol C m⁻² s⁻¹) [46] or ¹⁴C-tracing (between 0.1 and 0.25 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ depending on light conditions) [33] and the average protein synthesis rate of 6.25 µg protein m⁻² s⁻¹ (assuming a specific leaf area of 180 g FW m⁻²) found in Arabidopsis rosettes using ¹³C labelling [6].

337 The physiological impact of protein synthesis not only relates to carbon allocation but 338 also to energy consumption since translation consumes a substantial amount of ATP. In effect, 339 the average amount of ATP required per amino acid added during translation is about 5 [47]. The order of magnitude of the rate associated with protein synthesis (0.1-0.2 μ mol C m⁻² s⁻¹) 340 represents an ATP budget of ≈ 0.25 µmol ATP m⁻² s⁻¹. Of course, the rate of protein synthesis 341 was obtained via isotope labelling and includes not only cytoplasmic but also chloroplastic 342 343 protein synthesis. Therefore, the ATP demand must be met by both photosynthetic light 344 reactions in the chloroplast and day respiration in the cytoplasm.

It is worth noting that day respiration generates about 0.5 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ [48] i.e. 2.6 345 μ mol ATP m⁻² s⁻¹ (using a conversion factor of 31.5 ATP per catabolized glucose molecule) 346 347 and thus, protein synthesis represents about 0.25/2.6 = 10% of metabolic energy generated by day respiration. That said, cytoplasmic ATP not only comes from catabolism (reoxidation of 348 349 NADH produced by day respiratory metabolism) but also from photorespiration (reoxidation of photorespiratory NADH coming from glycine-to-serine conversion), which in turn depends 350 351 on CO₂ and O₂. The contribution of photorespiration to meet the ATP demand might explain 352 why protein synthesis was found here to decline more slowly than photosynthesis when the 353 O₂/CO₂ ratio increased (i.e., 2% of photosynthesis at high O₂ vs. 1% of photosynthesis at high 354 CO₂; Fig. 2c) despite the down-regulation of translation (further explained below). This would 355 be consistent with the non-quantitative recycling of photorespiratory intermediates such as glycerate at high photorespiration [49]. In other words, ATP that is not used to reform 3-356 357 phosphoglycerate from glycerate could have been used by other processes such as protein 358 synthesis.

The increase in ¹³C-allocation when CO₂/O₂ increased was accompanied by an increased 359 360 proportion of ribosomes in polysomes (Fig. 3) demonstrating an augmented translational activity. Furthermore, this correlated with a significant increase in RPS6 phosphorylation at Ser 361 362 240 (substrate phosphosite of RPS6 kinase) (Fig. 4) which is usually typical of the stimulation of ribosomal activity to initiate translation (but see [50] in yeast). There was also a stimulation 363 of translation initiation via the phosphorylation of a number of eIFs, such as eIF4B2 (further 364 discussed below). Taken as a whole, our data show that when the CO₂-to-O₂ ratio increases, 365 there is an increase in protein synthesis (in absolute terms, i.e. in moles of ¹³C committed to 366 367 protein production) and this is reflected by higher translational activity.

368

369 4.2. Involvement of protein phosphorylation

370 In addition to RPS6, we found that other proteins involved in translation were phosphorylated, 371 with significant changes with O₂/CO₂ (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, the role of phosphorylation is not 372 always well-known for many initiation factors eIFs (or ribosomal proteins). Phosphorylation 373 might be linked to mechanisms of regulation of translation under our conditions. For example, 374 it seems that eIF4G phosphorylation (at Ser 178) inhibits translation as photorespiration 375 increases while eIF4B2 and eIF3d phosphorylation stimulates translation as photosynthesis 376 increases (for further details on potential roles of phosphorylation sites, see Supplementary 377 Text). In addition to eIFs, our analysis found that phosphorylation of the elongation factor 378 eEF5A2 (also known as initiation factor eIF5A) anti-correlated with photosynthesis, suggesting 379 that translation down-regulation also involves an inhibition of elongation. While eEF5 380 phosphorylation (at Ser 2) is poorly documented in plants and has been suggested to have no 381 effect in yeast, eEF5 activity is also known to be controlled by hypusination at the consensus 382 site K-x-G-(^{Hyp}K)-H-G-x-A-K in yeast and Mammals (for a review, see [51]). A hypusination 383 site is present in Arabidopsis eEF5 (at Lys 51) and hypusination has been shown to occur in 384 planta [52]. Here, hypusination could not be analyzed (in fact, the hypusination site GKHG is 385 cleaved by trypsin). There was no correlation between translation activity (photosynthesis) and 386 the content in hypusine precursors, polyamines (Fig. S4).

387 Amongst phosphopeptides with more than 20% missing data, one possessed a clear 388 trend with photosynthesis (missing data mostly corresponded to samples collected in the dark) 389 and was associated with nucleolin (NUC-L1; Table 1). There was a clear positive relationship 390 between photosynthesis and nucleolin phosphorylation (Fig. S5). Nucleolin has been shown to 391 be associated with a variety of cellular processes including in plants [53, 54]. Nucleolin 392 phosphorylation has been extensively documented in Mammals, where CK2-mediated 393 phosphorylation triggers nucleolin relocation to the cytoplasm and stimulates its helicase 394 activity so as to facilitate internal ribosomal entry and translation of specific mRNAs [55-57]. 395 In fact, the phosphosite found here at Ser 163 corresponds to a typical CK2 phosphorylation motif (with at least two acidic residues downstream of phosphorylated Ser) (Table 1). 396

It is worth noting that RPS6A and B were not the sole RPs detected here, since we also found phosphopeptides associated with RPP1A/2A, significantly less abundant at high photosynthesis (high CO₂, low O₂) (Fig. 4). It suggests that unlike RPS6, phosphorylation of these two RPs inhibits translation. This agrees with the recognized role of phosphorylation at the C-terminus (here, Ser 102/120) by CK2 in promoting RPP1/RPP2 dissociation and RPP1 degradation in yeast [58-60].

403

404 *4.3. Potential mechanisms*

405 Our data suggest that phosphorylation events play an important role in regulating translation 406 activity when O₂/CO₂ varies, as reflected by the progressive RPS6 phosphorylation. RPS6 is 407 phosphorylated by RPS6 kinase (S6K) which is in turn activated (phosphorylated) via the 408 mTOR pathway. Also recently, in Arabidopsis, MRF1 (MA3 domain-containing translation 409 regulatory factor 1) has been shown to interact physically with eIF4A and appears to be phosphorylated via mTOR [61]. eIF2Bo1 has recently been shown to be a direct 410 411 phosphorylation target of mTOR in Arabidopsis (eIF2B81 being more phosphorylated in the presence of sucrose) at the same phosphosite as that found here [62]. More generally, several 412 413 eIFs have been shown to be amongst phosphorylation targets of the mTOR pathway, such as

eIF4G, eIF4B1, eIF2B1 and eIF6A [62]. Since the mTOR pathway mediates nutrient signaling 414 415 [63], it might suggest that the cellular content in free sugars and/or amino acids drive the 416 response observed here. However, under our conditions, there was no relationship between total 417 amino acid content and protein synthesis (Figs. 1-3). Similarly, there was no correlation 418 between protein synthesis and sucrose content since sucrose (which was rather variable) 419 appeared to be significantly lower under both low and high O₂ (Fig. S4). To gain insight on 420 possible relationships with metabolites, we conducted a correlation analysis between 421 metabolites and phosphosites (Fig. S6). As expected, multivariate analysis showed there was a 422 positive relationship between significant phosphosites (such as RPS6B) and metabolites driven 423 by O₂/CO₂ such as fructose or alanine (and a negative relationship with photorespiratory 424 metabolites glycine and serine) (Fig. S6a). Direct regression analysis also showed a negative 425 relationship between eIF2Ba phosphorylation (significantly enhanced at 100% O₂, Fig. 4) and 426 sugars maltose and trehalose (Fig. S6b). It is thus likely that metabolic signalling participating in phosphorylation changes involved (i) a metabolite other than sucrose or amino acids (such 427 428 as triose phosphates or trehalose 6-phosphate) and/or (ii) another pathway interacting with 429 mTOR, such as SnRK1 signaling which is believed to interact with mTOR, and has been shown 430 to inhibit mTOR-mediated RPS6 phosphorylation in plants [64, 65]. The possible involvement 431 of SnRK1 is further discussed in the Supplementary Text.

432

433 *4.4. Conclusions and perspectives*

434 Taken as a whole, our results show that cytoplasmic protein synthesis increases with 435 photosynthesis via the stimulation of translation initiation. The molecular mechanism of this 436 effect involves protein phosphorylation, in particular of RPs and eIFs. However, other 437 mechanisms not examined here could have contributed, such as changes in mRNA stability or 438 upstream open reading frames (uORF) translation that can mediate metabolic sensing and 439 control ribosome dissociation from specific mRNAs [66, 67]. We recognize that (i) the present 440 analysis was focused on protein synthesis while protein degradation might be affected by 441 photosynthetic conditions and *(ii)* the stimulation of cytoplasmic translation is likely to concern 442 specific mRNAs leading to differential protein turnover (for example, proteins involved in 443 photosynthesis might be synthesized more actively when photosynthesis increases). Also, it 444 could be interesting to examine molecular mechanisms further, for example by measuring 445 mTOR activity in different photosynthetic contexts. These aspects will be addressed in a 446 subsequent study.

- 447
- 448

449 Acknowledgements

450 The authors acknowledge the support by public grants overseen by the French National 451 Research Agency (ANR) through a Jeunes Chercheurs project (contract 12-0001-01) and the 452 Investissement d'Avenir program through the Labex Saclay Plant Sciences (ANR-10-LABX-453 0040-SPS) for a post-doctoral grant (to S.M.). G.T and C.A. also thank the Australian Research 454 Council for its support through a Future Fellowship grant, under contract FT140100645. The 455 authors thank the facility Plateforme Métabolisme-Métabolome for accessing the GC-MS 456 instrument for metabolomics, and Dr. H. Stuart-Williams (Research School of Biology, ANU) 457 for isotopic measurements. The authors thank Dr. K. Hannan (John Curtin School of Medical 458 Research, ANU) for giving access to instruments to carry out polysome profiling.

459

460 **Contributions**

- 461
- 462 S.M. did gas exchange experiments and sampling for metabolomics and proteomics, M.D. and
- 463 M.Z. did phosphoproteomics analyses, C.A. did metabolomics analyses, A.C. did isotopic
- 464 labelling and polysome profiling, G.T. carried out data integration and wrote the paper, all
- 465 authors discussed results and amended the manuscript.

466 **References**

- 467
- 468 [1] M. Rouelle, Observations sur les parties vertes des plantes, et sur la matière glutineuse ou végéto-animale, Journal de Médecine Chirurgie et Pharmacie (Paris), 40 (1773) 59-67.
- 470 [2] A.C. Chibnall, G. Wiltshire, A study with isotopic nitrogen of protein metabolism in 471 detached runner-bean leaves, New Phytologist, 53 (1954) 38-43.
- 472 [3] K. Mothes, Die vakuuminfiltration im ernährungsversuch. (Dargestellt an untersuchungen 473 über die assimilation des ammoniaks), Planta, 19 (1933) 117-138.
- 475 uber die assimilation des ammoniaks), Flanta, 19 (1955) 117-158.474 [4] H.B. Vickery, G.W. Pucher, R. Schoenheimer, D. Rittenberg, The assimilation of ammonia
- 474 [4] H.B. Vickery, G.W. Fucher, K. Schoennenner, D. Rittenberg, The assimilation of animonia
 475 nitrogen by the tobacco plant: a preliminary study with isotopic nitrogen, Journal of Biological
- 476 Chemistry, 135 (1940) 531-539.
- 477 [5] M. Havé, A. Marmagne, F. Chardon, C. Masclaux-Daubresse, Nitrogen remobilization
- 478 during leaf senescence: lessons from *Arabidopsis* to crops, Journal of Experimental Botany, 68 479 (2016) 2513-2529.
- [6] H. Ishihara, T. Obata, R. Sulpice, A.R. Fernie, M. Stitt, Quantifying protein synthesis and
 degradation in *Arabidopsis* by dynamic ¹³CO₂ labeling and analysis of enrichment in individual
 amino acids in their free pools and in protein, Plant Physiology, 168 (2015) 74-93.
- 483 [7] S.K. Pal, M. Liput, M. Piques, H. Ishihara, T. Obata, M.C. Martins, R. Sulpice, J.T. van
- 484 Dongen, A.R. Fernie, U.P. Yadav, Diurnal changes of polysome loading track sucrose content
 485 in the rosette of wild-type *Arabidopsis* and the starchless *pgm* mutant, Plant Physiology, 162
 486 (2013) 1246-1265.
- [8] M. Piques, W.X. Schulze, M. Höhne, B. Usadel, Y. Gibon, J. Rohwer, M. Stitt, Ribosome and transcript copy numbers, polysome occupancy and enzyme dynamics in Arabidopsis,
- 489 Molecular Systems Biology, 5 (2009) 314-324.
- 490 [9] D.D. Seaton, A. Graf, K. Baerenfaller, M. Stitt, A.J. Millar, W. Gruissem, Photoperiodic
- 491 control of the *Arabidopsis* proteome reveals a translational coincidence mechanism, Molecular 492 Systems Biology 14 (2018) Article e7962
- 492 Systems Biology, 14 (2018) Article e7962.
- [10] H. Ishihara, T.A. Moraes, E.-T. Pyl, W.X. Schulze, T. Obata, A. Scheffel, A.R. Fernie, R.
 Sulpice, M. Stitt, Growth rate correlates negatively with protein turnover in *Arabidopsis* accessions, The Plant Journal, 91 (2017) 416-429.
- [11] E. Boex-Fontvieille, M. Daventure, M. Jossier, M. Zivy, M. Hodges, G. Tcherkez,
 Photosynthetic control of *Arabidopsis* leaf cytoplasmic translation initiation by protein
 phosphorylation, PloS one, 8 (2013) Article e70692.
- 499 [12] K.S. Browning, J. Bailey-Serres, Mechanism of cytoplasmic mRNA translation, in: The 500 *Arabidopsis* book, American Society of Plant Biologists, 2015, pp. Article e0176.
- 501 [13] M.V. Turkina, H.K. Årstrand, A.V. Vener, Differential phosphorylation of ribosomal
- 502 proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants during day and night, PloS one, 6 (2011) Article e29307.
- 503 [14] R. Enganti, S.K. Cho, J.D. Toperzer, R.A. Urquidi-Camacho, O.S. Cakir, A.P. Ray, P.E.
- Abraham, R.L. Hettich, A.G. von Arnim, Phosphorylation of ribosomal protein RPS6 integrates light signals and circadian clock signals, Frontiers in Plant Science, 8 (2018) 2210.
- 506 [15] T. Andreyeva, E. Plyshevskaya, A study with N-15 of protein formation in the 507 photosynthetic process, Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences of USSR, Biology Series 508 (Izvestiia Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seria Biologicheskaia), 87 (1952) 301-310.
- 509 [16] K.S. Browning, Plant translational machinery, in: S. Howel (Ed.) Molecular biology: the 510 plant sciences, Springer New York, 2014, pp. 129-151.
- 511 [17] C. Merchante, A.N. Stepanova, J.M. Alonso, Translation regulation in plants: an
- 512 interesting past, an exciting present and a promising future, The Plant Journal, 90 (2017) 628-
- 513 653.

- 514 [18] S. Lageix, S. Rothenburg, T.E. Dever, A.G. Hinnebusch, Enhanced interaction between
- 515 pseudokinase and kinase domains in GCN2 stimulates eIF2α phosphorylation in starved cells,
- 516 PLoS genetics, 10 (2014) Article e1004326.
- 517 [19] T. Dobrenel, E. Mancera-Martínez, C. Forzani, M. Azzopardi, M. Davanture, M. Moreau,
- 518 M. Schepetilnikov, J. Chicher, O. Langella, M. Zivy, C. Robaglia, L.A. Ryabova, J. Hanson,
- 519 C. Meyer, The *Arabidopsis* TOR kinase specifically regulates the expression of nuclear genes
- 521 protein S6, Frontiers in Plant Science, 7 (2016) Article 1611.
- 522 [20] A.N. Bruns, S. Li, G. Mohannath, D.M. Bisaro, Phosphorylation of *Arabidopsis* eIF4E and
- 523 eIFiso4E by SnRK1 inhibits translation, The FEBS Journal, (2019) In press.
- 524 [21] S. Lageix, E. Lanet, M.-N. Pouch-Pélissier, M.-C. Espagnol, C. Robaglia, J.-M. Deragon,
- 525 T. Pélissier, Arabidopsis eIF2 α kinase GCN2 is essential for growth in stress conditions and is 526 activated by wounding, BMC plant biology, 8 (2008) 134-144.
- 527 [22] L. Wang, H. Li, C. Zhao, S. Li, L. Kong, W. Wu, W. Kong, Y. Liu, Y. Wei, J.-K. Zhu, H.
- 528 Zhang, The inhibition of protein translation mediated by AtGCN1 is essential for cold tolerance 529 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Plant, Cell & Environment, 40 (2017) 56-68.
- 530 [23] Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, K. Kanyuka, M.A.J. Parry, S.J. Powers, N.G. Halford, GCN2-
- dependent phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor-2α in *Arabidopsis*, Journal
 of Experimental Botany, 59 (2008) 3131-3141.
- 533 [24] M.D. Dennis, K.S. Browning, Differential phosphorylation of plant translation initiation
- 534 factors by Arabidopsis thaliana CK2 holoenzymes, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 284
- 535 (2009) 20602-20614.
- 536 [25] M.D. Dennis, M.D. Person, K.S. Browning, Phosphorylation of plant translation initiation
- factors by CK2 enhances the in vitro interaction of multifactor complex components, Journal
 of Biological Chemistry, 284 (2009) 20615-20628.
- [26] H.-Y. Cho, M.-Y.J. Lu, M.-C. Shih, The SnRK1-elFiso4G1 signaling relay regulates the
 translation of specific mRNAs in *Arabidopsis* under submergence, New Phytologist, 222 (2019)
 366-381.
- 542 [27] J. Walkley, Protein synthesis in mature and senescent leaves of barley, New Phytologist,
 543 39 (1940) 362-369.
- 544 [28] R. Dickson, P. Larson, Incorporation of ¹⁴C-photosynthate into major chemical fractions 545 of source and sink leaves of cottonwod, Plant Physiology, 56 (1975) 185-193.
- 546 [29] R.E. Dickson, P.R. Larson, ¹⁴C fixation, metabolic labeling patterns, and translocation 547 profiles during leaf development in *Populus deltoides*, Planta, 152 (1981) 461-470.
- 548 [30] J. Hellebust, R. Bidwell, Protein turnover in wheat and snapdragon leaves: preliminary 549 investigations, Canadian Journal of Botany, 41 (1963) 969-983.
- 550 [31] G. Tcherkez, A.M. Limami, Net photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation: more than just CO₂ and
- 551 O₂ reduction cycles, New Phytologist, (2019) In press.
- [32] N.J. Roberts, R.C. Menary, Partitioning and distribution of ¹⁴C in *Boronia megastigma* Nees, Journal of Plant Physiology, 137 (1990) 135-139.
- 554 [33] A.J. Escobar-Gutiérrez, J.-P. Gaudillère, Carbon partitioning in source leaves of peach, a
- sorbitol-synthesizing species, is modified by photosynthetic rate, Physiologia Plantarum, 100
 (1997) 353-360.
- [34] L. Li, C.J. Nelson, J. Trösch, I. Castleden, S. Huang, A.H. Millar, Protein degradation rate
 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf growth and development, The Plant Cell, 29 (2017) 207-228.
- 559 [35] C. Abadie, S. Mainguet, M. Davanture, M. Hodges, M. Zivy, G. Tcherkez, Concerted
- 560 changes in the phosphoproteome and metabolome under different CO₂/O₂ gaseous conditions
- 561 in *Arabidopsis* rosettes, Plant and Cell Physiology, 57 (2016) 1544-1556.

- 562 [36] C. Bathellier, G. Tcherkez, C. Mauve, R. Bligny, E. Gout, J. Ghashghaie, On the resilience 563 of nitrogen assimilation by intact roots under starvation, as revealed by isotopic and 564 metabolarnia techniques, Parid Communications in Mass Supertrametry, 22 (2000) 2847, 2856
- metabolomic techniques, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 23 (2009) 2847-2856.
 [37] E. Boex-Fontvieille, M. Davanture, M. Jossier, M. Zivy, M. Hodges, G. Tcherkez,
- 566 Photosynthetic activity influences cellulose biosynthesis and phosphorylation of proteins
- 567 involved therein in *Arabidopsis* leaves, Journal of experimental botany, 65 (2014) 4997-5010.
- 568 [38] P.J. Boersema, R. Raijmakers, S. Lemeer, S. Mohammed, A.J. Heck, Multiplex peptide 569 stable isotope dimethyl labeling for quantitative proteomics, Nature Protocols, 4 (2009) 484-
- 570 494.
- 571 [39] X. Yue, A. Schunter, A.B. Hummon, Comparing multistep immobilized metal affinity 572 chromatography and multistep TiO₂ methods for phosphopeptide enrichment, Analytical 573 Chemistry, 87 (2015) 8837-8844.
- 574 [40] R. Craig, R.C. Beavis, TANDEM: matching proteins with tandem mass spectra, 575 Bioinformatics, 20 (2004) 1466-1467.
- 576 [41] O. Langella, B. Valot, T. Balliau, M.I. Blein-Nicolas, L. Bonhomme, M. Zivy, 577 X!TandemPipeline: a tool to manage sequence redundancy for protein inference and 578 phosphosite identification, Journal of Proteome Research, 16 (2016) 494-503.
- 579 [42] B. Valot, O. Langella, E. Nano, M. Zivy, MassChroQ: a versatile tool for mass 580 spectrometry quantification, Proteomics, 11 (2011) 3572-3577.
- [43] T. Isaacson, C.M. Damasceno, R.S. Saravanan, Y. He, C. Catalá, M. Saladié, J.K. Rose,
 Sample extraction techniques for enhanced proteomic analysis of plant tissues, Nature
 Protocols, 1 (2006) 769-774.
- 584 [44] L. Eriksson, J. Trygg, S. Wold, CV-ANOVA for significance testing of PLS and OPLS®
- 585 models, Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society, 22 (2008) 594-600.
- 586 [45] M. Bylesjö, M. Rantalainen, O. Cloarec, J.K. Nicholson, E. Holmes, J. Trygg, OPLS
 587 discriminant analysis: combining the strengths of PLS-DA and SIMCA classification, Journal
 588 of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society, 20 (2006) 341-351.
- 589 [46] T.J. Bouma, R. De Visser, J.H.J.A. Janssen, M.J. De Kock, P.H. Van Leeuwen, H.
- Lambers, Respiratory energy requirements and rate of protein turnover in vivo determined by the use of an inhibitor of protein synthesis and a probe to assess its effect, Physiologia
- 592 Plantarum, 92 (1994) 585-594.
- 593 [47] F.W.T. Penning De Vries, The cost of maintenance processes in plant cells, Annals of594 Botany, 39 (1975) 77-92.
- 595 [48] G. Tcherkez, P. Gauthier, T.N. Buckley, F.A. Busch, M.M. Barbour, D. Bruhn, M.A.
- Heskel, X.Y. Gong, K.Y. Crous, K. Griffin, Leaf day respiration: low CO₂ flux but high significance for metabolism and carbon balance, New Phytologist, 216 (2017) 986-1001.
- 598 [49] C. Abadie, C. Bathellier, G. Tcherkez, Carbon allocation to major metabolites in
- illuminated leaves is not just proportional to photosynthesis when gaseous conditions (CO₂ and
 O₂) vary, New Phytologist, 218 (2018) 94-106.
- 601 [50] S. Yerlikaya, M. Meusburger, R. Kumari, A. Huber, D. Anrather, M. Costanzo, C. Boone,
- 602 G. Ammerer, P.V. Baranov, R. Loewith, TORC1 and TORC2 work together to regulate
- ribosomal protein S6 phosphorylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Molecular Biology of the Cell, 27 (2016) 397-409.
- [51] T.E. Dever, E. Gutierrez, B.-S. Shin, The hypusine-containing translation factor eIF5A,
 Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 49 (2014) 413-425.
- 607 [52] B. Belda-Palazón, C. Almendáriz, E. Martí, J. Carbonell, A. Ferrando, Relevance of the
- axis spermidine/eIF5A for plant growth and development, Frontiers in Plant Science, 7 (2016)
- 609 Article 245.
- 610 [53] F. Pontvianne, I. Matía, J. Douet, S. Tourmente, F.J. Medina, M. Echeverria, J. Sáez-
- 611 Vásquez, Characterization of AtNUC-L1 reveals a central role of nucleolin in nucleolus

- 612 organization and silencing of AtNUC-L2 gene in *Arabidopsis*, Molecular Biology of the Cell,
- 61318 (2007) 369-379.
- 614 [54] M. Tajrishi, R. Tuteja, N. Tuteja, Nucleolin: the most abundant multifunctional 615 phosphoprotein of nucleolus, Communicative and Integrative Biology, 4 (2011) 267-275.
- 616 [55] D.-m. Wu, P. Zhang, R.-y. Liu, Y.-x. Sang, C. Zhou, G.-c. Xu, J.-l. Yang, A.-p. Tong, C.-
- 617 t. Wang, Phosphorylation and changes in the distribution of nucleolin promote tumor metastasis
- 618 via the PI3K/Akt pathway in colorectal carcinoma, FEBS letters, 588 (2014) 1921-1929.
- 619 [56] N. Tuteja, N.W. Huang, D. Skopac, R. Tuteja, S. Hrvatic, J. Zhang, S. Pongor, G. Joseph,
- 620 C. Faucher, F. Amalric, A. Falaschi, Human DNA helicase IV is nucleolin, an RNA helicase
 621 modulated by phosphorylation, Gene, 160 (1995) 143-148.
- [57] M. Schwab, C. Dreyer, Protein phosphorylation sites regulate the function of the bipartite
 NLS of nucleolin, European journal of cell biology, 73 (1997) 287-297.
- 624 [58] M. Tchórzewski, A. Boguszewska, P. Dukowski, N. Grankowski, Oligomerization
- properties of the acidic ribosomal P-proteins from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: effect of P1A
 protein phosphorylation on the formation of the P1A-P2B hetero-complex, Biochimica et
 Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research, 1499 (2000) 63-73.
- 628 [59] P. Hasler, N. Brot, H. Weissbach, A.P. Parnassa, K.B. Elkon, Ribosomal proteins P0, P1,
- and P2 are phosphorylated by casein kinase II at their conserved carboxyl termini, Journal ofBiological Chemistry, 266 (1991) 13815-13820.
- 631 [60] G. Nusspaumer, M. Remacha, J.P.G. Ballesta, Phosphorylation and N-terminal region of 632 yeast ribosomal protein P1 mediate its degradation, which is prevented by protein P2, The 633 EMBO Journal, 19 (2000) 6075-6084.
- [61] D.-H. Lee, S.J. Park, C.S. Ahn, H.-S. Pai, *MRF* family genes are involved in translation
- 635 control, especially under energy-deficient conditions, and their expression and functions are 636 modulated by the TOP signaling nethyay. The Plant Cell. 20 (2017) 2805-2020
- 636 modulated by the TOR signaling pathway, The Plant Cell, 29 (2017) 2895-2920.
- [62] J. Van Leene, C. Han, A. Gadeyne, D. Eeckhout, C. Matthijs, B. Cannoot, N. De Winne,
 G. Persiau, E. Van De Slijke, B. Van de Cotte, Capturing the phosphorylation and protein
 interaction landscape of the plant TOR kinase, Nature Plants, 5 (2019) 316-327.
- 640 [63] Y. Xiong, J. Sheen, The role of target of rapamycin signaling networks in plant growth and 641 metabolism, Plant Physiology, 164 (2014) 499-512.
- 642 [64] E. Nukarinen, T. Nägele, L. Pedrotti, B. Wurzinger, A. Mair, R. Landgraf, F. Börnke, J.
- Hanson, M. Teige, E. Baena-Gonzalez, W. Dröge-Laser, W. Weckwerth, Quantitative
 phosphoproteomics reveals the role of the AMPK plant ortholog SnRK1 as a metabolic master
 regulator under energy deprivation, Scientific Reports, 6 (2016) Article 31697.
- 646 [65] E. Baena-González, J. Hanson, Shaping plant development through the SnRK1–TOR
 647 metabolic regulators, Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 35 (2017) 152-157.
- [66] A. Srivastava, Y. Lu, G. Zinta, Z. Lang, J. Zhu, UTR-dependent control of gene expression
 in plants, Trends in Plant Science, 23 (2018) 248-260.
- [67] A.G. von Arnim, Q. Jia, J.N. Vaughn, Regulation of plant translation by upstream openreading frames, Plant Science, 214 (2014) 1-12.
- 652 [68] A. Mustroph, M.E. Zanetti, C.J.H. Jang, H.E. Holtan, P.P. Repetti, D.W. Galbraith, T.
- 653 Girke, J. Bailey-Serres, Profiling translatomes of discrete cell populations resolves altered
- 654 cellular priorities during hypoxia in Arabidopsis, Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 655 Sciences, 106 (2009) 18843-18848.
- 656 [69] B. Bai, A. Peviani, S. van der Horst, M. Gamm, B. Snel, L. Bentsink, J. Hanson, Extensive
- translational regulation during seed germination revealed by polysomal profiling, NewPhytologist, 214 (2017) 233-244.
- 659 [70] T.C. Vary, C.J. Lynch, Nutrient signaling components controlling protein synthesis in
- striated muscle, The Journal of Nutrition, 137 (2007) 1835-1843.

- 661 [71] J. Ling, S.J. Morley, J.A. Traugh, Inhibition of cap-dependent translation via 662 phosphorylation of eIF4G by protein kinase Pak2, The EMBO Journal, 24 (2005) 4094-4105.
- 663 [72] S.J. Morley, P.S. Curtis, V.M. Pain, eIF4G: translation's mystery factor begins to yield its 664 secrets, RNA, 3 (1997) 1085-1104.
- 665 [73] A. Marintchev, G. Wagner, Translation initiation: structures, mechanisms and evolution,
- 666 Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics, 37 (2004) 197-284.
- 667 [74] M. Schepetilnikov, M. Dimitrova, E. Mancera-Martínez, A. Geldreich, M. Keller, L.A.
- 668 Ryabova, TOR and S6K1 promote translation reinitiation of uORF-containing mRNAs via 669 phosphorylation of eIF3h, The EMBO journal, 32 (2013) 1087-1102.
- 670 [75] H. Ehsan, W.K. Ray, B. Phinney, X. Wang, S.C. Huber, S.D. Clouse, Interaction of
- 671 *Arabidopsis* BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 1 receptor kinase with a homolog of 672 mammalian TGF- β receptor interacting protein, The Plant Journal, 43 (2005) 251-261.
- 673 [76] A.S.Y. Lee, P.J. Kranzusch, J.A. Doudna, J.H.D. Cate, eIF3d is an mRNA cap-binding
- 674 protein that is required for specialized translation initiation, Nature, 536 (2016) 96-100.
- 675 [77] P. Crozet, L. Margalha, A. Confraria, A. Rodrigues, C. Martinho, M. Adamo, C.A. Elias,
- E. Baena-González, Mechanisms of regulation of SNF1/AMPK/SnRK1 protein kinases,
 Frontiers in Plant Science, 5 (2014) Article 190.
- 678 [78] C.M. Figueroa, J.E. Lunn, A tale of two sugars: trehalose 6-phosphate and sucrose, Plant 679 Physiology, 172 (2016) 7-27.
- 680 [79] B. Wurzinger, A. Mair, K. Fischer-Schrader, E. Nukarinen, V. Roustan, W. Weckwerth,
- 681 M. Teige, Redox state-dependent modulation of plant SnRK1 kinase activity differs from 682 AMPK regulation in animals, FEBS letters, 591 (2017) 3625-3636.
- 683 [80] E. Lewandowska-Gnatowska, L. Szymona, M. Łebska, J. Szczegielniak, G. Muszyńska,
- Phosphorylation of maize eukaryotic translation initiation factor on Ser2 by catalytic subunit
 CK2, Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 356 (2011) 241-251.
- [81] J.J. Mulekar, E. Huq, Expanding roles of protein kinase CK2 in regulating plant growthand development, Journal of Experimental Botany, 65 (2013) 2883-2893.
- 688 [82] I.C. Vélez-Bermúdez, M. Riera, Maize RNA-Binding Protein ZmTGH: A New Partner for
- 689 CK2β1 Regulatory Subunit, in: K. Ahmed, O.-G. Issinger, R. Szyszka (Eds.) Protein Kinase
 690 CK2 Cellular Function in Normal and Disease States, Springer International Publishing, Cham,
- 691 2015, pp. 49-55.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 692 \\ 693 \end{bmatrix}$ J. Zhu, W.-S. Wang, D. Ma, L.-Y. Zhang, F. Ren, T.-T. Yuan, A role for CK2 β subunit 4 $\begin{bmatrix} 693 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in the regulation of plant growth, cadmium accumulation and H₂O₂ content under cadmium
- 694 stress in Arabidopsis thaliana, Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 109 (2016) 240-247.
- 695 [84] J. Chen, Y. Wang, F. Wang, J. Yang, M. Gao, C. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Yamaji, J.F. Ma,
- 696 J. Paz-Ares, L. Nussaume, S. Zhang, K. Yi, Z. Wu, P. Wu, The rice CK2 kinase regulates
- trafficking of phosphate transporters in response to phosphate levels, The Plant Cell, 27 (2015)711-723.
- 699 [85] M.K. Tarrant, H.-S. Rho, Z. Xie, Y.L. Jiang, C. Gross, J.C. Culhane, G. Yan, J. Qian, Y.
- 700 Ichikawa, T. Matsuoka, N. Zachara, F.A. Etzkorn, G.W. Hart, J.S. Jeong, S. Blackshaw, H.
- 701 Zhu, P.A. Cole, Regulation of CK2 by phosphorylation and O-GlcNAcylation revealed by 702 semisynthesis, Nature Chemical Biology, 8 (2012) 262-265.
- 703



Fig. 1. Metabolism of Arabidopsis rosettes under different O₂/CO₂ conditions. (a) Heat map showing metabolites (from GC-MS metabolomics profiling) significantly different between O_2/CO_2 conditions in the light using a one-way ANOVA ($P \le 0.01$). Hierarchical clustering (Pearson correlation) is shown on left. Metabolite contents (mean-centered values) are shown with colors (color scale on top). Since most metabolites change considerably in darkness, dark conditions are not included in the heat map so as to show metabolites only significantly affected by photosynthetic conditions per se. Main groups identified by clustering are boxed and labelled with numbers. For each O_2/CO_2 condition, the *n* = 6 samples are shown, except under standard conditions (21% O₂-380 μ mol mol⁻¹ CO₂) where n = 9. (b) Volcano plot showing most discriminating metabolites, with $-\log(P-value)$ (y axis) and the loading in the OPLS analysis ($p_{corr}(1)$; x axis). The red dash-dotted line stands for the Bonferroni threshold. (c) Photosynthesis (net CO_2 assimilation) measured by gas exchange. (d) Total content in proteogenic amino acids (relative to internal standard, ribitol, and normalized to DW). (e) Glycine-to-serine ratio. In (a), numbers on right refer to groups (metabolite clusters) discussed in main text. Numbers associated with metabolite names refer to distinct derivatives (analytes) of the same metabolite. In (c-e), letters stand for statistical classes ($P \le 0.05$). In (c), the rate of CO₂ evolution (respiration) in darkness is also indicated (either in 0% or 21% O_2) and in (d-e), values obtained in the dark at 21% O_2 are also shown. Abbreviations: Bal, β-alanine; Cys, cysteine; Etn, ethanolamine; Gly, glycine; Hsr, homoserine; Phe, phenylalanine; Pip, pipecolate; Pro, proline; Ser, serine; Succ, succinate; Thr, threonine; Val, valine; Xyl, xylose. A magnified version of this figure is available as Fig. S7.



Fig. 2. Carbon allocation to protein synthesis determined by ¹³CO₂ labelling in the light. (a) Isotope enrichment (% ¹³C) in proteins, measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry (EA-IRMS) on purified proteins. (b) Allocation of ¹³C to protein (apparent flux to protein synthesis). (c) Allocation expressed in percentage of photosynthesis (¹³C partition to protein synthesis) calculated from (b) and photosynthesis (given in Fig. 1). In (a), the red dash-dotted line stands for ¹³C natural abundance (enrichment in proteins measured after gas exchange carried out with ordinary CO₂). In (b-c), the contribution of natural abundance has been subtracted. Letters stand for statistical classes (P<0.05).



Fig. 3. Polysome abundance determined with gradient separation and absorbance at 260 nm. (a) Typical background-subtracted absorbance profile (standard conditions, 21% O2, 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂) showing the oscillating peak region on right corresponding to polysomes (legend after [68]). (b) Quantitation of polysome fraction from background-subtracted traces, in % of total signal. In (b), letters stand for statistical classes (P<0.05). Note that (b) also shows results obtained in darkness at either 0% or 21% O₂, with a significant down-regulating effect of anoxia on polysome abundance.



Fig. 4. Phosphorylation pattern of molecular actors involved in translation. (a) Heat map showing significant phosphosites with P < 0.05, with a hierarchical clustering on left (Pearson correlation). Main groups found by clustering are boxed and labelled 1 and 2. When phosphosite identifiers appear several times (e.g. RPS6A Ser240), it refers to analytically different phosphopeptides. "Dark" refers to darkness at 21% O₂. In the light, O₂/CO₂ conditions are recalled (%/ppm). (b) Lookup of average phosphorylation at Ser 204 in RPS6A and RPS6B (left) and Ser 178 in eIF4G (right). Letters stand for statistical classes (P < 0.05). (c) Score plot of the OPLS multivariate analysis using photosynthetic conditions as a quantitative variable (aligned along the *x* axis). (d) Volcano plot combining univariate ($-\log(P)$ from ANOVA) and multivariate (loading $p_{corr}(1)$ from the OPLS) analyses showing most significant phosphosites. Red dash-dotted line, Bonferroni threshold (P = 0.0014). A magnified version of this figure is available as Fig. S8.

Table 1. List of phosphopeptides found here, associated with protein synthesis. The column "New" indicates phosphosites that are absent from (Boex-Fontvieille et al. 2014) and references therein, and the database Phosphat 4.0 (<u>http://phosphat.uni-hohenheim.de/</u>). "Occ." Indicates when a phosphopeptide was found to be occasionally detected, that is, missing across more than 20% of the samples. Statistics reported in Fig. 4 only used non-occasional phosphopeptides, that is, with less than 20% missing values. In the column "Comments", the term "common to" means that the phosphopeptides (with the same sequence) can also be found in other isoforms of the protein, but disambiguation could be done here. The nomenclature for eEFs and eIFs is after [12], except for the ubiquitin-binding elongation factor eEF1B (AT5G53330) which is recognized as an elongation factor in TAIR but is not listed in [12] and is also refered to as a cell wall proline-rich protein in Protein Data Bank.

ATG number	Name	Phosphosite	Comments	New	Sequence	Occ.				
Elongation factors (eEF)										
AT1G07920	eEF1-alpha	Thr82			FE (pT) TKYYCTVIDAPGHR	Yes				
AT1G30230	eEF1B-beta	Thr91			ISGVSAEGSGVIVEGSAPITEEAVA (pT) PPAADSK	Yes				
AT5G53330	eEF1B-related	Ser58	Ubiquitin-binding elongation factor		N(pS)SFQHNTSPSSGIGIR	Yes				
AT1G69410	eEF5A3	Ser2			(pS)DDEHHFESSDAGASK					
AT1G26630	eEF5A2	Ser2			M (pS) DDEHHFEASESGASK					
		Ser2			(pS)DDEHHFEASESGASK					
Initiation factors (eIF)										
AT2G34970	eIF2B-epsilon	Thr522			DKLSEITQAIDDDD (pT) DDESVVPTSGELK					
AT1G48970	eIF2B-delta 2	Ser149		Yes	LSA (pS) LPNGGFDLTLAVR					
AT1G72340	eIF2B-alpha	Ser18			RSSN (pS) PPMADTTR					
		Ser18			SSN (pS) PPMADTTR	Yes				
AT5G38640	eIF2B-delta 1	Ser126			SSVPVA (pS) SLPGIGMDSMAAAK	Yes				
		Ser88			VAVAGAAASAV (pS) PSSFSYSSR	Yes				
		Thr230			A (pT) SQKNDVAVATGAAEK	Yes				
		Ser108			DFPDGSTTA (pS) PGR	Yes				
		Ser108			RDFPDGSTTA (pS) PGR					
		Ser69			LN (pS) SDTFPLR	Yes				
AT5G25780	elF3B2	Ser684	common to eIF3B1		QNLRDGEV (pS) DVEEDEYEAK	Yes				
AT3G56150	elF3C1	Tyr35			(pY)LQSGSEDDDDTDTKR					
		Ser38/40			YLQ(s)G(s)EDDDDTDTKR					
AT4G20980	elF3d	Thr74			NLSNPSARPN (pT) GSK					
AT3G13920	elF4A1	Ser4			AG (pS) APEGTQFDAR	Yes				
		Thr145	common to eIF4A2		VHACVGG (pT) SVR					
AT3G26400	elF4B1	Ser237			(pS)STFGSSFGDSGQEER	Yes				
AT1G13020	elF4B2	Thr283			KADTEVSE (pT) PTAVK					
AT3G60240	elF4G	Ser178			TT (pS) APPNMDDQKR					
		Ser710			STEGSSHASSEISGS (pS) PQEK					
		Ser1527			QVLQGPSATVN (pS) PR					
AT2G24050	elFiso4G2	Ser512		Yes	(pS)LSVNSR	Yes				
AT1G77840	elF5	Ser200			NH (pS) SDEDISPK					
		Ser200/201			NH(s)(s)DEDISPK	Yes				

Other molecula	ar actors					
AT1G64790	ILA	Ser1887	ILITHYIA (activator of GCN2 and thus of eIF2)		ALLEGG (pS) DDEGASTEAQGR	Yes
AT1G80930	MCP	Ser187	MIF4G domain-containing spliceosome subunit		VIADKP (pS) DEEDDR	
		Ser187			VIADKP (pS) DEEDDRQR	
		Ser80			RKET (pS) DDEELAR	
		Ser112			IEVD (pS) DGDGERR	
		Ser112			RIEVD (pS) DGDGER	
AT1G48920	NUC-L1	Ser163	Nuclear RNA binding protein L1 (ribosome synthesis)		ESSSEDDS (pS) SEDEPAKKPAAK	Yes
Ribosomal proteins						
AT3G58660	RPL10E	Ser6			TTAV (pS) PPPPQEQQLVHASQTSR	Yes
AT2G42740	RPL11A	Thr46	common to RPL11B, RPL11C and RPL11D		VLEQLSGQ (pT) PVFSK	
AT5G23900	RPL13D	Thr138			AGDS (pT) PEELANATQVQGDYMPIASVK	Yes
AT3G09200	RPPOB	Ser305			VEEKEE(pS) DEEDYGGDFGLFDEE	Yes
AT3G11250	RPPOC	Ser308			KEE(pS)DEEDYEGGFGLFDEE	Yes
		Ser308			VEEKKEE(pS) DEEDYEGGFGLFDEE	Yes
AT1G01100	RPP1A	Ser102	common to RPP1B and RPP1C		KDEPAEE (pS) DGDLGFGLFD	
		Ser102	common to RPP1B and RPP1C		KKDEPAEE (pS) DGDLGFGLFD	
AT2G27720	RPP2A	Ser120	common to RPP2B and RPP2D		EEKKEEKEE (pS) DDDMGFSLFE	Yes
		Ser120	common to RPP2B and RPP2D		KEEKEE (pS) DDDMGFSLFE	
AT2G27710	RPP2B	Ser120	common to RPP2A and RPP2D		EEKKEEKEE (pS) DDDMGFSLFE	Yes
		Ser120	common to RPP2A and RPP2D		KEEKEE (pS) DDDMGFSLFE	
		Ser77			LASVPSGGGGGVAVA(pS) ATSGGGGGGGGASAAESK	Yes
AT5G57290	RPP3B	Ser90	common to RPP3A		KEE(pS)EEEEGDFGFDLFG	Yes
		Ser90	common to RPP3A		KKEE (pS) EEEEGDFGFDLFG	Yes
AT2G45710	RPS27A	Ser29	common to RPS27B and RPS27C		LVQ (pS) PNSFFMDVK	
AT2G41840	RPS2C	Ser273			AL (pS) TSKPDPVVEDQA	Yes
AT3G04840	RPS3Aa	Ser236			LMDVHGDY (pS) AEDVGVK	
AT4G31700	RPS6A	Ser240			L (pS) SAAAKPSVTA	Yes
		Ser240			SRL (pS) SAAAKPSVTA	
		Ser237/240			(s)RL(s)SAAAKPSVTA	
AT5G10360	RPS6B	Ser240			L (pS) SAPAKPVAA	
		Ser240			SRL (pS) SAPAKPVAA	
		Ser237/240			(s)RL(s)SAPAKPVAA	
AT1G74970	RPS9 (chloroplastic)	Ser47/Thr51		Yes	RA(s)LSITA(t)VSAPPEEEEIVELKK	Yes
AT5G15200	RPS9B	Ser68			DLLTLDEK (pS) PR	Yes

Supplementary Material

Article title: Cytoplasmic protein synthesis increases with photosynthesis via the stimulation of translation initiation **Authors:** Tcherkez G, Carroll A, Abadie C, Mainguet S, Davanture M, and Zivy M

Supplementary methods: polysome analysis

Liquid nitrogen-frozen rosette tissues weighing ~3-5g were ground to a fine powder in 20 mL polypropylene scintillation vials at approximately -40°C in a Cryogenic Grinder/Dispenser robotic system (Labman) by vigorous shaking with five ethanol-washed 8mm stainless steel ball bearings for 1 minute (two 30 s pulses with a 30 s pause in between). Weighed aliquots of the resulting tissue powder (100±15 mg) were automatically dispensed into 1.4 mL screw cap polypropylene tubes (FluidX P/N 66-52330-Z6) and kept frozen until analysis. Polysomes were extracted after [69]. Extraction was performed with 500 µL of polysome extraction buffer (200 mM Tris pH 9.0; 200 mM KCl, 25 mM EGTA pH 8.3; 36 mM MgCl₂; 0.8% v/v βmercaptoethanol; 50 µg mL⁻¹ each of cycloheximide and chloramphenicol; 1% (v/v) each of Triton X-100, Brij-35, Tween-40, NP-40; 2% (v/v) polyoxyethylene-10-tridecyl-ether and 1% sodium deoxycholate) was added to the frozen tissue powder aliquot and mixed by inversion. To prepare negative control gradients, tissues from 380 ppm CO₂/21% O₂ treatments were extracted with extraction buffer containing 50 mM EDTA (and analysed on gradients containing 100 mM EDTA) instead of MgCl₂. Samples were incubated on ice for 3 min before being centrifuged through Qiagen Qiashredder spin columns at 16,000 g for 1 min to remove debris. Portions of the flow-throughs (250 µL) were loaded onto 14 mL 20-50% (w/v) sucrose continuous density gradients prepared in 14 x 89 mm ultracentrifuge tubes (Beckman Coulter P/N 331372) using a Gradient Master 108 device (Biocomp) according to manufacturer's directions. Gradients were prepared just prior to use, kept at 4°C and loaded in a 4 °C cold room. The loaded gradients were centrifuged at 360,000 g for 135 minutes at 4°C in a SW41 rotor in a Beckman Coulter ultracentrifuge. The gradients were then analysed by absorbance of 260 nm wavelength light using a Foxy R1 density gradient analyser (Teledyne ISCO) according to manufacturer's directions. UV absorbance profiles were plotted onto chart recorder paper (Chart speed = 60 cm h^{-1} , Sensitivity = 0.5, Peak separator = OFF, Noise filter = 1.5). These plots were then scanned at 600 dpi using a desktop document scanner. The vertical pixel heights of the plotted signals relative to the y-axis scale were detected on the basis of pixel hue and saturation of the red plot lines, sampled at regular 5-pixel intervals (gradient indices) and exported into raw [gradient index × relative absorbance signal intensity] spreadsheet tables using an in-house C++ software package based on OpenCV (https://opencv.org) and Qt (https://www.qt.io). The top of the gradient (volume index = 0) was defined as the point where the downward slope of the intense chlorophyll absorbance peak crossed the top of the y-axis scale. A baseline correction was applied to each signal profile by subtracting the average signal intensity of two negative control gradients at each index from the signal intensity at the corresponding index of the gradient being corrected. Corrected values slightly below zero were set to zero. The translational activity factor (polysome relative abundance) was then calculated for each gradient by dividing the integrated signal area in the polysomal region of the gradient (index 200 to 600) by the sum of the areas under both the polysome region and the upper ribosomal/monosomal region (index 0 to 600).

Supplementary Text

• Phosphorylation sites in eIFs found here

In general, the higher translational activity in the light compared to the dark is assumed to stem from an increased phosphorylation of eIFs 3 and 4B and inhibited by phosphorylation of eIF2 α [11, 16]. We show here experimentally that phosphorylation of eIF4B2 and eIF3d correlate with that of RPS6 and translation activity and anti-correlates with eIF4G (Figs. 3 and 4). The phosphorylation sites found here have been previously identified in Arabidopsis (Table 1) and here they may behave as molecular switches to control translation. In particular, while eIF4G phosphosites at Ser 710 and 1527 did not correlate linearly with photosynthesis, Ser 178 phosphorylation was clearly anti-correlated to photosynthesis (Fig. 4b). This phosphosite has also been shown to be affected by the light-to-dark transition [11]. The specific role of phosphorylation at this site is presently unclear and eIF4G possesses multiple phosphorylation sites with contrasting effects. In yeast and mammals, phosphorylation of eIF4G near the C-terminus has been shown to stimulate translation by promoting the formation of the eIF4F complex and subsequent binding of 5' RNA cap (for a review, see [70]). By contrast, upstream phosphorylation in the RS domain of the protein (such as that found in eIFiso4G2 at Ser 512 here; Table 1) inhibits eIF4E binding and translation [71]. Here, the observed phosphosite at Ser 178 is situated in the mRNA-binding region [72] and thus phosphorylation likely impedes formation of the eIF4F complex and thus translation initiation.

By contrast, translation stimulation appears to be accompanied by increased phosphorylation of eIF4B2 and eIF3d (Fig. 4). Although eIF4B in plants is less characterized than in mammals, it is essential for preinitiation complex formation and mRNA recruitment. Its phosphorylation, which has been demonstrated in vitro with CK2 as a kinase [24], might play a significant role in promoting the helicase activity of eIF4A and mRNA binding [73]. Interestingly, the sequence of this protein varies considerably and the site found here (Thr 283) is not present in some non-Brassicaceae species. eIFs of the eIF3 group are known to be phosphorylated, including eIF3c, eIF3h and eIF3i that have been shown to be phosphorylated by CK2 [24], S6 kinase/mTOR [74] and brassinosteroid kinase [75], respectively. Also, eIF3d that been shown to be more phosphorylated at Thr 74 in planta in the light compared to the dark [11]. In Mammals, it has been shown that eIF3d allows translation of specific mRNAs independently of the complex eIF4F [76]. It is currently unknown if such a mechanism also takes place in plants (and Arabidopsis eIF3d possesses only 42% identity with human eIF3d).

• Possible involvement of SnRK1

Arabidopsis SnRK1 has been found to be inhibited by a variety of sugar phosphates (reviewed in [77, 78] and oxidation of the Cys 200 residue of AKIN10 (SnRK1 α 1) [79]. SnRK1 has also been found to participate in controlling translation by phosphorylating eIF4E and eIFiso4E [20]. That is, it is plausible that the effect on translation seen here was the combined effect of SnRK1 inhibition when gross photosynthesis increases (increased production of sugars) and photorespiration decreases (lower H₂O₂ production in photorespiratory cycle). That said, while this mechanism provides a probable explanation for RPS6, it cannot be at the origin of eIFs phosphorylation changes with photosynthesis. In fact, most phosphorylation motifs found here are of the CK2 (x-(**pS/T**)-D/E-D/E/x-D/E) or cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) type (x-(**pS/T**)-P-x-x-R/K/x) (Table 1), suggesting an important role of these kinases. In particular, CK2-mediated phosphorylation of several plant eIFs has been found to take place, at least in vitro [24, 25, 80]. However, mechanisms regulating CK2 activity are presently unclear. It is generally believed that plant CK2 is a housekeeping, constitutively expressed kinase [81] the α/β isoform composition of which changes in response to environmental conditions – typically with mineral nutrition [82-84]. Also, both phosphorylation and N-acetyl glucosamination of CK2 have been found

in human cells, with differential effects on activity [85] but the way such post-translational modifications are affected by environmental conditions is, to our knowledge, unknown. It should also be noted that ethylene signaling may represent another link between photosynthetic conditions and CDK-mediated phosphorylation, since ethylene production and perception have both been found to correlate with the photorespiration rate (reviewed in [31]).



Fig. S1. Output of the OPLS analysis of metabolomics data, using O₂:CO₂ conditions as a quantitative Y variable. (a) Score plot showing samples in the first component space. (b) Relationship between predicted and observed Y variable showing the very good agreement of the OPLS model. Note the natural variability of metabolic contents along axis 2 (orthogonal component 1), not due to O₂:CO₂ conditions. In (a), the grey line represents the Hostelling's 95% confidence ellipse.



Fig. S2. Metabolites that are significantly different in the dark compared to the light (at 21% O₂), with P < 0.05. Hierachical clustering (Pearson's correlation) is shown on left. As expected, photorespiratory metabolites glycine and glycolate are represented, as well as photosynthetic products sucrose and galactinol. Note however the rather variable content in sucrose in the dark so that sucrose in not significant at the 1% level (Fig. S2). CO₂/O₂ conditions are shown on top.



Fig. S3. Significant changes in total proteins: (a) summary heat map showing only significant (< 0.05, one-way ANOVA, with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) proteins, with a hierarchical clustering (Pearson correlation) on left; (b) Detailed pattern of ribosomal proteins that are amongst significant proteins in panel (a). Note that none of the proteins listed in Table 1 is found here, showing that changes in phosphopeptide abundance is not due to changes in total protein quantity. In (b), the letter "c" is added in front of names when the associated gene is chloroplast-encoded.



Fig. S4. Content in polyamines and sucrose in Arabidopsis rosettes under different O_2/CO_2 condition, from GC-MS profiling analyses. Content are expressed relative to standard gaseous conditions (21% O_2 , 380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO_2). When significant in paired test (Student-Welsh, P < 0.01) with comparison to standard conditions, the *P*-value is shown between parentheses. Red line: standard content; shaded area: ±50% region. Note that due to substantial variability between plants, there is no significant variation in spermidine.



O₂/CO₂ conditions

Fig. S5. **Relative phosphopeptide abundance associated with nucleolin-like 1** (NUC-L1) (phosphosite Ser 163; phosphopeptide ESSSEDDS(**pS**)SEDEPAKKPAAK). Note missing data particularly in the dark and at low CO₂ (only one replicate in each), showing that this phosphopeptide is only occasionally detected. However, available data suggest a positive relationship with photosynthesis (linear regression, solid line).



Fig. S6. Bi-dimensional correlation analysis between metabolites and phosphosites using multivariate statistics (O2PLS) (a) and correlation coefficients (b) (see below for further details).

In (a), the O2PLS analysis was carried out using metabolites as predictive X variables and phosphosites as predicted Y variables. The main panel shows the volcano plot representing the variable importance of the projection (VIP, *y*-axis) as a function of the loading (*x*-axis) for metabolites. The inset shows the weight of the phosphosites in the projection (*y*-axis) as a function as a function of the loading in defining Y principal components (*x*-axis). The analysis was significant (*P*_{CV-ANOVA} < 0.05) for RPS6B (Ser 237/240), eIF3-zeta (Thr 74) and eIF4G (Ser 178).

In (b), the result of the simple regression is shown on left (scatter plot) with significant relationships in color (non-significant being in grey). Here, "significant" means with both a *P*-value of the regression smaller than the significance threshold (includes the Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and a *P*-value of the permutation test < 0.05. The bar plot (right) show the *P*-value of the best multiple regressions obtained. Despite low values, none passed the significance threshold for multiple analysis.

Abbreviations: DGG, digalactosyl glycerol; DHB, dihydroxybutanoate; GABA, γ -aminobutyrate; MCP, MIF4G domain-containing protein of spliceosome.

Method used to carry out the regression analysis: Metabolite-phosphopeptide relative abundance associations were analysed using MetabolomeExpress MetaAnalyser, a web-based software tool for aligning, comparing and identifying metabolites with patterns of interest across the results of multiple experiments within the MetaPhen database of MetabolomeExpress (Carroll *et al.* 2010). Before being imported into MetaPhen, the relative abundances of metabolites and phosphopeptides under the different experimental treatments were expressed as MSI_{treatment}/MSI_{control} where MSI_{treatment} is the mean signal intensity (MSI) across the replicates of the treatment and MSI_{control} is the MSI across replicates of the "control" treatment (380 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ and 21% O₂ in the light). Phosphopeptide relative abundance data were imported as "meta-variables" (MetaVars, i.e. response variables). MetaAnalyser processing was conducted by selecting the responses to each of the treatments and performing analysis with the following settings: Include metabolites that are missing data in some class comparisons = ON, Filter metabolites of unknown structure = OFF, Transformation of signal intensity ratio = "Response Value", Min. Pearson's r = 0.8, Max. Exact *P*-value of r = 0.05, Max q = 1, Display charts = ON. Every combination of 2, 3 or 4 metabolites drawn from the set of metabolites that were individually associated with r > 0.8 and p < 0.05 was automatically computed in R using the 'lm ()' function.

Carroll AJ, Badger MR, Millar AH (2010) The MetabolomeExpress Project: enabling web-based processing, analysis and transparent dissemination of GC/MS metabolomics datasets. *BMC bioinformatics*, 11(1), 376.



Fig. S7. Magnified version of Fig. 1.



Fig. S8. Magnified version of Fig. 4.